SC-CH F 550 (10/12) Previous editions are obsolete.

Chicago Office NEPA Tracking Number

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF SCIENCE -- CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization receiving funds and/or implementing Federal Actions as defined by <u>40 CFR § 1508.18</u>. For assistance, refer to "Instructions for Preparing SC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No. (if applicable): DE-FOA-0001019 / DE-SC0009709

Organization Name: GroundMetrics, Inc.

Title of Proposed Project/Research: Borehole-Deployed Electric Field Sources and Sensors for Permanent Monitoring of CO2 Sequestration..

Total DOE Funding/Total Project Funding: \$1,093,585

Project Description (use explanation page if additional space is required):

A. Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

GroundMetrics proposes to conduct two electromagnetic surveys at Denbury Resources Hastings Field, one before and one after planned CO2 injection. The CO2 injection is a separate commercial activity not being conducted under this project. The purpose of this project is to demonstrate that electromagnetic measurements can be used to image the propagation of a CO2 plume underground.

The project surveys involve the laying of passive electromagnetic receivers on top of the ground and the injection of a small amount of electric current into the ground by shallow insertion of commercial copper grounding stakes commonly used in construction hooked up to a commercial geophysical transmitter, or placing a commercial source down a well using a commercial wireline service.

B. Would the project proceed without Federal funding?

If "yes," use explanation page.

II. Description of Affected Environment:

The field is in South Texas and is somewhat residential. GroundMetrics has done a previous survey in this area and is familiar with the terrain/environment.

No

 \boxtimes

Yes

		Chicago Office NEPA Tracking Numb		Vumber
Pre	liminary	Questions:	Yes	No
Α.	<u>Is the</u>	DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"		\boxtimes
	lf "Ye	s", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	V.	
В.	Is the	e any potential whatsoever for:		
	Provi	de an explanation for each "Yes" response.	Yes	No
	1.	Work to be performed outdoors?	\boxtimes	
	2.	Major modification of a building interior?	ĥ	\boxtimes
	3.	Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for	П	\boxtimes
		environment, safety, and health?		_
	4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal		\boxtimes
		facilities?	_	_
	5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?		\boxtimes
	6.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?		
	7.	Potential for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment?		\boxtimes
	8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		\bowtie
		potentially create a significant impact?		
	9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that		\boxtimes
	10	collectively significant impacts could result?		\boxtimes
	10.	Scientific or public controversy over whether impacts could be significant?	Ļ	

If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.

Potential Environmental Effects: IV.

111.

Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response.

A. Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and/or disturbances to any of the following resources?

		Yes	No
1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats		\boxtimes
2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds)		\boxtimes
3.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)		\boxtimes
4.	Cultural or Historic Resources		\boxtimes
5.	Important Farmland		\boxtimes
6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		\boxtimes
7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region		\boxtimes
8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)	· 🗌	\boxtimes
9.	Navigable Air Space		\boxtimes
10.	Coastal Zones		\boxtimes
11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)		\square
12.	Floodplains and/or Wetlands		\boxtimes

12. Floodplains and/or Wetlands

Chicago Office NEPA Tracking Number

	B. <u>Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or activities?</u>			ns or			
		activit	les?			Yes	No
		13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments				
		14.	Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms			П	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
		15.	Noxious Weeds			П	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		16.	Clearing or Excavation (indicate if greater than one acre)			П	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		17.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, great	er than or	ne acre)	П	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)		,	П	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		19.	Asbestos Removal			П	X
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)			П	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances				$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use			Π	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		23.	Pesticide Use				$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions			Π	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		25.	Liquid Effluents			П	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection			П	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		27.	Underground Injection			П	X
		28.	Hazardous Waste			П	X
		29.	Underground Storage Tanks			П	X
		30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste			П	X
		31.	Radiation Exposure			Ы	- X
		32.	Nanoscale Materials				X
		33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Syntheti	c Bioloav	2	П	X
		34.	Ozone Depleting Substances	2.0.03)		П	X
		35.	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability				X
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles			Ē	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		37	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory				$\overline{\boxtimes}$
		- ,.					_
	C.	Other	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve th	e followin	<u>g?</u>		
						Yes	No
		38.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits				\boxtimes
		39.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low				XXX
		40.	Action/Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. licens	e/permit,	funding,		\boxtimes
			approval)			_	
		41.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law				\boxtimes
		42.	Public Utilities/Services				
		43.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource				
		44.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human H	ealth or th	ne Environment		\boxtimes
V.	App	licant C	Certification that to the best of their knowledge all information	provided	on this form is acc	urate:	
						Vee	NI-
	D			- me offers	that DOE would	Yes	No
			lisclosure contain classified, confidential, or other exempt inf		that DOE would		\boxtimes
	not	pe oplig	gated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act	7			
	•	0	institute Official (Name and Title)	-0			
	A.	Organ	ization Official (Name and Title):Jeffrey W. Symington, CF	-0			
		0:		Deter	0/00/2015		
		Signal	ture:	Date	9/29/2015	·	
		e-mail	; jsymington@groundmetrics.com	Dhono	858-381-4143		
		e-mai	: jsymingtón@groundmetrics.com	rnone.	000-001-4140		
	В.	Optior	nal Secondary Approval (Name and Title):				
		Siana	ture:	Data			
		Signat	ture:	Dale.			
		e-mail	k	Phone:			
		2				5	4 . 6 4

Page 4 of 4

			Chicago Office N	EPA Tracking Number
Roma	indo	ler to be completed by DOE	Contract Specialist answered th	nis question, confirming
			that an existing Generic Catego not apply and that a NEPA Con	
VI.	DO	OE Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:	was prudent due to the recipier	-
	А.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contra		
		Has the Applicant completed the Form correctly? Does an existing Generic Categorical Exclusion a If yes, indicate:	apply?	
		Name and Title: Douglas Archer, Program M		
		Signature: Douglas B. Archer	Digitally signed by Douglas B. Archer Dit:ceus, oeus, government, our-department of energy, o Environment[0] <u>dFde</u> C, cn-Douglas B. Archer Dater2015.10.01 15:31:45-04400	ou=Energy IT Services, ou≃DOE Common Operating
	B.	DOE NEPA Team Review:		
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action:	-	Yes No
		Name and Title:		
		Signature:	Date:	
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):		
		Name and Title:		
		Signature:		
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:		
		e preceding pages are a record of documentation rec 21.400.	quired under DOE Final NEPA Regulation	on, 10 CFR §
		Action may be categorically excluded from fur action meets the requirements for Categorical		that the proposed
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Environmental Assessment.	Organization. Recommend preparation	n of an
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field preparation of an Environmental Impact State		Recommend
		Comments/limitations if any:		
		NEPA Compliance Officer:		

Name: Peter R. Siebach

Signature:	Implicht

Date: 10/20/2015