Chicago Office NEPA Tracking Number

ions are obsolete. $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K},$

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF SCIENCE -- CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "financial assistance award" organization receiving Federal funding. For assistance (including a point of contact), see "Instructions for Preparing SC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form".

Solic	itatio	n/Award No.	(if applicable):	DE-SC0018511				
Orga	nizati	on Name:	2W iTech LLC	;				
Title	of Pro	oposed Proje	ect/Research:	Forward Osmosis System for Treatment of Fracking Water				
Total	DOE	Funding/To	otal Project Fund	ding: \$150,000.00				
I.	Project Description (use additional pages as necessary):							
	A.	Proposed Project/Action (delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions) This program will utilize forward osmosis, an emerging membrane based technology that uses natural osmosis as driving force to treat the highly contaminated produced water. Next generation high flux thin film nanocomposite membrane, fabricated via a proprietary molecular layer-by-layer fabrication method, will be utilized in combination with an award winning switchable polarity solvent draw solute to create a forward osmosis (FO) platform technology that is capable of easily transported and installed at remote sites. Federally funded portion (\$150,000)/ Non-Federally funded portion (\$0)/						
	В.		project proceed	without Federal funding?	Yes	No ⊠		

II. Description of Affected Environment:

The goalof this program is to develop a novel technology for treatment of fracking water.

Securing the supply of water to meet the needs of population growth and increased industrialization is an imminent global challenge. Water recycling and reuse is thus of increasing importance, which should reduce the dependence on the surface and groundwater resources. Most of the water used for drilling and fracturing of O&G wells are currently sources from local water supplies or sent to the site, thus creating a stress for local water resources and supplies. In few cases, produced water from nearby production sites is also used for development of new O&G well. To decrease the consumption of regional fresh water source, it is wise to treat drilling and fracturing waste on site. Thus, it is very critical to invest on new technologies and development that increases that can efficiently increase the sustainable use for produced water for beneficial reuse. Large volume of water that is demanded to supply extensive hydraulic fracturing creates another problem, specially particularly in dry areas where there is growing competition for scarce water supplies, creates a scarcity of water for irrigation, livestock watering, residential use, competing business uses.

		Chicago Office	NEPA Tra	acking N	umber
III.	Prol	iminary Questions:	281		
	1 101	8 8 2		es .	No
	A.	Is the DOE-funded work entirely a "paper study"?	1		\boxtimes
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.			
	В.	Will the work to be performed take place entirely in existing buildings?	= [\boxtimes	
		And NOT:			
		1. Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health?	or [\boxtimes	
		2. Require the siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage,	or [\boxtimes	
		disposal facilities? 3. Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the	I	\boxtimes	
		environment? 4. Adversely affect environmentally-sensitive resources identified in Section IV.A.?	ı	Ø	
		5. Be connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a cumulatively significant impact?			
		6. Have an inherent possibility for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment (e.g., Biosafety Level 3-4 laboratories, activities involving high lever radiation)?		\boxtimes	
IV _e		Il reflect this and go directly to Section V. ential Environmental Effects: ach/insert an explanation for each "Yes" response. Sensitive Resources: Will the proposed action result in changes and/or disturbances to	any of the	e followi	ing
		resources?	Y	es .	No
		 Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) Archaeological/Historic Resources Important Farmland Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards Class I Air Quality Control Region Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) Floodplains and Wetlands 			
	В.			tems or	1
		activities?		es ·	No
		 Natural Resource Damage Assessments Exotic Organisms Noxious Weeds Clearing or Excavation (indicate if greater than one acre) Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, indicate if greater than ten acres) 			

B.		Regulated Substances/Activities: Will the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or				
See a	activities? (continued) Yes N				No	
	18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)				
	19.	Asbestos Removal		Ħ	Ħ	
	20.	PCB's		Ħ	Ħ	
	21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances		Ħ	Ħ	
	22.	Chemical Storage/Use		Ħ	Ħ	
	23.	Pesticide Use		H	Ħ	
		Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions		H	H	
	24.			H	H	
	25.	Liquid Effluents		H	H	
	26.	Underground Injection		H	Ħ	
	27.	Hazardous Waste		H	Ħ	
	28.	Underground Storage Tanks		H	H	
	29.	Radioactive Mixed Waste		H	H	
	30.	Radioactive Waste		님	님	
	31.	Radiation Exposure		닏	님	
	32.	Surface Water Protection		닏	님	
	33.	Pollution Prevention Act		닐	님	
	34.	Ozone Depleting Substances		\sqcup	\sqcup	
	35.	Off-Road Vehicles		. 📙		
	36.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory				
C.	Other	Relevant Information: Will the proposed action involve the following?				
О.	Othor	Polovane information, TVIII also proposed assist involve the tellering.		Yes	No	
	37.	Potential Violation of Environment, Safety, or Health Regulations/Perr	mits		П	
	38.	Siting/Construction/Major Modification of Waste Recovery, or Waste T		Ħ	Ħ	
	00.	Storage, or Disposal Facilities		_		
	39.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination				
	40.	New or Modified Federal/State Permits		П	Ħ	
	41	Public Controversy		Ħ	Ħ	
	42.	Environmental Justice		Ħ	Ħ	
	43.	Action/Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license, funding,	annroval)		Ħ	
	44.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law. (Does the S	toto	H	H	
	44.		iale		ш	
	45	Environmental Quality Review Act apply?)				
	45.	Public Utilities/Services		H	片	
	46.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource		H	Н	
	47.			H	H	
	48.	Connected Actions		H	H	
	49.	Exclusively Bench-top Research		님	님	
	50.	Only a Laboratory Setting				
Fina	ancial A	ssistance Award Organization Concurrence:				
	20	The second secon				
A.	Organ	ization Official (Name and Title): Qingwu Wang, President				
	Signat	ture: Postea Was	D	oto: 3/7/19		
	Signa			ate: _3/7/18		
	e-mail	: _qwang@2witech.com Phone: _	858-680	7-8808		
_						
В.	Option	nal Concurrence (Name and Title):				
	Signat	ture:	Da	ate:		
	Cignal					
	o-mail	Phone:				

V.

Remainder to be completed by SC-CH

 VI_{\ast}

SC-	CH Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:			
Α.	SC-CH Office of Acquisition and Assistance or Office of Safety, Technical & Infrastructure Services:			
	Project Director or Contract Specialist (Name and Title):			
	Signature: Walter Strzephn Date: 3-22-18			
В.	SC-CH NEPA Team Review:			
	Is the project/activity appropriate for a determination or a recommendation to the Head of the Field Organization by the NEPA Compliance Officer (NCO) under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?			
	Yes No 🗆			
	Specific class(es) of action from Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR 1021): 33. C			
	Name and Title:			
	Signature: Date:			
C.	SC-CH Counsel (if necessary):			
	Name and Title:			
	Signature: Date:			
D.	SC-CH NEPA Compliance Officer:			
	e preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR 21.400.			
×	Äction may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.			
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.			
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.			
Co	Comments/Limitations if necessary:			
Sig	Peter R. Siebach SC-CH NEPA Compliance Officer			