U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF SCIENCE -- CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "financial assistance award" organization receiving Federal funding. For assistance (including a point of contact), see "Instructions for Preparing SC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form".

Solicitation/Award No.	(if applicable):	DE-SC0008433	
Organization Name: Texas A&M University			
Title of Proposed Proje	ect/Research:	The Texas A&M Interdisciplinary Radioisotope Production and Radiochemistry	
	si sinoitu	Program	
Total DOE Funding/To	otal Project Fund	ding: \$351,250	

I. Project Description (use additional pages as necessary):

A. Proposed Project/Action (delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

Federally Funded

We have requested a total of \$351,250 to acquire new equipment and enhancements to our radiochemical facility and targetry system.

We are requesting funds to **upgrade** a Nirta Solid target system and ancillary equipment to adapt the target to the K150 cyclotron. The target system requires multiple systems and facility modifications to the K150 vault for its adaptation. The system requires pumps for water injection, a water chiller, an external control system, a helium system for front target cooling, and servomechanisms for target drop and lead pig locking. The upgrade is expected to cost approximately \$80,000.

We are requesting \$50,000 to **upgrade** our physical facilities before the installation of the new equipment. This renovation includes assessment of the floor load and reinforcement, installation of new plumbing and electrical systems, re-commissioning and certification of all ducts, and radiochemistry cabinets.

The remaining funds will be used to **upgrade** our hot cell. Under a previous DOE grant we will purchase a basic hot cell and radiochemical fume hood. In here we are requesting to upgrade these units. We want to upgrade the hot cell from a 3-panel Model 2444 to a vertical door model with retrieval side door and add CRL type GLD manipulators, include 4 gas lines, and acquire a waste and storage cabinets. The hot cell will be used for the production and separation of ⁶⁴Cu, ¹²⁴I, and ⁶²Zn/⁶²Cu generator. The radiochemical fume hood will be use for the distillation and production of ²¹¹At.

Appendices A through E provide a full description of the facilities and affected environment under this award.

Appendix A

General description of the Cyclotron Institute.

Appendix B.

Description of the radiochemistry facility at the Cyclotron Institute. This is the facility that will be impacted under this award.

Yes

No

 \bowtie

Appendix C.

Description of the radiochemistry facility at the Zachry Engineering Center. Facility were further experiments will take place. No funds will be used on this facility.

Appendix D.

Description of the NIRTA targetry system to be upgraded under this award.

Appendix E.

A description is given for the hot cell and radiochemical fume hood to be installed in the radiochemistry facility.

Appendix F.

A brief description of the separation apparatus for the production of Zn-62/Cu-62.

Appendix I.

Facility Effluent Monitoring Plan for the Cyclotron Institute. This document presents the monitoring program to be implemented once the facility is commissioned. The monitoring program describes in detail the facilities impacted by this award, the rationale for the design criteria for monitoring, the procedures for laboratory analysis and determination of activity levels in air effluents. It also provides the quality assurance requirements for the facility operation, reporting and implementation of procedures.

These appendices cover a description to responses in sections III.B and IV.A-C.

Non-Federally Funded

The radiochemistry room will be clear from asbestos and the air unit will be modified or adapted to attain the specifications of the manufacturer of the hot cell and radiochemical fume hood.

This radiochemical room has been without use/service for many years. It will be re-commissioned as a radiochemistry laboratory. There will be no affected rooms or areas due to the installation and modification of the facility.

B. Would the project proceed without Federal funding?

If "yes", describe the impact to the scope:

II. Description of Affected Environment:

The floor of the radiochemical room will be raised approximately 10 inches in order to place a reinforced floor. A cross beam will be placed across the floor from one pillar to another making it feasible to place a load of approximately 50,000 lb. There will be the need to remove and modify water drains, water lines, and air handling system to attain a negative pressure, and sealing of the walls on all sides and on the top. A new door will be put in place and radiation monitors will be located at the exits lines of the radiochemical fume hood and hot cells as per facility management effluent regulations. No environmental impact is expected from these improvements to the facility.

III.	Pre	liminary Questions:		
			Yes	No
	Α.	Is the DOE-funded work entirely a "paper study"?		\boxtimes
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section	<i>V</i> .	
	В.	Will the work to be performed take place entirely in existing buildings?		
			Page	e 2 of 4

And NOT:

1.	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health?	\boxtimes	
2.	Require the siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?	\boxtimes	
З.	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?	\boxtimes	
4.	Adversely affect environmentally-sensitive resources identified in Section IV.A.?	\boxtimes	
5.	Be connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a cumulatively significant impact?	\boxtimes	
6.	Have an inherent <i>possibility</i> for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment (e.g., Biosafety Level 3-4 laboratories, activities involving high levels of		\boxtimes

If "Yes" to Question III.B. and ALL six subsequent questions, ensure the descriptions in Sections I and II reflect this and go directly to Section V.

Appendices A, B, C, D, E, F, and I provide a full description of the facility renovations, radiochemistry facility description, equipment description, and environmental monitoring.

IV. Potential Environmental Effects:

radiation)?

Attach/insert an explanation for each "Yes" response.

A. <u>Sensitive Resources: Will the proposed action result in changes and/or disturbances to any of the following</u> resources?

			Yes	NO
	1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats		\boxtimes
	2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds)		\boxtimes
	З.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)		\boxtimes
	4.	Archaeological/Historic Resources		\boxtimes
	5.	Important Farmland		\boxtimes
	6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		\boxtimes
	7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region		\boxtimes
	8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)		\boxtimes
	9.	Navigable Air Space		\boxtimes
	10.	Coastal Zones		\boxtimes
	11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)		\boxtimes
	12.	Floodplains and Wetlands		\boxtimes
В.	Regu	lated Substances/Activities: Will the proposed action involve any of the following regulate	ed items o	r
	activi	ties?		
			Yes	No
	13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments		\boxtimes
	14.	Exotic Organisms		\boxtimes
	15.	Noxious Weeds		\boxtimes
	16.	Clearing or Excavation (indicate if greater than one acre)		\boxtimes
	17.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, indicate if greater than ten acres)		\boxtimes

В.	Regulated Substances/Activities:	Will the proposed	action involve	any of the	following	regulated	Items or
	activities? (continued)						

	activit	ies ? (continued)	Vac	No
	18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)	Yes	No
	19.	Asbestos Removal	\square	
	20.	PCB's		\square
	21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances		\boxtimes
	22.	Chemical Storage/Use	\square	
	23.	Pesticide Use		
	24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions		
	25.	Liquid Effluents	\square	
	26.	Underground Injection		
	27.	Hazardous Waste	\square	\square
	28.			\square
	20. 29.		\square	
	29. 30.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	\boxtimes	
		Radioactive Waste		
	31.	Radiation Exposure		
	32.	Surface Water Protection		\boxtimes
	33.	Pollution Prevention Act		\boxtimes
	34.	Ozone Depleting Substances		\boxtimes
	35.	Off-Road Vehicles		\boxtimes
	36.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory		\boxtimes
C.	Other	Relevant Information: Will the proposed action involve the following?	Vee	Nie
	37.	Potential Violation of Environment, Safety, or Health Regulations/Permits	Yes	No
	38.	Siting/Construction/Major Modification of Waste Recovery, or Waste Treatment,		\boxtimes
	00.	Storage, or Disposal Facilities		
	39.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination		\boxtimes
	40.	New or Modified Federal/State Permits		
	41	Public Controversy	H	\boxtimes
	42.	Environmental Justice		\boxtimes
	42.			
	43.	Action/Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license, funding, approval)		\boxtimes
	44.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law. (Does the State		
	45	Environmental Quality Review Act apply?)		
	45.	Public Utilities/Services		
	46.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource		\boxtimes
	47.	Extraordinary Circumstances		\boxtimes
	48.	Connected Actions		\boxtimes
	49.	Exclusively Bench-top Research		\boxtimes
	50.	Only a Laboratory Setting		\boxtimes
Fina	ancial A	ssistance Award Organization Concurrence:		
A.	Organ	ization Official (Name and Title): Gamal Akabani, PhD		
Λ.	Organ	Distally signed by comaple ubbuly: oper-	.E.t	
	Signa	1 Inid OSDD5724.1120.4525.9491.60C543038590	9/14/12	
		Date: 2012 09:14 14:4821-06:00'		
	e-mai	l: akabani@tamu.edu Phone: 979-458-1699	1	
В.	Option	nal Concurrence (Name and Title):		

Signature:

۷.

Date:

Phone:

where explore it is not believe to the second second

Chicago Office NEPA Tracking Number

SC	-CH Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:	
A.	SC-CH Office of Acquisition and Assistance or Off	ice of Safety, Technical & Infrastructure Services:
	Project Director or Contract Specialist (Name and Title):	
	Signature:	Date:
В.	SC-CH NEPA Team Review:	
	Is the project/activity appropriate for a determination Organization by the NEPA Compliance Officer (NG	on or a recommendation to the Head of the Field CO) under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?
	Yes 💢	No 🗆
	Specific class(es) of action from Appendices A-D	to Subpart D (10 CFR 1021): $B3.10$
	Name and Title: Petr R. Siet	
C.	SC-CH Counsel (if necessary):	, .
	Name and Title:	
		Date:
D.	SC-CH NEPA Compliance Officer:	
	e preceding pages are a record of documentation re 21.400.	quired under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR
X	Action may be categorically excluded from fu action meets the requirements for Categorica	rther NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed al Exclusion referenced above.
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Environmental Assessment.	Organization. Recommend preparation of an
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field	Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend

Date: 9/14/2012

Signature:

Subert Peter R. Siebach

SC-CH NEPA Compliance Officer