

# Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Form: ANL-985

Version: 5

Your Form ID: ANL-985-1836 Form Status: Approved

Date: 12/12/2022 11:36:23 AM Created By: Silvestri, Dana Elizabeth

Creator

Badge: 291883 Name: Kowalkowski, Aneta Renata

Cost Center: 208 Division: PMO

Job Title: Project Manager - Infrastructure Employee Type: Regular Full-Time Exempt

Building: 214 Lab Extension: 2-8473

#### **General Information**

Project/Activity Title: TCS Building 240 Facility Enhancements

ASO NEPA Tracking No.: Type of Funding:

B & R Code: Identifying Number: ANL-985-1836

SPP Proposal Number: CRADA Proposal Number:

Work Project Number: ANL Accounting Number: (Item 3a in Field Work Proposal)

Other (explain):

List appropriate NEPA Owners:
Division: CLS NEPA Owner:
Division: NEPA Owner:

#### **Financial Plans**

To select a Financial Plan, click the magnifying glass icon to open a search window.

Cost Center: Project: Phase: Task:

### **Description of Proposed Action**

The Theory and Computing Sciences (TCS) Building 240 supports advanced high performance computing and visualization for the DOE mission and houses the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility (ALCF). DOE announced the LCF will host the exascale supercomputer "Aurora." Design and construction of an additional support building and supporting mechanical equipment to increase chilling capacity to the B240 southern expansion space is needed to accommodate current and future growth in anticipated computing power and cooling needs. The proposed modifications would accommodate a cooling capacity deficit with the installation of additional water-cooled chillers in the west mechanical room and two cooling towers. The west mechanical room footprint would be expanded to accommodate the equipment. Underground piping will be installed from the ANL/TCS property line on the west to the mechanical room. Existing equipment will be relocated to accommodate the expansion. Possible installation of new switchgear inside the TCS electrical room. This ERF is an addition to and does not replace previous approved NEPA documentation: ASO-CX-194,11/4/2004 and amended 09/2007; ANL-985-457 10/2014, and, ASO-CX-336 ALCF-3 Upgrade/TCS Facility Enhancements-Other Support Facility (OSF) 12/14/2016.

#### **Description of Affected Environment**

The expansion size will be approximately 17,000 to 20,000 sq/ft on the west side of the existing TCS building. Typical construction activities will disturb previously laid concrete area in near vicinity including pavement removal and structural construction.

#### **Potential Environmental Effects**

- Attach explanation for each "yes" response near bottom of form.
- See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form.

Section A (Complete For All Projects)	Yes	No	Explanation

1.	Po Wa opi pro 7, 8	oject evaluated for Ilution Prevention and aste Minimization cortunities and details ovided under items 2, 4, 6, 3, 16, and 20 below, as olicable	•	0	Measures to reduce waste and pollution would be evaluated as an ongoing process throughout construction.	
2.	Air	Pollutant Emissions	•	0	Minor emissions from cars and construction equipment would occur during construction. Equipment at jobsite would be running during typical daily working hours.	
3.	No	ise	•	0	General construction noises are expected. Standard operation of construction equipment would not impact the activities of adjacent buildings.	
4.	Ch	emical/Oil Storage/Use	c	•	No significant chemical and oil storage is expected. Typical construction chemicals such as adhesives and gasoline would be used. The material would be stored in proper containers and protected from spillage. SDS would be available for chemicals on the construction site. This cooling system would be a closed-loop.	
5.	Ре	sticide Use	О	⊙		
6.	11	xic Substances Control t (TSCA) Substances				
	6a.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	О	•		
	6b.	Asbestos or Asbestos Containing Materials	O	⊙		
	6c.	Other TSCA Regulated Substances	C	•		
	6d	Import or Export of Chemical Substances	0	•		
7.	Bic	hazards	0	•		
8.	Effluent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact Peter Lynch (HSE) at 2-4582 or lynch@anl.gov)		•	0	Any storm water discharges during construction would be filtered prior to discharge using different stormwater runoff controls. These activities, including inspections, frequency, and qualifications of stormwater inspectors in accordance with IEPA would be documented in more detail with a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The SWPPP would be monitored by the project, included in the project design, and implemented by the construction contractor. Any storm sewer inlets and outfalls near the project would be protected.	
9.	Wa	ste Management				
	9a. Construction or Demolition Waste		•	c	Demolition waste would consist of construction materials, landscape and organics, and demolished equipment. The project would work on establishing trash collection areas where the debris can be sorted, and recyclables placed in appropriate containers. All material would be either recycled as scrap or disposed of as solid waste in an industrial subtitle D landfill. Excavated materials such as gravel, asphalt, concrete, would be recycled off site by the construction contractor.	
	9b.	Hazardous Waste	$\circ$	⊙		
	9c.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	О	⊙		
	9d.	Radioactive Waste	О	$\odot$		
	9е	Asbestos Waste	О	⊙		
	9f.	Biological Waste	0	•		
	9g.	No Path to Disposal Waste	c	⊙		
	!	Nano-material Waste	0	•		
10.	!	diation	0	⊙		
11.	ES	reatened Violation of &H Regulations or Permit quirement	c	•		
12.	2. New or Modified Federal or State Permits		•	0	The project would obtain a SWPPP permit from the state of Illinois. The project would obtain any other required permits if needed as determined by design development.  This includes but not limited to: IEPA, DuPage County permits, USFWS permits, and	

Ш				permits with the Army Corps. Of Engineers.
13.	Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste	0	•	
14.	Public Controversy	О	$\odot$	
15.	Historic Structures and Objects	О	•	Project does not impact the 200 historic district.
16.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	О	•	
17.	Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	О	⊙	
S	ection B (For Projects that Occur Outdoors)	Yes	No	
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	0	•	The project schedule will accommodate the offsite hibernation period for the Northern Long-eared bat (November 1st - March 31st) all tree removal will take place during these dates, while the bats are hibernating offsite. Adverse impacts to other resources are unlikely.
19.	Wetlands	О	•	Project does not anticipate construction within the wetland areas, all construction will take place outside the 50ft buffer zone.
20.	Floodplain	0	$\odot$	
21.	Landscaping	•	О	The project expects to have minimal impact in the disruption of the landscape. Project would install landscape screening of the OSF and site grass restoration after construction.
22.	Navigable Air Space	0	⊙	
23.	Clearing or Excavation	•	О	Minor pavement removal to construct concrete pads. trenching with soil backfill.
24.	Archaeological Resources	О	•	The project scope would take place in previously disturbed areas of the TCS site and does not impact the historic 200 district.
25.	Underground Injection	0	$\odot$	
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	0	⊙	
27.	Public Utilities or Services	•	О	There would be no increase to utility needs.
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	О	•	
	Section C (For Projects Outside of ANL)	Yes	No	
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	О	•	
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	0	•	
31.	Coastal Zones	0	$\odot$	
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	0	•	
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	O	•	
34.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	С	•	

# **Categorical Exclusion**

# **ANL NEPA Reviewer Use Only**

To be Completed by DOE/ASO

Section D	Yes	No
Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	0	•
Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	o	•
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	0	0
Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	•	0

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded:

This project may be excluded under the following classes of action from Appendix B, 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D: B 1.31 Installation or relocation of machinery and equipment, and B 1.15 Support buildings

If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

#### **Attachments**

File Description: SWPPP <u>View Attachment</u>
File Description: Footprint <u>View Attachment</u>

#### Comments

#### **Add Approver**

Approver Name	Approver Badge	Reason	Delete	

### **Notifications**

The approval notification email will be copied to the people listed below.

Badge	Name	Division	Delete
46304	Lynch, Peter L.	WSE	
52452	Hodge, Devin S.	CLS	

## **ASO-CX Number**

## **ASO-CX-400**

Comments:

This DOE approval for NEPA ERF Categorical Exclusion (CX) is tracked as ASO-CX-400.

#### **Approval**

Approver	<u>Action</u>	Date Routed	Action Date	Approval Reason / Comments	<u>Approval</u> <u>Type</u>
Silvestri, Dana Elizabeth	APPROVED	2023-01-20	2023-01-20 14:55:33.0	Creator:	PRIMARY
Silvestri, Dana Elizabeth	APPROVED	2023-01-20	2023-01-20 14:55:33.0	Allows access to the form:	PRIMARY
Kowalkowski, Aneta Renata	APPROVED	2023-01-20	2023-01-20 16:04:40.0	Project Manager :	PRIMARY
Wozny, Bryan M.	APPROVED	2023-01-20	2023-01-23 08:52:15.0	NEPA Owner Approval for Argonne Environmental Review:	PRIMARY

ANL-985-1836 updated from 1/19/23 meeting with ASO to discuss their comments on the draft NEPA. All comments were addressed and incorporated into document. Added ASO approvals before Jill Ptak as site NEPA Owner instead of after due to her absence. Did not include approvals again for Mike Dunn and Karen Hellman since they approved previous draft and these updates only included additional clarifications, per ASO. If they need to be on the approval chain still, Jill Ptak can add when she is reviewing/approving. This approval is a RUSH.

Joshi, Kaushik N. for Siebach, Peter Rudolf	APPROVED 2023-01-23	2023-01-23 11:40:16.0	Added: This DOE approval for NEPA ERF Categorical Exclusion (CX) is tracked as ASO-CX-400.	DELEGATE
Joshi, Kaushik N.	APPROVED 2023-01-23	2023-01-23 11:42:05.0	Added: This CX will be posted on DOE Science website as ASO-CX-400.	PRIMARY
Ptak, Jill S.	APPROVED 2023-01-23	2023-01-24 12:49:48.0	ANL NEPA Reviewer: DOE comments incorporated and NCO approved	PRIMARY