

Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans

High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015









The main 2013-14 LHC consolidations



Quality Assurance tests

to be replaced

replaced

pressure relief devices to bring the total to 1344

13 kA circuits in the 16 main electrical feedboxes

The main 2013-14 LHC consolidations

1996239





SMACC project : Closure of the last interconnection - 18.06.2014

18 000 electrical Quality Assurance tests nsolidation of the kA circuits in the 16 in electrical feed-

xes

CSCM: Copper Stabilizer Continuity Measurement

The CSCM is a test to *fully qualify* if the main dipole bypass can take over the current if the superconducting circuit quenches. A kind of dryrun of the bypass (very low energy 200 kJ and low time constant 0.2s)

Basic idea

- Stabilize the entire sector at around 20 K, so the magnets and bus are not superconducting. Keep the DFB at 4.5 K.
- Connect the two 6 kA/200 V power converters in series (\Rightarrow 400V)
- Apply several steps of current pulse, up to 11.1 kA (6.5 TeV), τ =100 s





Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015

Addition of 1 month on LS1 duration (Quality) 6

The LHC powering tests overview



Since September 15th 2014:

1566 superconducting circuits commissioned through execution and analysis of more than 10.000 test steps (~13.800 test steps including re-execution)



Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015

Powering tests were completed at 8 am on Friday 3rd April 2015



3

Dipole Training Campaign



Each Sector Trained to 6.55TeV (11080A) (100 A above the operational field)

Sector	# Training q <u>uenc</u> h	Flattop quenches				
S12	7	0				
S23	17	0				
S34	15	1				
S45	51	0				
S56	18	3				
S67	22	1				
S78	19	3				
S81	29	0				
Total	171	8				

Large variation in number of training quenches per sector

Detailed Analysis in Progress!



First circulating beams in LHC on Easter Sunday 5th April 2015







First beam at 6.5 TeV! (10th April)



First beamS at 6.5 TeV! (12th April)



LHC experiments are back in business at a new record energy 13 TeV

3rd June 2015

Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015 LHCb THCp © ATLAS

Beam commissioning in two months 🙂

A lot of lessons learnt and experience from Run 1

- Excellent and improved system performance (LS1)
 - Beam Instrumentation
 - Transverse feedback
 - ► RF
 - Collimation
 - Injection and beam dump systems
 - Vacuum
 - Machine protection
- Improved software & analysis tools (LS1)
- Magnetically reproducibility
- Optically good, corrected to excellent
- Behaving well at 6.5 TeV
 - One additional training quench so far
- Operationally well under control
 - Injection, ramp, squeeze, de-squeeze



13 TeV



2015 Commissioning strategy





- 1. Low intensity commissioning 8 weeks
- 2. First physics low number of bunches, LHCf run
- 3. Electron cloud scrubbing for 50 ns (e-cloud)
- 4. Physics intensity ramp-up with 50 ns Characterize high intensity operation (≈ repeat 4 TeV @ 6.5 TeV)
- 5. Electron cloud scrubbing for 25 ns (e-cloud)
- 6. Physics ramp-up intensity for 25 ns operation



End of 2015: 25 ns physics run



- Resume of the intensity ramp up after TS2
 - First driven by machine protection validation
 - Then driven by cryo system operation (> 1600 bunches)
- Special physics run (90 m optics)
 - back to lower beam intensity for commissioning and production
 → step down for 25 ns physics run
- Ions run to conclude the year:
 - Including intermediate energy run with proton at 2,51 TeV



October 28th, 2244

2015 LHC Luminosity





2015 LHC Integrated Luminosity

- The initial projections of integrated luminosity for 2015 were ~ 8-10 fb⁻¹.
- Achieved ~ 4.3 fb⁻¹.
- Slope at the end of the run better than in 2011, and close to 2012 slope (last week of operation > 1 fb⁻¹)

The main reasons for the lower value:

- Start-up delays (~ 4 weeks),
- Availability issues (radiation failures on the quench protection tunnel electronics; solved after TS2),
- Electron clouds mitigation





UFOs

There are with us, they are many of them, they are large !

• UFO events observed quite often during operation at 6.5 TeV





UFOs

There are with us, they are many of them, they are large !

- UFO events observed quite often during operation at 6.5 TeV
- Conditioning is observed on the UFO rate in spite of the increasing number of bunches
- BLM thresholds being optimize to find a good compromise between availability and quench protection





The bad 'surprise' : aperture restriction (ULO)

Aperture restriction:

- A position with anomalous beam losses was located on beam 2 in the arc between LHCb and ATLAS only few days after commissioning.
- Measured at injection and 6.5 TeV
- An aperture restriction due to an was found by scanning the beam position.
- Reference orbit is bumped by +1mm in V and -3mm in H at 15R8.
- ♦ 2015 not a limiting aperture for operation







The bad 'surprise' : aperture restriction (ULO)

Aperture restriction:

- A position with anomalous beam losses was located on beam 2 in the arc between LHCb and ATLAS only few days after commissioning.
- Measured at injection and 6.5 TeV
- An aperture restriction due to an was found by scanning the beam position.
- Reference orbit is bumped by +1mm in V and -3mm in H at 15R8.
- ♦ 2015 not a limiting aperture for operation
- Opening the magnet to remove this object would take 2-3 months !







Scrubbing @ 25 ns bunch spacing

- Some issues :
 - Higher Order Modes heating of the injection collimator and kickers
 - Number of injections limited by same components
 - Injection speed in general limited by time response of the cryogenic system





Heat Load Evolution – E cloud

Scrubbing observed with physics fills at 6.5 TeV

- \rightarrow Hopefully gaining margin to further increase the number of bunches
- → Scrubbing "memory" kept while running with 25 ns beams deconditioning was observed after few weeks of low e-cloud operation



Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015

CFRN



Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015

TFRN

CMS Cold-Box Contamination: Introduction

- In 2004, during commissioning of the system at the surface, about 2 litres of Breox were "spilled" in the cold box (wrong manipulation). The system was cleaned with isopropyl alcohol. It was afterwards very difficult to get the cleaning solvent out.
- During commissioning of compressor station, an under-dimensioning of the oil separator system has been identified.
- At that moment the oil separator system was not upgraded, but a 4th coalescer added to the system.
- The refrigerator system operated through Run 1 (≈10 years), with only minor problems.





CMS Cold-Box Contamination: Summary of events

- CMS refrigerator has been re-started in November 2014 after the LS1 maintenance;
- Mid March first sign of contamination, at that moment blamed on air / water-pollution.
 Procedures applied: sub-system regenerated.
- Beginning of May contamination identified at three different points. *Procedures applied: System stopped, samples taken and complete regeneration*.
- After re-start of system almost immediate contamination measured at same points. Confirmed by result analysis of samples. *Procedures applied: System stopped.*
- Analyse shows compressor oil (Breox®) <u>milligram (mg)</u> traces.





CMS Cold-Box Contamination: What is causing these problems?

- Breox® (compressor oil) was found on
 - 1. Outlet filter 80K and 20 K adsorbers
 - 2. Inlet filter T1
 - 3. Inlet filter T2
 - 4. Turbine gas bearing inlet filters
- Breox® is thought to diminish the heat exchange surface of the first heat-exchanger.

Normally a cold-box having suffered such a Breox® pollution is stopped to be cleaned. This was however impossible in the CMS case, and the installation was kept alive with regular 80K adsorber and turbine inlet filters regenerations. When judged necessary the turbine filters were exchanged for new ones.



Of the integrated (p-p) luminosity delivered to CMS in 2015, about 73% of the data is taken under nominal field conditions;



CMS cryogenic issue: YETS (Year End Technical Stop) consolidations

- Cleaning of the cold box circuits: procedure and cleaning medium compatible with cavern environment.
- Installation of a new high-pressure line in CMS pit.
- Consolidation of the oil removal system:
 - New high-pressure primary oil separator
 - New coalescers for the final oil removal system
- Repair of a bended cryo-valve on the 6000-1 LHe buffer in the UX cavern (damaged during LS1)
- Repair of the leaky LN2 pre-cooler
- Additional boosting of the cryoplant with the connection of a 11'000-I LHe mobile reservoir (feasibility under study).



CMS Cold-Box: YETS clod-box cleaning

Change the 80 K and 20 K adsorbers (remove polluted equipment which cannot be cleaned)



Earlier removal of 80 K adsorber

- Clean cold-box equipment from Breox® : which solvent to use?
 - 1. Solvent shall adsorb Breox compressor oil, but not attack cold-box equipment
 - 2. It shall be possible to remove the solvent from the cleaned volumes
 - 3. Solvent shall be used in underground area

Adequate solvent has been selected, machinery to circulate the solvent though the cold-box equipment has been ordered, safety measures have been implemented;



CMS Cold-Box: YETS planning

A first review took place on the proposed measures discussed above, and a second

one of the risks which these interventions could bring to the system. Both reviews supported the proposed measures.

A preliminary planning was established, but has to be finalized after the completion of

the cleaning procedure of the first sub-system.

Tech News	Duration	Church .	Co.u.	07 Dec '15	5	21 Dec '15	04 Jan '16	18 J	an '16	01 Feb '16	15 Feb '16	29 Feb 1	16	14 Mar '16	28 Mar	16
				50	51	52 53	1 2		5 4	5 6	/ 8	9	10	11 12	13	14
Field OFF	0 days	Mon 14/12/15	Mon 14/12/15		14/12											
LHC recommissioning with beam	16 days	Mon 14/03/16	Sun 03/04/16													
Field ON	0 days	Mon 28/03/16	Mon 28/03/16												28/0:	
Cryo operation	3.25 days	Mon 14/12/15	Thu 17/12/15			yo operation										
MEG machine	7 days	Mon 14/12/15	Tue 05/01/16		1		MEG machine									
Safety equipement installed																
Condenser	3 days	Mon 14/12/15	Wed 16/12/15		Čo Co	ndenser										
Preparation of CB	12 days	Wed 16/12/15	Fri 15/01/16				Preparation of	ήсв								
Circuit 1a + 1c + 1d	6.5 days	Mon 18/01/16	Tue 26/01/16						Circuit	1a + 1c + 1d						
Degreasing	1 day	Mon 18/01/16	Mon 18/01/16					۳ ا	egreasing							
Distillation	1 eday	Mon 18/01/16	Tue 19/01/16					Ň	Distillation							
Degreasing	0.5 days	Wed 20/01/16	Wed 20/01/16					i	Degreasing							
Drying	6 edays	Wed 20/01/16	Tue 26/01/16					ì	Drying							
Distillation	2 edays	Wed 20/01/16	Fri 22/01/16					ì	Disti lation							
4 Circuit 1b + 2	5 days	Tue 26/01/16	Tue 02/02/16						r	Circuit 1b + 2						
Degreasing	1 day	Tue 26/01/16	Wed 27/01/16						👗 Degr	easing						
Drying	6 edays	Wed 27/01/16	Tue 02/02/16						Ť.	Drying						
Distillation	2 edays	Wed 27/01/16	Fri 29/01/16						📩 Di	stillation						
Circuit 3	5.25 days	Fri 05/02/16	Sun 14/02/16							Г	Circuit 3					
Circuit 4	5 days	Mon 15/02/16	Sun 21/02/16								Circu	iit 4				
▷ CB set up	2 wks	Mon 22/02/16	Fri 04/03/16									CB set up				
CB conditioning	1.1 days	Mon 07/03/16	Tue 08/03/16										CB co	nditioning		
CB cool-down	1 day	Tue 08/03/16	Wed 09/03/16										📕 CB c	ool-down		
Shields cool-down	1 day	Tue 08/03/16	Wed 09/03/16										📕 Shie	lds cool-down		
Cold mass cool-down from 120K	10 edays	Wed 09/03/16	Sat 19/03/16										ř.	Cold m	ass cool-dov	vn from 120K
PSD and CI filling	2 edays	Sat 19/03/16	Mon 21/03/16											in PSD	and CI fillin	ŝ
Cryo tuning	1 wk	Mon 21/03/16	Mon 28/03/16											i i	Cryo	tuning
Cryoready ON	0 days	Mon 28/03/16	Mon 28/03/16												* 28/0	3

LHC goal for Run 2 and 3

Integrated luminosity goal:

```
Run2: ~100-120 fb<sup>-1</sup>
```

~ 300 fb⁻¹ before LS3





End of 2015: Lead-Lead physics run



- After TS3, restart for ions physics run
- Intermediate energy run with protons at 2.51 TeV :
 - Full cycle commissioning: combined ramp and squeeze, optics, Machine protection validation....
 - Intensity ramp up: up to 1800 bunches per beam
- 3 weeks of Pb-Pb collisions:

CFRN

10th December 2015

- Again full validation of a new cycle at 6.37 ZTeV: Alice pre-squeeze, squeeze, ALICE crossing reversal + IP shift....
- Now operating with 518 bunches per beam





11 Nov – First Pb-Pb STABLE BEAMS

First Pb-Pb Stable Beams at 5.02 A TeV = 1.045 PeV



AFS: 100_225ns_426Pb_424Pb_400_362_24_19inj

....

PM Status B1

ENABLED

PM Status B2

10th December 2015

High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry

Luminosity to 14:30 8 Dec 2015





Europe's top priority should be the **exploitation of the full potential of the LHC**, including the high-luminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view to collecting **ten times more data than in the initial design, by around 2030**. This upgrade programme will also provide further exciting opportunities for the study of flavour physics and the quark-gluon plasma.

HL-LHC from a study to a PROJECT 300 fb⁻¹ → 3000 fb⁻¹ including LHC injectors upgrade LIU (Linac 4, Booster 2GeV, PS and SPS upgrade)



Strategic Plan for U.S. Particle Physics Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5) – May 2014



Report of the Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5)



Near-term & Mid-term High-energy Colliders

LARGE HADRON COLLIDER

- The HL-LHC is strongly supported and is the first high-priority large-category project in our recommended program. It should move forward without significant delay to ensure that accelerator and experiments can continue to function effectively beyond the end of this decade and meet the project schedule.
- Recommendation 10: Complete the LHC phase-1 upgrades, and continue the strong collaboration in the LHC with the phase-2 (HL-LHC) upgrades of the accelerator and both general-purpose experiments (ATLAS and CMS). The LHC upgrades constitute our highest-priority near-term large project.



Goals and means of the LHC Injectors Upgrade: LIU project

Increase intensity/brightness in the injectors to match HL-LHC requirements

- ⇒ Enable Linac4/PSB/PS/SPS to accelerate and manipulate higher intensity beams (efficient production, space charge & electron cloud mitigation, impedance reduction, feedbacks, etc.)
- ⇒ Upgrade the injectors of the ion chain (Linac3, LEIR, PS, SPS) to produce beam parameters at the LHC injection that can meet the luminosity goal

Increase injector reliability and lifetime to cover HL-LHC run (until ~2035) closely related to consolidation program

- \Rightarrow Upgrade/replace ageing equipment (power supplies, magnets, RF...)
- \Rightarrow Improve radioprotection measures (shielding, ventilation...)



LS2: (2019-2020), LHC Injector Upgrades (LIU)

LINAC4 – PS Booster:

- H⁻ injection and increase of PSB injection energy from 50 MeV to 160 MeV, to increase PSB space charge threshold
- New RF cavity system, new main power converters
- Increase of extraction energy from 1.4 GeV to 2 GeV

PS:

- Increase of injection energy from 1.4 GeV to 2 GeV to increase PS space charge threshold
- Transverse resonance compensation
- New RF Longitudinal feedback system
- New RF beam manipulation scheme to increase beam brightness

SPS

- Electron Cloud mitigation strong feedback system, or coating of the vacuum system
- Impedance reduction, improved feedbacks
- Large-scale modification to the main RF system

These are only the main modifications and this list is far from exhaustive









Goal of High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC):

The main objective of HiLumi LHC Design Study is to determine a hardware configuration and a set of beam parameters that will allow the LHC to reach the following targets:

Prepare machine for operation beyond 2025 and up to 2035-37

Devise beam parameters and operation scenarios for:

#enabling a total integrated luminosity of **3000 fb⁻¹**

#implying an integrated luminosity of **250-300 fb⁻¹ per year**,

#design for $\mu \sim 140$ (~ 200) (\rightarrow peak luminosity of 5 (7) 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹)

#design equipment for 'ultimate' performance of **7.5 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹** and **4000 fb⁻¹**

=> Ten times the luminosity reach of first 10 years of LHC operation



LHC Upgrade Goals: Performance optimization

Luminosity recipe :

$$L = \frac{n_b \cdot N_1 \cdot N_2 \cdot \gamma \cdot f_{rev}}{4\pi \cdot \beta^* \cdot \varepsilon_n} \cdot F(\phi, \beta^*, \varepsilon, \sigma_s)$$

→1) maximize bunch intensities
→ Injector complex
→2) minimize the beam emittance
→3) minimize beam size (constant beam power); → triplet aperture
→4) maximize number of bunches (beam power); → 25ns
→5) compensate for 'F';
→ Crab Cavities
→ 6) Improve machine 'Efficiency'
→ minimize number of unscheduled beam aborts



The HL-LHC Project



 New IR-quads Nb₃Sn (inner triplets)

- New 11 T Nb₃Sn (short) dipoles
- Collimation upgrade
- Cryogenics upgrade
- Crab Cavities
- Cold powering
- Machine protection

Major intervention on more than 1.2 km of the LHC



Squeezing the beams: High Field SC Magnets

Quads for the inner triplet Decision 2012 for low- β quads Aperture Ø 150 mm – 140 T/m (B_{peak} ≈12.3 T) operational field, designed for 13.5 T => Nb₃Sn technology

(LHC: 8 T, 70 mm)







Quadrupoles of LARP

Courtesy: G. Ambrosio FNAL and G. Sabbi , LBNL



LQS01a: 202 T/m at 1.9 K LQS01b: 222 T/m at 4.6 K 227 T/m at 1.9 K

CERN

LQS02: 198 T/m at 4.6 K 150 A/s 208 T/m at 1.9 K 150 A/s limited by one coil 3.3 m coils 90 mm aperture

Target: 200 T/m gradient at 1.9 K

LQS03: 208 T/m at 4.6 K 210 T/m at 1.9 K 1st quench: 86% s.s. limit

LS2 : collimators and 11T Dipole

- LS2 2017-18: Point-X,7 & IR-2
- LS3 2020+: IR1,5 as part of HL-LHC



11 T Magnet – Nb3Sn technology Status on recent developments &tests at CERN

MBHSP0001-102 training







Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015

Courtesy of Frédéric Savary

In-kind contributions and collaborations for design, prototypes, production and tests

Discussions are ongoing with other countries, e.g Canada,...





Q1-Q3 : R&D, Design, Prototypes and in-kind **USA** D1 : R&D, Design, Prototypes and in-kind **JP** MCBX : Design and Prototype **ES** HO Correctors: Design and Prototypes **IT** Q4 : Design and Prototype **FR**

CC : R&D, Design and in-kind USA

CC : R&D and Design UK





www.cern.ch

Cost & Schedule review of LIU and HL-LHC (March 2015)



Cost and Schedule Review Committee

CMAC Members:

Brinkmann, Reinhard Fischer, Wolfram Gourlay, Stephen Holtkamp, Norbert (Chair)

Oide, Katsunobu Qin, Qing Roser, Thomas Seeman, John Shiltsev, Vladimir

Reviewers:

Neumeyer, Charles L. Petersen, Bernd Seidel, Mike Vedrine, Pierre

Yamamoto, Akira

DESY (Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron) BNL (Brookhaven National Laboratory) LBNL (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory) SLAC (SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory) KEK (高エネルギー加速器研究機構) IHEP (Institute of High Energy Physics)

BNL (Brookhaven National Laboratory) SLAC(SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory) FNAL (Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory)

PPPL (Princeton Plasma Physics Lab)
DESY (Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron)
PSI (Paul Scherrer Institute)
CEA-Saclay (Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives)
KEK (Kō Enerugī Kasokuki Kenkyū Kikō)



Conclusion

C&S review committee side Executive Summary - The first sentence of the 4 first paragraphs

Executive Summary

The review committee is very impressed with the enormous amount of work that was presented.

A very competent, engaged and effective management team is in place to manage both projects.

The Project Management tools used at CERN are state of the art, well utilized and well understood by the management team as well as all the personnel the review committee talked to.

The QA and QC programs are well established, flexible and effective.



LIU : Cost Summary

Total cost / MCHF	186*
Uncertainty / %	-10 / +15
Uncertainty / MCHF	167 - 214
Uncertainty	Class 2
% complete	17% (31 MCHF)
Total FTE / CERN	691
Total FTE / MPA	194

*Does not include LINAC4

- Budgets are correctly assembled and adequate
- Schedule is generally well defined and realistic
- Some options for savings, deferrals or deletion (<15 MCHF)
- Scope on IONS is not well enough defined which leaves uncertainty in the design
- Significant ramp up of effort in the next 2 years requires close tracking of resources
- General concern about retiring expertise/ expertise availability



HL-LHC : Cost Summary

Total cost / MCHF (original estimate 2011)	cost / MCHF833al estimate 2011)				
Total cost / MCHF (new estimate)	949 Construction phase 27 R&D phase	 Schedule i realistic 			
Uncertainty / %	-15 / +22	 Some opt deletion (state) 			
Uncertainty / MCHF	-142 / +208	 More expension generally lo Civil Engin 			
Uncertainty	On average Class 3	Unlikely the productive of the productive o			
% complete	R&D phase	degree as			
Total FTE / CERN	I FTE / CERN 1660				
Total FTE / MPA	946	Late inform			

- Budgets are correctly assembled and adequate, but uncertainty varies between class 1 and 5
- Schedule is generally well defined and realistic
- Some options for savings, deferrals or deletion (see table later)
- More expensive workpackages have generally less uncertainty (apart from Civil Engineering).
- Unlikely that uncertainty on the negative site will materialize to the degree assumed.
- General concern expertise availability (new contract policy should help)
- Late information on cost / risk of Civil Engineering creates major risk that needs to be retired asap



MTP – HL-LHC revised cost profile

HL-LHC revised cost profile



Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015

CFRN

LHC roadmap: schedule beyond LS1

LS2 starting in 2018 (July)

LS3 LHC: starting in 2023 Injectors: in 2024 => 18 months + 3 months BC
=> 30 months + 3 months BC
=> 13 months + 3 months BC



(Extended) Year End Technical Stop: (E)YETS





Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015 Goal of 3'000 fb-1 by mid 2030ies

LHC roadmap: according to MTP 2016-2020



LS3 LHC: starting in 2024 Injectors: in 2025 => 24 months + 3 months BC
=> 30 months + 3 months BC
=> 13 months + 3 months BC







Daresbury Laboratory, UK 3rd Joint Annual Meeting 11-15 November 2013

High Luminosity LHC Project Kick-off Monday 11 Nov. Special Event

In Ressi – CERN, Project Coordinator G. Britning – CERN, Project Coordinator G. Britning – CERN, Project Coordinator G. Burlin, – CERN, Project Coordinator G. Doublet, Nobels – CERN, Project Stapport B. Applety – CUUNNCU R. Applety – CUUNNCU B. Boogert – JAI G. Burt – CUUNNC K. Hock – CUULNC K. Hock – CUULN

To mark the recent approval of the High Luminosity LHC project by the GERN Council as first priority for GERN and Europe, a special event called the H_LHC Project Kick-off will be organized on the afternoon of Monday 11% Newmobic, with the participation of directors of the major atskeholders of the project. The HLumi LHC Design Study is included in the High Luminosity LHC marks and the Mark Internet for the Mark Internet.

LHC project and is partly funded by the European Commission within the Framework Programme 7 Capacities Specific Programme, Grant Agreement 204404. For more details and free registration:

Science & Technology

http://cern.ch/hilumilhc

2

 \circ

LARP

Annual Meeting 26 - 30 October 2015, CERN

The 5th Joint HiLumi LKC - LARP This year, a special session will Annual Meeting will be held at be devoted to the problem of CERN from 26 to 30 October 2015 interface and luminosity quality and marks the end of the FP7-HiLumi LKC Design Study. LKC detector community.

The main objective will be the approval of the Technical Design Report, a key deliverable of the FP7-Design Study. The new structure of project governance, better suited to the new construction phase, will also be discussed and approved. The Hilumi LHC Design Study is The Hilumi LHC Design Study is LHC project and is partly funded by the European Commission of Capacities Specific Programme, Grant Agreement 284404.

For more details and free registration: cecile.noels@cern.ch / hilumilhc.web.cern.ch

High Luminosity LHC High Luminosity LHC & Experiments Thursday 29 October Special Joint Session

Lucio Ressi - CERN, ProjectCoordin Giorgio Ambrosio - FNAL Giorgio Appelinari - FNALLARP Robert Appleby - C/UNIMAN Gianhuigi Arduni - CERN Amalia Ballarino - CERN Francesco Broggi - INFN Oliver Britning - CERN Graeme Burt - C/VILANC Rama Calaga - CERN Beniamino Di Girolamo - CERN Beniamino Di Girolamo - CERN Beniamino Di Girolamo - CERN Tabushi Nakaneto - XEK Alessandro Ratti - LBNL Stefano Redalli - CERN GianLuca Sabbi - LBNL Ezio Todesco - CERN Andy Wolski - C/UNILIV

CERN

X

High Luminosity

Status & prospects LHC accelerator and HL-LHC plans High Energy Physics Advisory Panel Frédérick Bordry 10th December 2015

The Gordernet Butthe

C HINNESS





Integration: vibration, machine integration, double decker and more



Conclusions

LHC is operational at 13 TeV c.m. and with 25ns beams (2x2244 nominal bunches)

From 2016 in production mode

- 6.5 TeV, machine scrubbed for 25 ns operation
- β^* = 40 cm in ATLAS and CMS
- Rapid intensity ramp up should be possible
- Nominal design luminosity 1x10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ should be reached (expectation to go up to ~1.2x10³⁴ in 2016)

RUN 2 goal : 100 fb⁻¹ and to reach 300 fb⁻¹ at the end of RUN 3

LHC Injector Upgrade (LIU => LS2) and High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC => LS3) well defined and now in construction phase

-Full exploitation of the LHC with optimised planning out to 2035.



Thanks for your attention

