Argon		Environmental Review Form National Laborat	m for Argonne tory	Form: Version: Your Form ID Form Status: Date: Created By:	ANL-985 5 9: ANL-985-1892 Approved 4/24/2023 4:36:19 PM Kaminski, Michael D.	
Creator	44400		Nome	Kanala in		
Badge:	44103		Name:	Kamins	ski, Michael D.	
Cost Center:	118		Division:	SSS		
Job Title:	Senior Nuclear	Chemical Engineer	Employee Type	e: Regula	r Full-Time Exempt	
Building:	205		Lab Extension:	2-4777		
General Inform	ation					
Project/Act	ivity Title: Vehicle	induced resuspension of surro	ogate fallout			
ASO NEPA Trac	cking No.:	Type of Fundin	g: Other funds (D	DHS)		
B 8	R Code:	Identifying Numbe	er: SPP-2023-230)72		
SPP Proposal	Number: 2023-23	3072 CRADA Proposal Numbe	er:			
Work Project	Number:	ANL Accounting Numbe	er:	(Item 3a ir	n Field Work Proposal)	
Other	(explain):					
List appropriate	NEPA Owners:					
Division: SSS I	NEPA Owner:					

Financial Plans

To select a Financial Plan, click the magnifying glass icon to open a search window.

Cost Center: Project: Phase: Task:

Description of Proposed Action

Argonne will measure the resuspension of surrogate contaminated fallout particles (non-radioactive, non-hazardous) from the ground into the air. A roadway on the Argonne campus will be chosen in consultation with Argonne safety, facility, and security personnel..Surrogate contamination in the form of fine particles will be spread on the pavement within the short test strip. Staff will drive a car at various speed over the test strip in order to stir up the fine particles so that their air concentration of the fine particles can be measured by portable monitors. We will identify a roadway on the Argonne campus that can be closed during testing that will last from hours to consecutive days and possibly weekends. A test strip will be designated for that roadway. The test strip is a short section (1-20') of the roadway on which surrogate fallout particles will be applied. A tent or sidewalls may be placed over or along the short test strip of that roadway to help contain the surrogate fallout particles and control the effects of wind. Surrogate fallout contamination in the form of fine particles will be spread on the pavement of the test strip either with a hand sifter, by a fertilizer type distributor, or by pneumatic spray. Staff will drive vehicles at various speed over the roadway and test strip in order to stir up the fine particles so that their air concentration of the fine particles can be measured by portable monitors. The roadway will be cleaned by vacuum of all material after each day of testing. The vehicle may need to be wiped down to remove particles between test runs and the vehicle may have to be washed at the termination of testing. Prior to entering the test strip, we will use sticky mats on the roadway to clean the tires and remove particles that have attached onto the tires of the vehicle. We will also include those sticky mats for the tires immediately after the car exits the test strip to remove loose particles. The particles sizes will vary between ~1 micrometer and 30 micrometers in diameter and will vary in shape from spherical to irregularly shaped. The surrogate fallout particles for tests will include one or more of the following; powdered clay (bentonite/montmorillonite, illite, kaolinite), silicon dioxide, riboflavin particles, and polymeric particles (e.g., polymethylmethacrylate, polystyrene) and will be ultimately determined based on safety and environmental compliance and regulations. The work is expected to take months to complete possibly likely over the performance period since consistent weather conditions are needed to minimize variability in the collected data. The period of performance for the first phase of this work is 24 months with the potential of a second phase lasting 24-48 more months.

Description of Affected Environment

A roadway on the Argonne campus will be chosen in consultation with Argonne safety, facility, and security personnel. The purpose of the roadway is to provide a "typical" roadway (relatively smooth and flat, free of potholes and crumbling pavement) on

which staff will drive a car at various speeds to measure the car's ability to suspend dust particles spread on the roadway. Watertower Rd is currently the best choice although other roads are still under consideration (preference given to roadways that are away from streams or waterways, can be closed off to traffic without affecting laboratory operations). We may asphalt over an existing road to improve its condition before testing. Such action will be approved by the proper Argonne authorities beforehand. We expect a fraction of the particles to be resuspended into the air and move with the wake generated by the vehicle and by the wind. We expect a fraction of the particles to be moved along the roadway away from the tire tracks and toward the shoulder and center of the roadway. Argonne roadways are in close proximity to the forest. Roadway tent or sidewalls may be used to control the release of surrogate fallout particles outside of the test area.

Potential Environmental Effects

- Attach explanation for each "yes" response near bottom of form.
- See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form.

S	ectio For	on A (Complete All Projects)	Yes	S No Explanation					
1.	Pro Poll and Min opp deta und 7, 8 belc	ect evaluated for ution Prevention Waste imization ortunities and ails provided er items 2, 4, 6, , 16, and 20 ow, as applicable	۲	c	Meeting between ESH and PI discussed the scope of experiments and potential waste generation, including pollution prevention and waste minimization. A project briefing included representatives from environmental protection and waste management. Pollution prevention and waste minimization measures were noted and are reflected below.				
2.	2. Air Pollutant Emissions		c	۲	Particles may become airborne during testing activities. Pollution prevention measures taken are the choice of using non-hazardous particles, limiting the particle size to 1micron or greater, minimizing the test strip length. I addition every effort will be taken to minimize release of the particles. Engineering controls may include tents or silt fencing along the roads to help contain the particles as well as shield them from being swept up by wind. Activities will be limited to days that are calm. Waste minimization will be practiced in only using the amount of particles required for the tests. Loading of particles onto the pavement is expected to be 0.0001-20 g/m^2.				
3.	Nois	se	0	\odot					
4.	Che Sto	emical/Oil rage/Use	c	\odot	Chemicals in the form of surrogate fallout particles will be used. Materials/particles that will be used will be non-hazardous and controlled in a manner discussed in 1,2, and 8				
5.	5. Pesticide Use		0	\odot					
6.	6. Control Act (TSCA) Substances								
	6a.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	0	o					
	6b.	Asbestos or Asbestos Containing Materials	o	۲					
	6c.	Other TSCA Regulated Substances	0	o					
	6d.	Import or Export of Chemical Substances	0	o					
7.	Biol	nazards	0	\odot					
8.	Efflu (If y #12 Pete	uent/Wastewater es, see question and contact er Lynch (HSE) at	o	o	Held meeting with Environmental Compliance officer to discuss potential impact to the environment of particle aerosols generated during experiments. Every effort will be taken to control and prevent release of particles into the environment. Administrative controls will include only conducting tests on dry calm days, during daylight hours. Engineered controls will be put in place to contain the particles and recover them at the completion of test on each day. Controls will consists of barriers such as tents, silt fencing and/or pigs along the both sides of				

	2-4582 or lynch@anl.gov)				the test strip, use of HEPA vacuum to recover particles for disposal, and sticky strips and wipes to collect particles from the vehicle. Coveralls, and gloves will be used by crew when walking through or cleaning up the road.
9.	9. Waste Management				
	9a.	Construction or Demolition Waste	0	o	
	9b.	Hazardous Waste	c	۲	On May 11, 2023, the PI, the Environmental Compliance officer, the Waste Management specialist, and the ESH Coordinator to discuss the expected waste as described in this ANL-985 form. It was concluded that none of the expected waste will be a RCRA regulated waste. The Environmental Compliance officer and the ESH Coordinator met later the same day and began the process of reviewing the expected waste using a Waste Determination flow chart. Again none of the waste was determined to be RCRA regulated waste. The documentation of the determination will be filed with project files. The Waste Management specialist reviewed the waste assessment discussion and concluded "Thanks Mike, Given the process you outlined, these will also be non-RCRA, non-DOT and State of Illinois non-regulated"
	9c.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	o	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
	9d.	Radioactive Waste	0	\odot	
	9e.	Asbestos Waste	0	\odot	
	9f.	Biological Waste	0	\odot	
	9g.	No Path to Disposal Waste	0	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
	9h.	Nano-material Waste	o	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
10.	Rad	diation	0	\odot	
11.	Thr of E or F Red	eatened Violation ES&H Regulations Permit quirement	o	۲	
12.	Nev Fec Per	w or Modified leral or State mits	0	Θ	
13.	Siti or N of F Rec Sto Wa	ng, Construction, Major Modification Facility to cover, Treat, re, or Dispose of ste	c	٥	
14.	Put	olic Controversy	0	\odot	
15.	His anc	toric Structures I Objects	0	Θ	
16.	Dis Pre Coi	turbance of -existing ntamination	0	\odot	
17.	Ene Res Cor Sus Fea	ergy Efficiency, source nserving, and stainable Design atures	0	۲	
Se th	Section B (For Projects that Occur Outdoors)		Yes	No	
18.	Thr Enc Crit anc Pro	eatened or dangered Species, ical Habitats, I/or other tected Species	c	©	

19.	Wetlands	\circ	\odot	
20.	Floodplain	0	\odot	
21.	Landscaping	0	\odot	
22.	Navigable Air Space	0	\odot	
23.	Clearing or Excavation	\circ	\odot	
24.	Archaeological Resources	\circ	\odot	
25.	Underground Injection	0	\odot	
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	0	\odot	
27.	Public Utilities or Services	0	\odot	
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	0	\odot	
Se	ction C (For Projects Outside of ANL)	Yes	No	
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	0	۲	
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	0	©	
31.	Coastal Zones	С	\odot	
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	c	©	
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	0	۲	
34.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	0	\odot	

Categorical Exclusion

ANL NEPA Reviewer Use Only

C My approval is the final approval necessary

This form requires additional approval from DOE

To be Completed by DOE/ASO

Section D	Yes	No
Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	C	۲
Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	C	۲
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	0	0
Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	۲	0

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded:

The project may be excluded under the following action of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B: B 3.11 Outdoor tests and experiments on materials and equipment components

If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

Attachments

File Description:	Waste consideration ANL-985	View Attachment
File Description:	Waste determination input Larry Thompson	View Attachment

Comments

Waste determination information is attached to this NEPA.

Add Approver

Approver Name	Approver Badge	Reason	Delete
Vukovich, George	39523	super	
Pierce, Linda M.	40750	SSS ECR	
Lynch, Peter L.	46304	Env Compliance	

Notifications

The approval notification email will be copied to the people listed below.

Badge	Name	Division	Delete
39523	Vukovich, George	SSS	

ASO-CX Number

ASO-CX- 403

Comments:

Approval

Approver	<u>Action</u>	Date Routed	Action Date	Approval Reason / Comments	<u>Approval</u> <u>Type</u>
Kaminski, Michael D.	APPROVED	2023-06-09	2023-06-09 14:07:20.0	Creator :	PRIMARY
Kaminski, Michael D.	APPROVED	2023-06-09	2023-06-09 14:07:20.0	Allows access to the form :	PRIMARY
Kaminski, Michael D.	APPROVED	2023-06-09	2023-06-09 14:07:20.0	Project Manager :	PRIMARY
Vukovich, George	APPROVED	2023-06-09	2023-06-09 15:01:19.0	super :	PRIMARY
Pierce, Linda M.	APPROVED	2023-06-09	2023-06-09 15:07:23.0	SSS ECR :	PRIMARY
Lynch, Peter L.	APPROVED	2023-06-09	2023-06-12 15:12:58.0	Env Compliance :	PRIMARY
Harris, Amy M.	APPROVED	2023-06-12	2023-06-13 06:49:19.0	NEPA Owner Approval for Argonne Environmental Review :	PRIMARY
Ptak, Jill S.	APPROVED	2023-06-13	2023-06-29 17:15:22.0	ANL NEPA Reviewer :	PRIMARY
Dunn, Michael W. for Hellman, Karen Sue	APPROVED	2023-06-29	2023-07-03 12:03:15.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	DELEGATE

Dunn, Michael W.	APPROVED	2023-07-03	2023-07-03 12:03:27.0	ANL-985 ANL Deputy COO Review and Approval :	PRIMARY
Joshi, Kaushik N.	APPROVED	2023-07-03	2023-07-05 12:03:26.0	ANL-985 DOE-ASO Review and Approval : The DOE approval for NEPA Categorical Exclusion for this project is tracked as ASO-CX-403.	PRIMARY
Siebach, Peter Rudolf	APPROVED	2023-07-05	2023-07-05 13:06:20.0	ANL-985 DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Review and Approval :	PRIMARY