

Development of fast 3D gamma-ray imaging technologies for radiation treatment, nuclear physics and nuclear security

Lucian Mihailescu

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

August 2011

ANS&T Exchange Meeting, Rockville, MD



ANS&T Project Overview

Goal:

Develop radiation detection technologies and advanced analysis and imaging methods that will enable the introduction of new 3D gamma-ray imaging technologies in various fields, ranging from radiation therapy to nuclear security and fundamental sciences



Primary brain tumor

FADAM.

Objectives:

Develop fast and efficient data acquisition system for multichannel high resolution detectors (segmented Ge detectors) suitable for imaging.

Develop data analysis and image reconstruction algorithms to improve detection and imaging performance

Demonstrate impact of the developed technologies in various fields









Full-sky image of background cosmic radiation temperature map taken by NASA's Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) (2010)

Detect Features (Anomalies)

°F °C

30





Overview of 3D Gamma-Ray Imaging Applications





Compact Compton Imager: CCI-2



Ge Detectors by Mark Amman

CCI-2: Imaging modalities



Coded Aperture Imaging





Present imaging performance with CCI-2

Metric	Compton Camera	Coded Aperture
Angular resolution	1-2 degrees	23 arcmin
Energy resolution	2 keV	2 keV
Imaging sensitivity	150 keV – 4 MeV	20 keV – 500 keV
Field-of-view	4π	$0.05\pi - 0.25\pi$







3D Gamma-Ray Imaging for Nuclear Safeguards



Safeguards Application

Current technology



Measurement of Holdup at the Rocky Flats Site

Determination of changes in holdup inventories in process plants

Applications of new 3D gamma-ray imaging system:

Timely detection of highly-enriched uranium production in a modern uranium enrichment plant,

Timely detection of undeclared traces of gammaemitting isotopes, indicating undeclared enrichment or spent fuel reprocessing,

Detection of concealed or buried nuclear/chemical process vessels or piping during facility design information verification (DIV).



Process test bench for reprocessing study in the Nuclear Fuel Cycle Safety Engineering Research Facility (NUCEF) -JAEA



Stand-Off 3D Imaging

3D gamma-ray imaging demonstration measurement





3D gamma-ray imaging demonstration measurement







Development of high resolution, low power integrated read-out



Current segmented Ge detection systems require expensive, bulky, power hungry electronics

5mm Die picture of NCI ASIC

Signal filtering and data

acquisition will be done on ASIC read-out electronics

ASIC design by Gianluigi De Geronimo (BNL)

(5 mm x 5 mm)



The BNL NCI ASIC

800





Development of high resolution, low power integrated read-out



ASIC board design by Jane Hoberman (UCB/SSL)

Test measurements by Cameron Bates



Measured performance of the BNL NCI ASIC





In-Beam Gamma-Ray Imaging for Ion Cancer Therapy Verification



In-Beam Gamma-Ray Imaging for Ion Cancer Therapy Verification





JC Polf et al. Phys. Med. Biol. 54 (2009) 731-743



Preliminary test of In-Beam Gamma-Ray Imaging for Ion Cancer Therapy Verification



Measurements performed at the 88" cyclotron



Measured Spectra E_p=50MeV



Counts







Geant-4 Gammas from Acrylic



rrrrr

Simulations by Joe Miller and Daniel Bond



Nuclear Life-Time Measurements using Compton Back-Projection





Test NCI ASIC with DSSD Ge detectors
Develop a new ASIC optimized for Ge detectors
Develop 3D image reconstruction algorithms
Perform modeling to estimate best gamma-ray signatures and evaluate gamma-ray to dose correlations

Perform p therapy verification test measurements using a 50 MeV proton beam on PMMA at the 88" cyclotron

- Benchmark simulation
- Identify most relevant imaging signatures
- Demonstrate 3D imaging of p-beam

Continue modeling and perform tests to demonstrate capability for life-time measurements



Team

- LBNL: Lucian Mihailescu, Kai Vetter, Donald Gunter, Mark Amman, Paul Barton, Cameron Bates, Robert Crabbs, Matthew Pistone, Lazar Supic, Julien Rohel, I-Yang Lee, Jonathan Maltz, Grant Gullberg, Joe Wallig, Tim Loew
- UCB: Dan Chivers, Mark Bandstra, Tim Aucott, Christina Moore, Joe Miller, Daniel Bond, Amy Coffer, Andrew Haefner, Sebastian Dionisio, Joe Curtis, Micah Folsom
- UCSF: Martina Descovich, Sebastien Gros
- BNL: Gianluigi De Geronimo, Jack Fried,

UCB/SSL: Steve Boggs, Jane Hoberman, Steve McBride



Questions?



"The real voyage of discovery consists not in seeking new landscapes but in having new eyes"

--Marcel Proust



Imaging Tasks $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{n}$

Signal Detection

Check hypotheses:

- 1. signal present H1
- 2. signal absent H0

 $H_1 : \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f}_s + \mathbf{f}_b) + \mathbf{n}$ $H_0 : \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{f}_b) + \mathbf{n}$

The Ideal Observer is the likelihood ratio:

$$\Lambda(\mathbf{g}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{g} | H_1)}{p(\mathbf{g} | H_0)}$$

Signal Estimation (amplitude, position).

Find
$$\hat{f}_t$$
, best estimate of f_t

Minimize the mean square error:

$$MSE(\hat{f}_i) = E\left[\left(\hat{f}_i - f_i\right)^2\right]$$

 $E[\hat{f}_{t}] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}_{t} p(\hat{f}_{t}) d\hat{f}_{t}$ is the expected value

$$MSE(\hat{f}_{i}) = \underbrace{\left(E[\hat{f}_{i}^{2}] - E^{2}[\hat{f}_{i}]\right)}_{\sigma^{2}(\hat{f}_{i})} + \underbrace{\left(E^{2}[\hat{f}_{i}] - f_{i}\right)^{2}}_{b^{2}(\hat{f}_{i})}$$

bias

Variance

Dynamic voxelization for 3D imaging





Data Analysis for Compton Imaging: Overview





Detection and imaging efficiency

Photon statistics



Selected Photons

Photons being detected

Photons depositing all energy

Photons interacting in the detector

Photons passing through the detector surface

Imaging efficiency 6%@186keV- 18%@1MeV DAQ efficiency 75% @2kHz Full energy deposition efficiency 60%@186keV-15%@1MeV

Currently, CCI-2 has a total imaging efficiency of 2-4%