Status of CMS & ATLAS

Aaron Dominguez, University of Nebraska HEPAP, December 8-9, 2014



LHC Program

- The first run of the LHC has been a tremendous success for ATLAS and CMS
- The USA is a crucial partner in both experiments
- This great success of the LHC program has also brought very good public attention to our field
- These new discoveries have shed some light, but we're in a fertile era of questions:
 - Why is the Higgs light? Where is dark matter? Does the Higgs have anything to do with dark energy? Where is SUSY? What can rare b-decays tell us? Are there more exotic things? (Gravity?) More structure in quarkgluon plasma?
- USCMS and USATLAS are well positioned to face these questions, now and in the future — this is the excitement and centrality of the LHC program

USCMS & USATLAS



RUN 1

Proton-Proton Program

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp



Publication status



Few highlights on next slides



CMS Publications





On the shoulders of giants: detector makers & theory calculators "Yesterday's discovery is today's calibration, and tomorrow's background." – V. L. Telegdi [http://cern.ch/go/lf9C] [http://cern.ch/go/KD8D]

Inelastic collisions: ~7×10¹⁰ Feb 2014

CMS Preliminary



a.david@cern.ch

@CMSexperiment @ICHEP2014



A Textbook and Timely Discovery

- Summer 2011: EPS and Lepton-Photon
 First (and last) focus on limits (scrutiny of the p₀)
- December 2011: CERN Council First hints
- Summer 2012: CERN Council and ICHEP Discovery!
- December 2012: CERN Council Begining of a new era

(Marumi Kado, ICHEP14)



Run 1 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma /$

(c) CERN. All rights reserved.

23

a.david@cern.ch

@CMSexperiment @ICHEP2014



CMS

32

$m_{\rm H} = 124.70^{+0.35}_{-0.34} \ [\pm 0.31 ({\rm stat.}) \pm 0.15 ({\rm syst.})] \ {\rm GeV}$





Combined mass measurement





$$m_{\rm H} = 125.03 \pm 0.30 \begin{vmatrix} +0.26 \\ -0.27 \end{vmatrix} (\text{stat.})^{+0.13}_{-0.15} (\text{syst.}) \end{vmatrix} \text{GeV}$$





[CMS-PAS-HIG-14-009]

53



$$\sigma/\sigma_{\rm SM} = 1.00 \pm 0.13 \left[\pm 0.09 (\text{stat.})^{+0.08}_{-0.07} (\text{theo.}) \pm 0.07 (\text{syst.}) \right]$$

Grouped by production
 tag and dominant decay:

$$\chi^2/dof = 10.5/16$$

- p-value = 0.84 (asymptotic)
- ttH-tagged 2.0σ above SM.
 - Driven by one channel.



a.david@cern.ch @CMSexperiment @ICHEP2014



Summary of the measurements of the coupling scale fac- tors for a Higgs boson with mass mH =125.5 GeV. The best fit values are represented by the solid vertical lines, with the $\pm 1\sigma$ and $\pm 2\sigma$ uncertainties given by the dark- and light-shaded band, respectively. For a more complete illustration, the distributions of the likelihood ratios from which the total uncertainties are extracted are overlaid. The measurements in the various benchmark models, separated by double horizontal lines, are strongly correlated. Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013), pp. 88-119

Landscape Redefined Expansion of the Higgs Physics Program!

Precision

- Mass and width
- Coupling properties
- Quantum numbers (Spin, CP)
- Differential cross sections
- Off Shell couplings and width
- Interferometry

Is the SM minimal?

- 2 HDM searches
- MSSM, NMSSM searches
- Doubly charged Higgs bosons

*(Marumi Kado, ICHEP14)



- Muons μμ
- · LFV μτ, eτ
- J/Ψγ, ZY, etc...

Tool for discovery

- Portal to DM (invisible Higgs)
- Portal to hidden sectors
- Portal to BSM physics with H⁰

in the final state (ZH⁰, WH⁰, H⁰H⁰)

...and More!

- FCNC top decays
- Di-Higgs production
- Trilinear couplings prospects
 - Etc...

ATLAS-CMS ttbar cross-section combination (S. Goy)

- Study dilepton decay channel with exactly one electron and one muon in the final state
- Precision now at the level of 3.5% (most precise measurement of $\sigma_{\rm ff}$ so far)
 - An additional uncertainty due to the calibration of the LHC beam energy is 4.2 pb

Best fitted valued





Observation of Bs to mumu Weighted invariant mass distributions

Illustrative only! Not used to extract results

1D BDT cut

BDT categories



M. Galanti - Università and INFN Padova, CERN

Bs and Bd to mu mu



Figure 2: Comparison of previous results [7–9], the latest CMS and LHCb results [11, 12], the combined value, and the SM prediction (vertical line) for (left) the time-integrated branching fraction $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ and (right) $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-)$. Upper limits at 95% CL are shown as bars starting at zero, while other measurements are shown as data points with $\pm 1\sigma$ combined statistical and systematic uncertainties. The width of the vertical band represents the uncertainty in the SM prediction.

CMS PAS BPH-13-007 LHCb-CONF-2013-012

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

(F. Wuerthwein)	ATLAS Preliminary
($\sqrt{s} = 7.8 \text{ TeV}$

Sta	atus: ICHEP 2014							$\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$
	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	∫ <i>L dt</i> [fb	b ⁻¹] Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$\begin{array}{l} MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ \tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q \tilde{q} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q q \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \rightarrow q q W^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q q \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \rightarrow q q W^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q q (\ell \ell / \ell \nu / \nu \nu) \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ GMSB (\tilde{\ell} \text{ NLSP}) \\ GMSB (\tilde{\ell} \text{ NLSP}) \\ GGM (\text{bino NLSP}) \\ GGM (\text{bino NLSP}) \\ GGM (\text{higgsino-bino NLSP}) \\ GGM (\text{higgsino NLSP}) \\ GGM (\text{higgsino NLSP}) \\ Gravitino LSP \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 - 2 \ \tau + 0 - 1 \ \ell \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (Z) \\ 0 \end{matrix}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 0-3 jets 2-4 jets 0-2 jets - 1 <i>b</i> 0-3 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	\tilde{q}, \tilde{g} 1.1 TeV \tilde{g} 1.1 TeV \tilde{g} 1.1 TeV \tilde{q} 850 GeV \tilde{g} 1.33 TeV \tilde{g} 1.18 TeV \tilde{g} 1.12 TeV \tilde{g} 1.12 TeV \tilde{g} 1.24 TeV \tilde{g} 619 GeV	1.7 TeV $m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g})$ any $m(\tilde{q})$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV, $m(1^{st} \text{ gen. } \tilde{q})=m(2^{nd} \text{ gen. } \tilde{q})$ eV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=0$ GeV $tan\beta<15$ 1.6 TeV $tan\beta > 20$ V $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=50$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=50$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=50$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=50$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=200$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=200$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=200$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=200$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=200$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=200$ GeV $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})=10^{-4}$ eV	1405.7875 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1308.1841 1405.7875 1405.7875 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-089 1208.4688 1407.0603 ATLAS-CONF-2012-001 ATLAS-CONF-2012-144 1211.1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
3 ^{ru} gen. <i>§</i> med.	$ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \end{array} $	0 0 0-1 <i>e</i> , μ 0-1 <i>e</i> , μ	3 <i>b</i> 7-10 jets 3 <i>b</i> 3 <i>b</i>	Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1		$ \begin{array}{ccc} & m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 400 {\rm GeV} \\ & m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 350 {\rm GeV} \\ {\rm eV} & m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 400 {\rm GeV} \\ {\rm eV} & m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 300 {\rm GeV} \end{array} $	1407.0600 1308.1841 1407.0600 1407.0600
3 rd gen. squarks direct production	$ \begin{split} \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1 (\text{light}), \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1 (\text{light}), \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1 (\text{medium}), \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1 (\text{medium}), \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1 (\text{heavy}), \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1 (\text{heavy}), \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1 (\text{natural GMSB}) \\ \tilde{t}_2 \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 + Z \end{split} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2\ e,\mu\ (\text{SS})\\ 1\text{-}2\ e,\mu\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 2\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 1\ e,\mu\\ 0\\ 2\ e,\mu\ (Z)\\ 3\ e,\mu\ (Z) \end{array}$	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b 1 ono-jet/c-ta 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20.1 20.1 20.3 20.3 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) <\!\! 90 \mathrm{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{1}) =\!\! 2 m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) =\!\! 55 \mathrm{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) =\!\! m(\tilde{\imath}_{1}) \cdot m(W) \cdot\!\! 50 \mathrm{GeV}, m(\tilde{\imath}_{1}) \!<\!\! cm(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) =\!\! 1 \mathrm{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \!=\!\! 1 \mathrm{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \!=\!\! 0 \mathrm{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \!=\!\! 150 \mathrm{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) \!=\!\! 100 \mathrm$	1308.2631 1404.2500 1208.4305, 1209.2102 1403.4853 1403.4853 1308.2631 1407.0583 1406.1122 1407.0608 1403.5222 1403.5222
EW direct	$ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\ell}_{\text{L,R}} \tilde{\ell}_{\text{L,R}}, \tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell} \nu(\ell \tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau} \nu(\tau \tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{\text{L}} \nu \tilde{\ell}_{\text{L}} \ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu), \ell \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\ell}_{\text{L}} \ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} Z \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} h \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{1} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{2,3}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{\text{R}} \ell \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \tau \\ 3 \ e, \mu \\ 2 - 3 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 4 \ e, \mu \end{array}$	0 0 - 0 2 <i>b</i> 0	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \; GeV \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \; GeV, \; m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \; GeV, \; m(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) = m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), \; m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \; m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) = m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), \; m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \; sleptons \; decoupled \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}) = m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}), \; m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \; sleptons \; decoupled \\ m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}) = m(\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0}), \; m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0, \; m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu}) = 0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}) + m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})) \end{array}$	1403.5294 1403.5294 1407.0350 1402.7029 1403.5294, 1402.7029 ATLAS-CONF-2013-093 1405.5086
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$ Stable, stopped \tilde{g} R-hadron GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}) + \tau(e,$ GMSB, $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$, long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$ $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow qq\mu$ (RPV)	Disapp. trk 0 ,μ) 1-2 μ 2 γ 1 μ, displ. vtx	1 jet 1-5 jets - - -	Yes Yes - Yes -	20.3 27.9 15.9 4.7 20.3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 270 GeV \tilde{g} 832 GeV $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 475 GeV $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 230 GeV \tilde{q} 1.0 TeV	$\begin{split} & m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})\text{-}m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)\text{=}160 \; MeV, \; \tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})\text{=}0.2 \; ns \\ & m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)\text{=}100 \; GeV, \; 10\; \mu s{<}\tau(\tilde{g}){<}1000 \; s \\ & 10{<}tan\beta{<}50 \\ & 0.4{<}\tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^0){<}2 \; ns \\ & 1.5\; {<}c\tau{<}156 \; mm, \; BR(\mu)\text{=}1, \; m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)\text{=}108 \; GeV \end{split}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 1310.6584 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304.6310 ATLAS-CONF-2013-092
RPV	$ \begin{array}{l} LFV \ pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV \ pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear \ RPV \ CMSSM \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow ee \tilde{v}_{\mu}, e\mu \tilde{v}_{e} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+} \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tau \tau \tilde{v}_{e}, e\tau \tilde{v}_{\tau} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow qqq \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_{1}t, \ \tilde{t}_{1} \rightarrow bs \end{array} $	$2 e, \mu 1 e, \mu + \tau 2 e, \mu (SS) 4 e, \mu 3 e, \mu + \tau 0 2 e, \mu (SS)$	- 	- Yes Yes - Yes	4.6 4.6 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	\tilde{v}_r 1. \tilde{v}_r 1.1 TeV \tilde{q} . \tilde{g} 1.35 T $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 750 GeV $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 450 GeV \tilde{g} 916 GeV \tilde{g} 850 GeV	61 TeV $\lambda'_{311}=0.10, \lambda_{132}=0.05$ $\lambda'_{311}=0.10, \lambda_{1(2)33}=0.05$ eV $m(\tilde{q})=m(\tilde{g}), c\tau_{LSP}<1 \text{ mm}$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})>0.2\times m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}), \lambda_{121}\neq 0$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})>0.2\times m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}), \lambda_{133}\neq 0$ BR(t)=BR(b)=BR(c)=0%	1212.1272 1212.1272 1404.2500 1405.5086 1405.5086 ATLAS-CONF-2013-091 1404.250
Other	Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac χ)	0 2 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i> (SS) 0	4 jets 2 <i>b</i> mono-jet	- Yes Yes	4.6 14.3 10.5	sgluon 100-287 GeV sgluon 350-800 GeV M* scale 704 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693 m(χ)<80 GeV, limit of<687 GeV for D8	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2013-051 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
	$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ full data	$\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV partial data	$\sqrt{s} = 3$ full of	8 TeV data		10 ⁻¹ 1	Mass scale [TeV]	

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 σ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.



CMS Exotica Physics Group Summary – ICHEP, 2014

Run 1 has set the stage for... RUN 2

2015 Q1/Q2

FIRST BEAM 9th MARCH



Apr			May				SCRUBBING FOR 50 ns			SCRU FOR			BBING 25 ns	
Wk	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Н	21	22	23	24	25	26
Мо	30	6	13	20	27	4	-	11	18	25	1	8	15	22
Tu							lic rui		+					
We		-					phys			TS1	1 23			
Th		Recom	missioning	with			pecla				Intensity ram		up m	
Fr			beam				- v							
Sa							·			1 T				
Su	·											-		

(M. Lamont, LHCC Nov 2014)

2015 Q3/Q4

-	July		Aug					Sep					
Wk	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39
Мо	29	6	13	20	27	3	10	17	24		31 7	14	21
Tu								-		un .			
We	1	MD 1		-					TS2	sic r	MD 2		
Th				with 25 r	amp-up is beam					(hq			
Fr				1						ecia			
Sa										Sp	lower		
Su -											beta*		

	Oct		Nov					End physics [06:00]					
Wk	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52
Мо	28	5	12	19	26	2	9	16	23	30	7	74	21
Tu								lons				-	
We			Floating				TS3	setup				nnica	
Th			MD							IONS		Tech	
Fr						MD 3							Xmas
Sa													
Su													

(M. Lamont, LHCC Nov 2014)

LHC Roadmap Run 2 3 years Operation Run after LS1





LHC Roadmap Run 2

- Energy: 6.5 TeV
- Bunch spacing: 25 ns
 - pile-up considerations
- Injectors potentially able to offer nominal intensity with even lower emittance

Run 2:

Start with 6.5 TeV and later decision towards 7 TeV according to magnet training

						\frown
	Number of bunches	lb LHC FT [1e11]	Emit LHC [um]	Peak Lumi [cm- ² s ⁻¹]	~Pile-u	Int. Lumi per year [fb ⁻¹]
25 ns BCMS	2590	1.15	1.9	1.7e34	49	~45

Luminosity evolution



Still uncertainty on length of end-of-year breaks Usual caveats apply

(J. Hansen, WLCG13)

Physics prospects at Run 2

- Increase of cross sections from LHC8 to LHC14 ${\bullet}$
 - Improved discovery potential at LHC
- A Higgs factory: lacksquare

 - 5.5M Higgs events produced
 100K event useful for precision measurements
- Note: today ATLAS+CMS have 1400 ulletHiggs events

Physics subjects

- Higgs precision measurement
 - Mass
 - Cross-sections
- Measure as many Higgs couplings to fermions and bosons as precisely as possible
- Very weak possibility to observe that the Higgs boson fixes the SM problems with $W_L W_L$ scattering at high E
- Extend limits for searches ullet

CMS and ATLAS white papers: arXiv:1307.7135 and 1307.7292

(J. Hansen, WLCG13)



Uncertainty on signal strength Uncertainty on Signal Strength 100 fb-1 is a factor 1.5 farger!



Based on parametric simulation J. Hansen, WLCG13)





L (fb ⁻¹)	$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$H \rightarrow WW$	$H \rightarrow ZZ$	$H \to b b$	$H\to\tau\tau$	$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	$H \rightarrow inv.$
300	[6, 12]	[6, 11]	[7, 11]	[11, 14]	[8, 14]	[62, 62]	[17, 28]
3000	[4, 8]	[4, 7]	[4,7]	[5,7]	[5, 8]	[20, 24]	[6, 17]

Assumptions on systematic uncertainties Scenario 1: no change Scenario 2: Δ theory / 2, rest $\propto 1/\sqrt{L}$

Extrapolated from 2011/12 results

Stop discovery potential

- Challenging analysis due to large top background
- Systematic uncertainties important
- 300 fb⁻¹:
 - Discovery up to 700
 GeV in direct
 production
- Further improvements may be possible with reoptimization
 (J. Hansen, WLCG13)





Experiments Getting Ready for Run 2! LHCC refs Nov 2014 AB/WZ

Closing endcap disks



Tracker bulkhead & nose sealed. Protoype camera system fitted

(A. Ball)



Closing....

Pixel detector

BPIX half-shell fully repaired and back to CERN

- Reminder: failure was localized in one quadrant only— all others fine
 - Failure: shorts between wire-bonding pads on the high density interconnect
 - Isolated failure during a test with power and most probable high local humidity
- 40 new modules
- 19 repaired modules
- This half-shell works now 100%!
- Connection to supply tube done
- Initial checkout done
- Ready for installation by end of November

(Sylvia Goy, LHCC Nov 2014)







IBL integration in Cosmic run



IBL and Pixel data taking with ATLAS : Successful track reconstruction in all ID parts

DAQ, calibration, DCS, online/offline are integrated and are under the test DCS integrated; Cooling/PS operating stably





ATLAS & CMS Closing Up and Commissioning for Run 2 and...

Preparing Phase-1 Upgrades

LHC Performance & Schedules



Conditions

- ~ $2x10^{34}$ by LS2, higher after LS2
- ~ 200fb^{-1} by LS2, ~ 500fb^{-1} by LS3
- 25ns is the plan, but ... easier and more reliable at 50ns?
- Integrated luminosity is the goal For the Upgrades
- "Baseline" PU~50, study ~100
- Lumi-leveling will come into play

	Number of bunches	β* [m]	Half X- angle [µrad]	lb SPS	Emit SPS [um]	Peak Lumi [cm- ² s ⁻¹]	~Pile-up	Int. Lumi [fb ⁻¹]
25 ns	2800	0.50	190	1.2e11	2.8	1.1e34	23	~30
50 ns	1380	0.40	140	1.7e11	2.1	1.8e34 β^* level	81 β* level	?
25 ns Iow emit	2600	0.40	150	1.15e11	1.4	2.0e34	48	52
50 ns Iow emit	1200	0.40	120	1.71e11	1.5	2.2e34	113	?

Basic Goal of the CMS Phase-I Upgrade

- Preserve the ability to reconstruct all the Standard Model objects and Missing Energy at higher luminosity than the original design
 - Achieve the same or better efficiency, resolution, trigger thresholds, and background rejection at 14 TeV with 50+ pile-up than at 8 TeV with less than 20 pile-up
- Evolutionary upgrades to existing detectors when access is possible:
 - Hadron Calorimeter
 - Pixel Detector
 - Level | Trigger



Brief Description of the Phase-IProject

- Hadron Calorimeter (HCAL):
 - New "frontend" photosensors with higher gain allows longitudinal granularity and includes timing information to deal with the higher pileup.
 - Accompanying "backend" electronics, provides increased bandwidth to handle the resulting larger volume of information
- Pixel Tracker (PIX):
 - New 3 layer endcap detector, replacing current 2 layer one, new 4 layer barrel detector, replacing current 3 layer one, which improves tracking and vertexing, and decreases multiple scattering and conversion due to less mass in the tracking volume and mitigates data loss due to modern readout chip electronics. INSTALL IN 2016/2017
- Level I Trigger (TRIG):
 - Conversion to modern electronics system (μTCA) with high bandwidth optical links and large FPGAs allowing more sophisticated algorithms to run on the expanded amount of data available the calorimeter and muon system.



- Increased gain of SiPMs and high data link volumes allow for increased depth segmentation of the calorimeter
 - Amount of segmentation limited by power/ cooling/volume
- Radiation damage is strongly depth-dependent, requiring depth segmentation for correction without introducing large constant term

Phase-I Upgrade Pixels



Requirements



- Baseline L = 2×10^{34} cm⁻²sec⁻¹ & 25ns \rightarrow 50 pileup (50PU)
- Tolerate $L = 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1} \& 50 \text{ns} \rightarrow 100 \text{ pileup (100PU)}$
 - Survive Integrated Luminosity of 500fb⁻¹
- (Evolutionary upgrade with) minimal disruption of data taking
- Same detector concept: higher rate readout, data link & DAQ w/ less material forward
 - **Robustify tracking : 4** hit coverage.

•



Figure 14: The ratio of the number of events each sequential cut for the upgraded detector relative to the current detector. The cuts where the largest improvement from the upgraded detector are expected are highlighted.

THE ATLAS ROADMAP

Ingrid-Maria Gregor - ATLAS Overview 4

THE ATLAS ROADMAP

FAST TRACK TRIGGER (FTK)

- Dedicated, hardware-based track finder (based on CDF Silicon Vertex) Triggering development)
 - Runs after the first level trigger on duplicated Si-detector read-out links.
 - Provides tracking input to the HLT for the full event at 100kHz.
 - Finds and fits tracks (~ 25 μs) in the ID silicon layers at nearly offline precision.
- Processing performed in two steps

ATLAS

Simulation

Offline Light-Flavor

Barrel ($|\eta| < 1.1$)

√s = 14 TeV

 $< \mu > = 60$

MUONS: NEW SMALL WHEEL

- Consequences of luminosity rising beyond design values for forward muon wheels
 - Degradation of the tracking performance (efficiency / resolution)
 - L1 muon trigger available bandwidth exceeded unless thresholds are raised
- Replace Muon Small Wheels with New Muon Small Wheels
 - Improved tracking and trigger capabilities
 - Meets Phase-2 requirements
 - compatible with $<\mu>=200$, up to L \sim 7x10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹
- MicroMegas (area of 1200 m²)
 - Space resolution < 100 µm independent of incidence angle</p>
 - High granularity -> good track separation
 - High rate capability due to small gas amplification region and small space charge effect
- Small strip Thin Gap Chambers (sTGC) (area of 1200 m²)
 - Space resolution < 100 µm independent of incidence angle</p>
 - Bunch ID with good timing resolution to suppress fakes
 - Track vectors with < 1 mrad angular resolution</p>
 - Based on proven TGC technology

10 m

LEVEL 1 CALORIMETER TRIGGER UPGRADE

- Upgrade of LAr Level-1 trigger electronics, to provide finer granularity for Level-1 trigger decision
 - e.g. 10 super-cells instead of 1 trigger tower (in the barrel)
 - introduction of Feature Extraction Modules (FEX) in trigger path.
- Maintain high efficiency for Level-1 triggering on low p_T electrons and photons.
- Retain transverse energy in each layer instead of summing → longitudinal shower information.
- Improvement in Level-1 trigger electron reconstruction, isolation, energy resolution.
- Forward compatible with Phase-2 Upgrade.

Phase-2 Upgrades

The LHC has allowed us to glimpse the outlines of some remarkable physics, but we don't see the detail clearly...

The HL-LHC is a much brighter light to shine on the situation...

CMS Upgrade Overview

The HL-LHC is a very bright lamp to see physics details, which makes it a challenging environment for detectors and reconstruction

- Radiation
 - Ionizing dose
 - Neutron fluences up to 2 x 10¹⁶ n/cm² in pixels
- Pileup
 - 140 average
 simultaneous
 interactions (many
 events with > 180)

CMS Upgrade Overview

October 21, 2014

CMS Upgrade Overview

J. Mans)

18

Higgs factory: HL-LHC

10/21/14

(A. Apyan, ECFA 2014)

Di-Higgs Production

- One of the exciting prospects of HL-LHC
 - Cross section at vs=14 TeV is 40.2 fb [NNLO]
 - Challenging measurement
 - New preliminary results from ATLAS and CMS
- Destructive interference

- Final states shown today
 - bbγγ [320 expected events at HL-LHC, 3000fb⁻¹]
 - But relatively clean signature
 - bbWW [30000 expected events at HL-LHC, 3000fb⁻¹]
 - But large backgrounds
 - bbbb and $bb\tau\tau$ final states under consideration

10/21/14

(A. Apyan, ECFA 2014)

Higgs portal to Dark Matter

- BR of Higgs decays to invisible final states
 - ATLAS: BR_{inv}< 0.13 (0.09 w/out theory uncertainties) at 3000fb⁻¹
 - CMS: BR_{inv}< 0.11 (0.07 in Scenario 2) at 3000fb⁻¹
- The coupling of WIMP to SM Higgs taken as the free parameter
- Translate limit on BR to the coupling of Higgs to WIMP

Dark matter

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-007

DM

DM

DM

DM

Models

NEW

- Effective Field Theory (contact interaction btw SM and DM particles)
- Simplified models with explicit mediator
- Signature ("mono-X")
 - Initial state radiation or direct coupling via mediator particle
 - Mono-jet: high-p_T leading jet (≤ 2 jets), large E_T^{miss}, e/µ veto

 5σ discovery up to suppression scale M* of 2.2 (2.6) TeV for 300 (3000) fb⁻¹ (assuming 1% systematic uncertainty)

SUSY: Simplified models summary

Focus on production of a reduced set of sparticles

- Assume decoupled spectrum
- **Decay BR generally assumed to be 100%**
- **Discovery potential increases** by ~20% in terms of gluino, squark and stop masses
- More substantial gains for $\chi_1^+ \chi_2^0$ EW production
 - At least 50% increase in mass reach **DOUBLES** in case of WH final state

Probe *up to* the quoted mass

Mass scales [GeV]

ATLAS projection	gluino mass	squark mass	stop mass	sbottom mass	χ_1^+ mass WZ mode	χ_1^+ mass WH mode	
Run 3 300 fb ⁻¹	2.0 TeV	2.6 TeV	1.0 TeV	1.1 TeV	560 GeV	None	5σ discovery u
HL-LHC 3000 fb ⁻¹	2.4 TeV	3.1 TeV	1.2 TeV	1.3 TeV	820 GeV	650 GeV	to quoted mass

21 Oct 2014

NEW

BSM Experiment Overview 19

Tracker replacement is necessary due to efficiency loss and fake rate increase

Blue tracker modules are inactive after 1000 fb⁻¹ due to very high leakage currents induced by neutron fluence.

J. Mans)

5

Detailed conceptual design for all-silicon tracker with three section and trigger-stub capability

CMS Upgrade Overview

6

J. Mans)

The next two years are important ones for technology R&D leading up to the technical design reports for major subsystems

- CMS HL-LHC Technical Proposal is being completed now with full-simulation physics studies
 - Decision on endcap calorimeter technology planned for early 2015
- CMS will complete Technical Design Reports on the key upgrades in 2016/17
 - Next two years are very important for final R&D leading up to the TDRs

J. Mans)

THE ATLAS ROADMAP

	Silicon Area	Channels [10 ⁶]
Pixel	8.2m ²	638
Strip	190m ²	71

- Remove Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT) as occupancy is too high during HL-LHC
- Install new all-Silicon tracker with much higher readout bandwidth
- Granularity increase by factor > 4

- LOI layout optimised for tracking performance
- Biggest changes compared to current tracker:
 - pixels system extends out to larger radii
 - more pixel hits in forward direction to improve tracking
 - smaller pixels and short inner strips to increase granularity
- Currently design studies ongoing to optimize various sub-detectors.

POSSIBLE EXTENSIONS AT LARGE η

Extend ITK tracker to $\eta = 4.0$: different pixel layouts/performance (extended IBL, disks, rings, pixel granularity,...)

sFCal with improved segmentation and reduced pulse length in 3.1<η<4.9

Trigger w/ fwd tracking:

- L0/L1 capabilities
- vertex information

Recommendation in spring 2015 !

Muon spectrometer options for $2.7 < \eta < 4.0$:

- 1 pixelated tag chamber before EC toroid
- 2 chambers (before/after EC toroid)
- 2 chambers +1.5T warm toroid

Segmented timing detectors in front of EMEC/FCAL in 2.5<η<4 (MBTS location): (~100μm;~10ps)

Summary

- CMS and ATLAS have enjoyed an excellent Run 1 of the LHC and are finishing up analyses from this era
- My apologies for not covering heavy ion physics
- Phase-1 upgrades are approved (thank you!) and underway to take advantage of increasing luminosity of the LHC
- Phase-2 upgrades are being seriously considered at the technical proposal stage right now
- We are very much looking forward to the next run and the fun that follows