## U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF SCIENCE -- CHICAGO OFFICE

## NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization receiving funds and/or implementing Federal Actions as defined by <u>40 CFR § 1508.18</u>. For assistance, refer to "Instructions for Preparing SC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No. (if applicable): DE-SC001299			
Organization Name: Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)			
Title of Proposed Project/Research: Solid-State Solar-Thermal Energy Conversion Center (S3TEC)			
Total DOE Funding/Total Project Funding: 18,571,547.83 / 18,571,547.83			
I. Project Description (use explanation page if additional space is required):			
A. Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)  The Center aims to address the DOE's grand challenges, connecting nanoscale materials to gigawatts electricity, by advancing the basic science underpinning direct energy conversion technologies, through discovering materials that meet the needs of applications in terms of performance, cost, and reliability. The S3TEC Center's vision is to create an intellectual base for advancing the fundamental science of carrier coupling and transport for thermal-to-electrical energy conversion, based on thermoelectric (TE thermophotovoltaic (TPV) technologies, and thermogalvanic (TG) technologies. The fundamental known gained at the S3TEC Center is being successfully used to design new materials and devices to achieve in efficiency of these technologies.			
B. Would the project proceed without Federal funding?  If "yes," use explanation page.	No ⊠		
II. Description of Affected Environment:			

The new technologies being developed at the S3TEC Center based on direct conversion of renewable solar and terrestrial thermal energy into electricity will help to reduce the use of traditional fossil fuels and thus to reduce CO2 emission into the atmosphere.

	Chicago Office NEPA			NEPA Trackin	racking Number			
III.	Pre	liminary	y Questions:					
4				Yes	No No			
	A.	Is the	DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"		$\boxtimes$			
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.						
	В.	Is the						
		Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response.						
			•	Yes	. No			
		1.	Work to be performed outdoors?		$\boxtimes$			
		2.	Major modification of a building interior?	一	$\boxtimes$			
		3.	Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for		$\boxtimes$			
		0.	environment, safety, and health?	<b></b>	(K-3)			
		4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal		$\boxtimes$			
		<b></b>	facilities?		157			
		5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting ir environment?	n the	$\boxtimes$			
		6.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?	П	$\boxtimes$			
		7.	Potential for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment?					
		8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could poter					
		о.	create a significant impact?	itially []				
		0		F-1	K7			
		9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that		$\boxtimes$			
		10.	collectively significant impacts could result? Scientific or public controversy over whether impacts could be significant?					
		If "No	o" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.					
IV.	Pot	ential F	Environmental Effects:					
١٧.	100	Cittiai L	Invitorina Enecis.					
	Pro	ovide a	n explanation for each "Yes" response.					
	Α.	Sensi	tive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and/or dis	turbances to	any of the			
		follow	ring resources?					
				Yes	No.			
		1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats					
		2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds)		$\overline{\Box}$			
		3.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)		. 🗂			
		4.	Cultural or Historic Resources	H	片			
		5.	Important Farmland					
		6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards					
		7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region		L			
		8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)	片	L)			
			, -					
		9.	Navigable Air Space	닐	片			
		10.	Coastal Zones	<u></u>				
		11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)		님			
		12.	Floodplains and/or Wetlands					

	B. Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items of				s or
		activit	ies?	Yes	No
		13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments		
		14.	Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms	H	
		15.	Noxious Weeds	Ħ	H
		16.	Clearing or Excavation (indicate if greater than one acre)		H
		17.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)	· 🗄	H
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)		$\exists$
		19.	Asbestos Removal		
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)		
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances		H
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use	H	H
		23.	Pesticide Use	$\vdash$	⊢
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions		
		25.	Liquid Effluents	$\vdash$	$\Box$
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection		H
		20. 27.	Underground Injection	H	H
		28.	Hazardous Waste	H	H
		29.	Underground Storage Tanks	片	片
		30,	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste		H
		31.	Radiation Exposure		H
		32.	Nanoscale Materials		
		33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthetic Biology?		H
		34,	Ozone Depleting Substances		H
		3 <del>4</del> .	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability		H
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles	H	<u></u>
		30. 37	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory		H
		37	Biosalety Level 3-4 Eaboratory		<b></b>
	C.	Other	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the following?	Yes	No
		38.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits		
		39.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low Income Populations	Ħ	Ħ
		40.	Action/Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/permit, funding, approval)		Ħ
		41.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law	Ħ	Ħ
		42.	Public Utilities/Services		Ħ
		43.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource		Ħ
		44.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human Health or the Environment		H
		44.	Other Forthern Michigan Willer Sould Impact Farman Floater of the Environment		
/,	Apr	olicant (	Certification that to the best of their knowledge all information provided on this form is accu	<u>rate</u> :	
				Yes	No
			disclosure contain classified, confidential, or other exempt information that DOE would gated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act?		$\boxtimes$
			1		
	A.	Organ	nization Official (Name and Title): Prof. Gang Chen		
		Signa	ture: Date:		
		e-mai	l: gchen2@mit.edu Phone: 617-253-3523		
	В.	Option	nal Secondary Approval (Name and Title):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		<i>C'</i>			
		Signa	ture: Date:		***************************************

## Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DOI	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:						
	A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:						
		Has the Applicant completed the Form correctly?  Does an existing Generic Categorical Exclusion apply?  If yes, indicate:	Yes ⊠ ⊠	No □ □				
		Name and Title: Tanga Baylor, Contract Specialis Signature: Janga Baylor Date:	4					
		Signature: Janga Baylon Date: 9	-17-14					
	B.	DOE NEPA Team Review:						
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))?  If yes, specify the class(es) of action:	Yes X	No				
		Name and Title: Peter Stebach						
		Signature: Date: 9/	17/2014					
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):	•					
		Name and Title:						
		Signature: Date:						
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:						
		The preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR § 1021.400.						
	X	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.						
		ation of an						
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Offic preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.	er. Recommend					
		Comments/limitations if any:						
		NEPA Compliance Officer:						
		Name: Peter Siebach						
		Signature: Date:	9/17/2014					
			Page	4 of 4				