

# Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Form: ANL-985

Version: 5

Your Form ID: ANL-985-1805 Form Status: Approved

Date: 9/23/2022 2:02:23 PM Created By: McGhee, Ian Riley

#### Creator

Badge: 272547 Name: McGhee, lan Riley

Cost Center: 331 Division: WSE

Job Title: ESH Multi-Functional 2 Employee Type: Regular Full-Time Exempt

Building: 362 Lab Extension: 2-2324

#### **General Information**

Project/Activity Title: Electrodialysis Pilot Plant Operations

ASO NEPA Tracking No.: Type of Funding:

B & R Code: Identifying Number: CRADA-2020-20211

SPP Proposal Number: CRADA Proposal Number: 2020-20211

Work Project Number: ANL Accounting Number: (Item 3a in Field Work Proposal)

Other (explain):

List appropriate NEPA Owners: Division: AMD NEPA Owner:

#### **Financial Plans**

To select a Financial Plan, click the magnifying glass icon to open a search window.

Cost Center: Project: Phase: Task:

## **Description of Proposed Action**

A lithium hydroxide electrodialysis pilot plant would be placed inside of the existing spill berm in the 369 HIBAY or in 370 W158 in a purchased and compatible spill berm. Over the duration of operations, the plant would produce lithium hydroxide (LiOH) from Lithium Chloride (LiCl). Overall batches of material would be limited to 600 gallons of feed (LiCl) and would produce 200 gallons of LiOH and 200 gallons of 2-molar Hydrochloric Acid (HCl). The air emissions of the plant (hydrogen, airborne lithium hydroxide, etc.) would be captured primarily by a direct-connection explosion-proof ventilation system ducted to outside at the roofline of the building 369 HIBAY or building 370 HIBAY. Nitrogen gas would be used to inert several tanks on the pilot plant, and compressed air or nitrogen would actuate valves in the plant.

#### **Description of Affected Environment**

Work would be done indoors at building 369 or building 370 on Argonne's Lemont, Illinois campus. Waste from experiments would be disposed via appropriate channels as defined in the work control documents and per Argonne procedures and requirements. Emissions would be ducted outside of the building. Product batches would be analyzed for quality before being shipped as samples, handled as hazardous waste (corrosive), or used again in processes (recycled).

# **Potential Environmental Effects**

- Attach explanation for each "yes" response near bottom of form.
- See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form.

Section A (Complete For All Projects)		Yes	No	Explanation	
	Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and			See responses below. All work will be conducted in compliance with Argonne guidelines	

1.	item and	ails provided under ns 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, 20 below, as licable	•	0	and regulations.		
2.	Air I	Pollutant Emissions	o	С	Pilot plant emissions, including Hydrogen, airborne lithium hydroxide, etc. would be ducted to the explosion-proof ventilation in the 369 or 370 HIBAY that ducts above the roof line. Environmental Compliance has evaluated the project in regards to regulated air emissions and has determined that no permitting will be required for this work.		
3.	Nois	se	0	•			
4.	Chemical/Oil		II LT		Only the minimum amount of chemicals needed for the project would be used and stored in original or compatible containers. Secondary containment would be used for all materials during transport and storing. Potential spills would be contained inside of the existing spill berm. This procedure would be walked through with water to find and address any friction in the path that could lead to spillage or injury.		
5.	Pes	ticide Use	0	•			
6.	Cor	cic Substances ntrol Act (TSCA) ostances					
	6a.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	0	•			
	6b.	Asbestos or Asbestos Containing Materials	c	•			
	6c.	Other TSCA Regulated Substances	0	⊙			
	6d.	Import or Export of Chemical Substances	c	•			
7.	Biol	nazards	О	•			
8.	Effluent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact Peter Lynch (HSE) at 2-4582 or lynch@anl.gov)		o	•			
9.	Was	ste Management					
	9a.	Construction or Demolition Waste	0	•			
	9b.	Hazardous Waste	•	c	For the work conducted at Argonne National Laboratory, all RCRA hazardous waste well be accumulated (in a Satellite Accumulation Area) by personnel qualified by Argonne-specific training. Requisitions for transfer of accumulated hazardous waste to a central on-site facility would be completed by Argonne-certified personnel. The research personnel would conform to the requirements in LMS-PROC-103. All on-site handling, storage, and disposa would be performed in accordance with the RCRA Part B permit issued by the IEPA. The accumulated hazardous waste would be disposed in accordance with Argonne's Part B permit, and in accordance with the requirement in LMS-PROC-103.		
	9c.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	О	•			
	9d.	Radioactive Waste	О	⊙			
	9e.	Asbestos Waste	О	⊙			
	9f.	Biological Waste	О	⊙			
	9g.	No Path to Disposal Waste	0	•			
	9h.	Nano-material Waste	0	•			
10.	Rac	liation	О	•			
	Thre	eatened Violation of					

11.	ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirement	О	•	
12.	New or Modified Federal or State Permits	c	•	
13.	Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste	0	•	
14.	Public Controversy	О	$\odot$	
15.	Historic Structures and Objects	О	•	
16.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	c	•	
17.	Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	c	•	
	ection B (For Projects hat Occur Outdoors)	Yes	No	
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	0	•	
19.	Wetlands	О	⊙	
20.	Floodplain	0	•	
21.	Landscaping	О	$\odot$	
22.	Navigable Air Space	0	$\odot$	
23.	Clearing or Excavation	0	•	
24.	Archaeological Resources	О	•	
25.	Underground Injection	0	•	
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	О	•	
27.	Public Utilities or Services	O	⊙	
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	c	•	
S	ection C (For Projects Outside of ANL)	Yes	No	
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	0	•	
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	o	•	
31.	Coastal Zones	О	•	
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	c	0	
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with	0	•	

NEPA-type Law			
34. Class I Air Quality Control Region	О	$\odot$	

# **Categorical Exclusion**

## **ANL NEPA Reviewer Use Only**

- My approval is the final approval necessary
- This form requires additional approval from DOE

To be Completed by DOE/ASO

Section D	Yes	No
Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	0	•
Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	0	0
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	0	0
Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	•	0

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded: This project can be excluded under the following category of Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D: B 3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects.

If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

#### **Attachments**

File Description:

# Comments

Add Approver

Approver Name	Approver Badge	Reason	Delete
Spangenberger, Jeffrey S.	51141	Group Leader	
Lipson, Albert L.	218136	PI	
Willig, Ryne T.	232518	AMD Safety	
Lynch, Peter L.	46304	Environmental Compliance	
Pfeiffer, Mark Albert	232188	Environmental Compliance	
Krumdick, Gregory K.	41078	Owning DD	
Thompson, Lawrence S.	97495	NWM	
Harris, Amy M.	49490	AET NEPA Owner	

#### **Notifications**

The approval notification email will be copied to the people listed below.

Badge	Name	Division	Delete

## **ASO-CX Number**

# ASO-CX- 397

Comments:

Approval									
<u>Approver</u>	<u>Action</u>	Date Routed	Action Date	Approval Reason / Comments	<u>Approval</u> Type				
McGhee, Ian Riley	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-05 10:43:29.0	Creator:	PRIMARY				
McGhee, Ian Riley	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-05 10:43:29.0	Project Manager :	PRIMARY				
Krumdick, Gregory K.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-07 12:55:15.0	Owning DD:	PRIMARY				
Lynch, Peter L.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-07 14:20:14.0	Environmental Compliance :	PRIMARY				
Harris, Amy M.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-05 13:38:38.0	AET NEPA Owner :	PRIMARY				
Spangenberger, Jeffrey S.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-06 09:54:19.0	Group Leader :	PRIMARY				
Thompson, Lawrence S.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-06 08:59:24.0	NWM:	PRIMARY				
Lipson, Albert L.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-05 11:09:29.0	PI:	PRIMARY				
Willig, Ryne T.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-05 11:00:25.0	AMD Safety :	PRIMARY				
Pfeiffer, Mark Albert	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-05 11:06:53.0	Environmental Compliance :	PRIMARY				
Harris, Amy M.	APPROVED	2022-10-05	2022-10-05 13:38:38.0	NEPA Owner Approval for Argonne Environmental Review:	PRIMARY				
Ptak, Jill S.	APPROVED	2022-10-07	2022-10-11 15:57:46.0	ANL NEPA Reviewer:	PRIMARY				
Hellman, Karen B.	APPROVED	2022-10-11	2022-10-11 16:15:01.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	PRIMARY				
Dunn, Michael W.	APPROVED	2022-10-11	2022-10-14 13:18:32.0	ANL-985 ANL Deputy COO Review and Approval :	PRIMARY				
Joshi, Kaushik N.	APPROVED	2022-10-14	2022-10-14 15:51:45.0	ANL-985 DOE-ASO Review and Approval: This DOE ERF CX approval is tracked as ASO-CX-397.	PRIMARY				
Siebach, Peter Rudolf	APPROVED	2022-10-14	2022-10-17 10:51:18.0	ANL-985 DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Review and Approval :	PRIMARY				