

Pixel Array Germanium Detectors for Nuclear Physics (PAGe)

Grant Award Number: DE-SC0015137 Matthew Kiser PI , PHDS Co.

A collaboration with David Radford at Oak Ridge National Laboratory

- PHDS Co. Introduction
- Motivations for Pixel Array Approach
- Prototype Pixel Detector Geometry
- Waveform (Signal) Decomposition
- Path Forward



PHDS Co.



- Est. Fall 2004 Nuclear and Solid State Physics Origin
 - History: Custom Nuclear-Physics Detectors
 - Recently: Modular HPGe Systems
- Complete Germanium Detector Manufacturing and R&D
 - Concept Design
 - Crystal Growth
 - Detector Fabrication
 - System Integration
 - Software application
 - Sales & Service





GeGI-5 (15 lbs.) Active Detector is the same size

Make new HPGe detector capabilities available

Why Pixel Array Germanium?



- PHDS growing ever-larger germanium crystals
- Investigating best strategy for fabricating positionsensitive gamma-ray detectors with excellent spectral and spatial resolution
- Traditionally orthogonal-strip design, but there are limitations





Orthogonal Strip Limitations



- Best obtainable high-frequency (series) noise when the capacitance of detector segment is not greater than that of the JFET
- Strips are necessarily longer (higher input capacitance) as detector area grows
 - 90-mm GeGI strips: 5-mm wide = 45 pF
 - 140-mm 16-channel strips: 7.75-mm wide = 76 pF
- Can make strips more narrow, but this solution is not readily scalable



Pixel Approach Advantages



- Design pixel geometry for minimal series noise
- Readily scalable: solution for 90-mm wafer the same as for 140+ mm wafer (at the expense of more data processing channels)
- Naturally gain count-rate capacity
- Apply well-tested waveform decomposition algorithms for optimal spatial resolution



First Pixel Detector at PHDS



- Based on modeling and existing research cryostat limitations, use 37 hexagonal pixels that form a hexagonal envelope
- Hexagonal wafer fast cuts with minimal material loss; tile with minimal dead space between modules





First Pixel Detector at PHDS



- Use existing research cryostat with 36 feedthroughs
- Standard detector fabrication recipe using stainless steel thin metal mask (hex pixels)
- Instrument 33 pixels, AC guard ring, DC guard ring, and AC contact



Pixel Waveform Decomposition



- Collaboration with David Radford at ORNL (leverage significant GRETINA development/experience)
- Uses a "signal basis" a set of simulated signals
- Digital signal processing to determine the number, positions, and energies of gamma interactions in the crystal



Step 1: Field Generator



- Adapt existing weighting potential calculator to the pixel geometry – FIELDGEN-HEX
- Calculate weighting potential on 0.125-mm grid (3D)
- Based on symmetry of array, calculations only needed for 6 pixels
- Use weighting potential and detector operating parameters to generate charge waveform in each pixel for any gamma-ray interaction location in the detector



Step 2: Signal Generator (Basis Set)



- Generate set of basis signals used to fit measured pixel signals (SIGGEN-HEX)
- Each basis signal comprised of 20 samples of 20 ns
- Basis set generated on 0.5-mm grid
 - Expected spatial resolution on the order of mm
 - 376k signals in basis set





Step 3: Signal Decomposition



- Phase I single-site, coarse grid search
 - Select basis set element/position that best fits data
 - Simple χ^2 minimization on the grid locations
- Analyze photopeak data at 122 keV most of these interactions are single-site
- Focus on central pixel (19) and nearest neighbors (12, 13, 18, 20, 25, 26)









Energy Resolution



- Expect energy resolution to be better than that of commercial GeGI strip based on reduced capacitance (~850 eV vs. 1.2 keV at 122 keV with T_p = 5 μs)
- Energy resolution measured to be 0.90 – 1.35 keV across the full detector (at 122 keV)





Spatial Resolution



- Commercial GeGI applies parametric interpolation of fast signal to calculate gamma-ray interaction location
- Compare this approach with 1st order waveform decomposition (4 spot locations on Pixel 19; 0.5-mm collimator)



Parametric Interpolation

FWHM_{x, y} = (4.4 + / - 0.2) mm FWHM_z = (2.0 + / - 0.1) mm



Waveform Decomposition

FWHM_x = (3.1 +/- 0.2) mm FWHM_y = (2.5 +/- 0.2) mm FWHM_z = (3.0 +/- 0.5) mm

Phase II Prototype Detector



- Compact design to facilitate close packing of arrays for large germanium detectors
- New, smaller form factor preamplifier design (next slide)



New Preamplifier Design



- Reduced footprint of preamplifier such that it fits in the "shadow" of a pixel
- Surface area reduced from 394 mm² to 200 mm²
- Fully tested/vetted and now used in commercial GeGI systems!



Phase II Signal Decomposition



- With the assistance of our collaborator, implement the full multi-site waveform decomposition algorithms employed in GRETINA
- Strengths:
 - Provides superior spatial resolution (relative to parametric interpolation)
 - Able to identify up to 2 interactions per segment (currently these are treated as single interactions)
 - Fast performance (even relative to simple Phase-I approach)
- Weaknesses:
 - Strongly relies on fidelity of the basis set
 - Poor determination of number of interactions
 - Significant effort required to adapt from GRETINA to Pixel Array (ongoing effort)

Single-Site Signal Decomposition





Waveform Decomposition - Point Image - Co-57 48 -- 50 46 40 44 . 30 42 -40 - 20 38 - 10 36 -52 44 46 48 50 54 Phase-II (fast)

FWHM_x = 2.16 mm FWHM_y = 2.28 mm

- Resolution based on Gaussian fit of row/column with max value
- Bin size is 0.5 mm in X and Y dimensions
- (Collimator is 0.5 mm diameter hole in 1/8" thick Pb)

Multi-Pixel Signal Decomposition



- Now looking at ¹³⁷Cs data with interactions in multiple pixels
- Currently working on geometry module that will more efficiently keep algorithm from "wandering" out of pixel
- Example data and fits below...



Next Steps



- Finish assembling and load detector into prototype PAGe
 - All 37 pixels will be instrumented
 - For testing, two GeGI signal processing boards will be used (32-channel SPECT)
- Continue development of waveform decomposition for PAGe
 - Promising results thus far
 - Cross-talk appears to be minimal, but need to investigate this further

Questions?