# HEPAP

SRF R&D Hasan Padamsee Cornell University

# Outline

- Quick Survey of On-Going and Future
  Applications
- A Selection of Technology Highlights
- Outstanding issues:
  - Common to all applications
  - High gradient applications
  - CW operation (medium gradient)
  - Weak beam loading
- Is there a higher gradient future beyond Niobium Cavities?

## SRF Has Become a Core Technology Worldwide for a Variety of Accelerators

- HEP
  - Now: LHC, CESR-TA, KEK-B, Beijing Tau-charm Factory
  - Future: ILC, ProjectX, CERN-SPL, Neutrino Factory, JPARC-Upgrade (neutrino beam line) Muon Collider
- NP, Nuclear-Astrophysics
  - Now: CEBAF, 12GeV Upgrade,
  - Future: FRIB (Argonne/MSU), ISAC-II (TRIUMF), Spiral-2, CERN ISOLDE Upgrade, Eurisol, RHIC-II, eRHIC, ELIC
- BES X-rays
  - Now: FLASH, X-FEL, CHESS (NSF), Canadian Light Source, DIAMOND, SOLEIL, Taiwan Light Source, Beijing Light Source (Tau-charm Factory), Shangai Light Source, Jlab-FEL/ERL, Rossendorf-FEL
  - Future: NSLS-II, Cornell-ERL (NSF), KEK-ERL, BESSY-ERL, WIFEL (NSF), ARC-EN-CIEL, Pohang Light Source, Peking University,...
- BES: Neutron Sources
  - SNS, SNS upgrade,
  - Future: ESS (Bilbao?)
- Other High Intensity Proton Sources for
  - Nuclear waste transmutation, Energy amplifier, Power generation from Thorium
  - INFN, KAERI, Indian Laboratories at Indore, Mumbai and Kolkata

Strong World-Wide Collaboration Forums for SRF Technology and Applications

- SRF Workshops every 2 years
  - Beijing, Cornell, Travemunde, Santa Fe...
  - Next Workshop : Berlin in September 2009
- Tesla-Technology Collaboration (TTC) meetings every 6 months
  - Delhi, Hamburg, KEK, Frascati...
- Focused on major technical issues
  - E.g. Gradient yield R&D, Industrialization, couplers, tuners...
- ILC Collaboration Meetings
  - Monthly

# SRF Capabilities in the US Associated Projects

- Argonne National Lab
  - Heavy Ion (ATLAS), FRIB
- Brookhaven National Lab
  - ERL for eRHIC and RHIC-II
- Cornell University, LEPP
  - CESR/CHESS, ERL, ILC
- Fermilab
  - ILC, Project X
- Jefferson Lab
  - CEBAF, ILC, ELIC
- Michigan State University
  - ReAccelerator, FRIB
- Oak Ridge National Lab
  - SNS

#### Structure Examples



1-cell 500 MHz for high current storage rings

CESR, DIAMOND, CLS, TLS, SLS...



Structures for Accelerating Particles at v < c For protons at 1 ~ GeV





# Low-Velocity Structures for Heavy Ions $\beta = v/c$ : 0.28 -0.62



Figure 2: Five types of SC cavities developed for the AEBL driver linac.

# Crab Cavities (Deflecting mode)

- KEK-B
- Possibly LHC-upgrade
- Possibly Ultra-fast X-ray source





#### **Cryomodule Examples**



For Tesla-Test Facility (now FLASH)





#### CESR Module for High Current Storage Rings



#### Low $\beta$ Module (TRIUMF)

#### Common Issues for All SRF Applications

- Niobium material control (more on this later)
- Good fabrication procedures
  - Key element is electron beam welding
- Good surface preparation procedures
  - chemical treatment
  - furnace treatment
  - high pressure rinsing
  - clean room assembly
- Operation
  - Accommodate gradient distribution for maximum energy gain
    - Provide flexibility in rf distribuition
  - Reliability of operation, low trip rate,
    - low x-ray production level during operation...
- Production and testing capacity/rate of cavities, cryomodules

# Likely US Upcoming Projects : Cavity/CM Demands

- > 1000 cavities, 150 CM
  - Jlab upgrade: 80 cavities, 10 CM
  - ProjectX: 320 cavities, 40 CM
  - ERL Cornell: 450 cavities, 75 CM
  - FRIB: 200 low beta cavities 35 CM
    - QWR, HWR, Spoke
- On top of XFEL: 1000 cavities, 125 CM
- ILC...Some fraction of 16,000 cavities, 2000 CM
- => Need to broaden industrial base for cavities and CM

### Status of World-Wide Industrial Capability

- Strong European industrial base:
  - growing due to XFEL
  - ACCEL, Zanon, Thales...
- American industrial base:
  - Developing slowly
  - AES, Niowave/Roark, PAVAC, CPI, Meyer Tool
- Asian industrial base:
  - Growing
  - Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Mitsubishi Electrical, Nomura Plating, Toshiba

# Nb Material Is Common to All Projects

- Basic material specs for "good" cavities have been defined
- Starting material quality control procedures developed
  - RRR, grain size, yield strength, eddy-current scanning to screen out defects...
- A new development is large grain material
- Possible advantages are
  - Cost reduction (slice directly from ingot)
  - BCP only (skip more intricate EP)
    - Not valid for highest gradients
- Some fabrication issues still need to be worked out
- Overall performance is same as small grain
- Single crystal: too hard for mass production
  - But Useful for basic studies
  - E.g. Grain boundaries are <u>not</u> the main cause for high field Q-slope



#### Standard Ingot and slice

# Large grain ingot and slice

#### Go Directly from Ingot to Sheet?



Outstanding Issues for Highest Gradient Applications: e.g. ILC

- Gradient Yield at 35 MV/m is low
- Gradient spread is high
  - Quench
  - Field emission
- Best 9-cell Cavities
  About one dozen ♂



# Gradient Yield (Before 2008)Field emission Quench



DESY Yield Curves from Q vs E,

24 tests on ten 9-cells

Dashed curves are yields due to field emission modeling (theory)

#### TESLA Note 2008-2

66 DESY Tests on 51

9-cell cavities, TESLA Note 2008-8

Two vendors

Cavities prepared by EP/HPR/800C/EP/HPR/Bake

Open bars are yields due to quench modeling

# Progress in Gradient Yield Over Last One Year



Latest 1-vendor Gradient Distribution (quench and field emission)

Latest many vendor Gradient Distribution (quench and field emission)

# **Field Emission Reduction**

- Improvement mostly due to reduction in field emission with better cleaning after electropolishing
  - Jlab: Ultrasonic degreasing
  - DESY: Ethanol rinsing

S particle deposited on sample during EP

(Cornell Basic R&D)





Dissolved particle,

but leaves an imprint, Possible quench site?

unknown

# Success in Identifying Sources of Quench Below 25 MV/m (Limiting 20% of Cavities)

- More R&D needed to identify quench sources for gradients > 25 MV/m !
- Limiting 40% of cavities
- Remaining cavities make it over 35 MV/m



#### Museum of Identified Sources of Quench Below 25 MV/m (Pits and Bumps)



Pit with sharp edges

Reported in Thesis of J. Knobloch (1997)

Quenched at 93 mT

Eacc = 21 MV/m







Bump found by KEK Optical Inspection with CCD camera in AES 9-cell cavity with thermometry (Jlab and FNAL) Quench at 18 MV/m



location on

cavity (Cornell)

**Cavity Forming Die** 

**Deep scratch** 



Cavity Wall 20 **Bump** found at Quench [url]]-20 [url]]-40 Niowave/Roark 1-cell -60 -80 -100 Air or Vacuum subsequently found on 500 1000 Z[µm]

40

Pit found by KEK optical inspection with CCD camera in AES #1cavity

1500

Quench at ~ 18 MV/m

#### Museum of Quench Sources (con't) for Eacc < 25 MV/m

- Rough spot near weld seam, correlated with quench signal from thermometry
  - (DESY Cavity and quench location, KEK Optical inspection
- 100 μm pit near weld
- Quench at 18 MV/m
  - Jlab quench location and optical inspection
  - With remote Questar





#### Recent Result on **Pre-Heating** at Large Pit (lots of EP)

- Cornell 1-cell massive thermometry system works in superfluid to detect heating BELOW quench
- 760 thermometers for 1-cell, 1500 MHz cavity
- Grain size 1 mm, preparation:
  - EP, 800 C, EP, HPR (no bake)





# Temperature Map & Q vs E



#### SEM back-scattered image

# 500 um

#### Individual thermometer responses







## Outstanding Issues for CW Applications, Medium Gradient, e.g. ERL, FEL

- High Q<sub>0</sub> and High Q<sub>ext</sub> (related to input coupler strength)
- If  $Q = 10^{10}$  at 2 K, 450 cavities (5 GeV ERL)
  - -2 K heat load = 14 kW (AC power = 13 MW)
- Reduce by factor of 10 if  $Q_0 = 10^{11}$  at 1.6 K
- Does higher Q outweigh increased refrigeration cost from 2 K to 1.6 K?
- Need excellent shielding for earth's magnetic field in cryomodule
  - 5 mGauss
- If beam loading is negligible, only need RF power to reach operating field (15 MV/m)
- Operate at highest Qext allowed by microphonics to reduce RF power demand to < 5 kW per cavity.</li>



Figure 2 – Residual resistance as low as  $0.5 \text{ n}\Omega$  is actually measured on large area cavities, giving an intrinsic quality factor  $Q_0$  exceeding  $2.10^{11}$ .



• Peak rf drive power as function of *QL* for a 1.3GHz, 7cell cavity at 20 MV/m accelerating gradient. The power is determined by the peak microphonics cavity detuning during cavity operation Outstanding Issues for High Intensity Proton Applications, Medium Gradient

- Flexibility to make optimal use of gradient spread
  - e.g. one klystron/cavity
- Gradient distribution on-line without beam for 65 out of 81 cavities.
  - The average is 17.8 MV/m
- Beam loss
  - Good matching
- Reliability



# Beam Loss (SNS)

• As of EPAC 08



Figure 4: Linac beam loss monitor signals (rads/pulse) recorded during 475 kW operation. Numbers overlayed show residual dose rates (mrem/hr) at 30 cm measured ~24 hours after beam operation.

#### Beyond Niobium Cavities For TeV Upgrade Linear Collider?

- Is Nb (50 60 MV/m) the end of the road for superconducting cavity gradients?
- Outstanding question:
- What is the relationship between the RF critical magnetic field and the famililar DC critical magnetic fields?
- Is Hrf
  - H<sub>c1</sub>, H<sub>c</sub>, H<sub>sh</sub>?
  - How does it depend on temperature?
  - How does it depend on
    - Ginzburg-Landau parameter  $\kappa = \lambda/\xi$ ?
  - Nb: κ ~1, Nb3Sn: κ ~ 20..



#### **Best Nb Cavities:**

Cornell Collaboration with KEK

• Two Re-entrant Shape Single Cell Cavities

• H<sub>pk</sub> = 38, 36 Oe/MV/m

- Cavities built at Cornell, treated and tested at KEK
- # 1 Best 53 MV/m (2010 Oe) at KEK,
- #2 Best 59 MV/m (2100) Oe at Cornell









Cornell Experimental Status (1996) Measured RF Critical Field for : Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Using High Pulse Power (Calibrated results with Nb)



New from Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics (Cornell: Jim Sethna)

- Are the phenomenological Ginzburg-Landau Predictions correct?
- New approach goes beyond Ginzburg-Landau
- Theoretically calculates the maximum possible H<sub>sh</sub> from advanced formulations of BCS theory
   – Eilenberger theory
- For <u>perfect</u> samples of practical materials
- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, MgB<sub>2</sub> at realistic operating temperatures (2K)?
- Only valid for High kappa materials

# Eilenberger (BCS) Results !

Superheating field  $H_{sh}(T)$  from the Eilenberger Equations And large  $\kappa$  (so not applicable for Nb) 13% larger *Hsh* at low *T* than Ginzburg-Landau estimate !



### Theory gives hope for 100 – 200 MV/m !

- Eilenberger (BCS) Theory predicts
- $E_{acc} \sim 120 \text{ MV/m for perfect Nb}_3 \text{Sn}$
- and 200 MV/m for perfect MgB<sub>2</sub> !!
- Strong motivation for materials and cavity push
- But be prepared for a long road to realization
- Can we do it?
- At least 5 years of well supported R&D !