DOE NEPA Trac	kina Numbei
---------------	-------------

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization receiving funds and/or implementing Federal Actions as defined by 40 CFR \S 1508.18. For assistance, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

		n/Award No. (if applicable) ion Name: University of V	: _DE-SC0015220 Vashington (Seattle, Washngton)	1.00	
Title	of Pr	oposed Action: Design of	a U-230/Th-226 Generator System and Evaluation of Th-226 Chelation Che	mistry	
Total	DOE	Funding/Total Funding:	\$450,000.00		
I .	Pro	ject Description: (Use exp	lanation pages if additional space is required)		
	Α.	Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions) The proposed studies will be conducted as a collaboration between the Radiochemistry Division at the University of Washington (UW) and the Medical Isotopes group at the Los Alamos National laboratory (LANL) focused on the development of a generator system and chelation chemistry that will permit the short-lived alpha-emitting radionuclide To 226 to be used in Molecular Radiotherapy applications. Development of the generator system will be done at LANL and development of the chelation chemistry will be done at UW. The generator system will have the parent U-230 (half-life = 20.2 days), which can be eluted (milked) to give the Th-226 (half-life = 30.7 min). The studies at UW will involve synthes of a series of ligands that are designed to chelate Th radionuclides. Those ligands, along with some commonly used ligands that can be purchased and another ligand prepared at UBC, will be evaluated in chelation studies using Th-227 (half-life = 18.7 days) as a surrogate for Th-226.		and ife = thesis	
	B.	Would the project procee If "yes," use explanation	d without Federal funding? n page.	Yes	No ⊠
II.	Des	scription of Affected Environ	nment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)		

These studies will be conducted in a radiochemistry laboratory at the University of Washington.

		DOE NEPA Tracking	Number								
III.	Pre	iminary Questions:		N 1.							
	A.	Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No ⊠							
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.									
	В.	Is there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)									
		 Work to be performed outdoors? Major modification of a building interior? Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for 									
		environment, safety, and health?Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?		\boxtimes							
		5. Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?		\boxtimes							
	٠	 The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources? Potential for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment? The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a significant impact? 		\boxtimes							
		 Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collectively significant impacts could result? 		\boxtimes							
		10. Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding resource usage?		\boxtimes							
IV.	 V. Potential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response) A. Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and/or disturb 										
		the following resources?	Yes	No							
		 Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) Cultural or Historic Resources Important Farmland Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards Class I Air Quality Control Region Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) Floodplains and/or Wetlands 									
	В.	B. Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following reg									
		activities?		s or							

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

	B. Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Item activities? (continued)					s or		
		activiti	cs: (bonanaca)			Yes	No	
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)					
		19.	Asbestos Removal				\boxtimes	
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)					
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances					
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use					
		23.						
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions					
		25.	Liquid Effluents					
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection				\boxtimes	
		27.	Underground Injection				\boxtimes	
		28.	Hazardous Waste					
		29.	Underground Storage Tanks					
		30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste					
		31.	Radiation Exposure					
		32.	Nanoscale Materials					
		33.						
		34.					Ħ	
		35.					Ħ	
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles			Ħ	Ħ	
		37.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory			Ħ	Ħ	
		38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals			Ħ	Ħ	
		39	Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet			Ħ	Ħ	
	_			0	_	_		
	C.	Other	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the	ie followin	<u>197</u>	Yes	No	
		40.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low	Income F	Populations	П	\boxtimes	
		41.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits			Ħ	ᅒ	
		42.	Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/permit, funding, approval)					
		43.						
		44.						
		45.						
		46.	Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Control Process					
		47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human Health or the Environment					
		77.	Other retilient information without oddid impact runtain	icaliii oi t	TIO ETIVITOTITION			
V.	Anr	olicant C	ertification that to the best of their knowledge all information	provided	l on this form is accu	ırate:		
•.	<u> </u>	onount o	orthodion that to the boot of their throwings an information	1 0101.000		Yes	No	
		pes this disclosure contain classified, confidential, or other exempt information that DOE would						
	not	ot be obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act?						
	A.	Organ	ization Official (Name and Title): Ken Blucker					
		Signat		Date:	1/26/2016			
		e-mail	OSP@ edu	Phone:	206-543-4043			
	B.	Optional Secondary Approval (Name and Title):						
		Signat	ure:	Date:				
		e-mail		_ FIIONE:				

DOE	NEPA	Trackina Number	

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.

DO	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:		
Α.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:	Voo	No
	Has the Applicant completed the Form correctly? Does an existing Generic Categorical Exclusion apply? If yes, indicate:	Yes ·⊠ □	No □ ½
	Name and Title:		
	Signature: Cynchia Snider Date: January	29,	2016
B.	DOE NEPA Team Review:	Yes	No
	Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action: 13.12		
	Name and Title: Refer Sychock		,
	Signature: Date: 1292	016	
C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):		
	Name and Title:		
	Signature: Date:		
D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:		
	e preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulatio 21.400.	n, 10 CFR	§
A	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined the action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.	at the prop	osed
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation Environmental Assessment.	of an	
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Repreparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.	ecommend	i
	Comments/limitations if any:		
	NEPA Compliance Officer		
	Name: Juk Suluh		
	Signature: Peter R. Siebach Date: 1/2	9/20	16

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

Item IV.B. (Items with a "Yes" response)

#30. Proposed work involves: Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste

The studies will involve the use of radioactive materials under an authorization (UW AUI #711) from the University of Washington Radiation Safety Program. The studies will be conducted in full compliance with the University of Washington Radiation Safety policies for training, procurement, use, and monitoring of radioactive materials. Experimental methods are reviewed by Radiation Safety personnel. There will be no radioactive mixed waste, except daughter radionuclides that are inherent in the decay of the parent thorium-227.

#31. Proposed work involves: Radiation Exposure

The studies will involve working with radioactive materials so there will be some radiation exposure. The radiation exposure is minimized through careful experimental planning. Radiation exposure is monitored by the UW Radiation Safety office. We use radioactive fume hoods approved for radioactive materials, appropriate shielding, and follow the ALARA program for minimizing the radiation exposure to workers. Radiation exposure is monitored through use of whole body and finger ring dosimeters, which are read monthly.