Status of LHCb:

Recent Physics results I Future Plans

> At the HEPAP meeting December 5, 2012

Hassan Jawahery University of Maryland



Acknowledgment

I am grateful to these colleagues for their help with this talk; Also benefitted and borrowed from their recent talks:

Pierluigi Campana – LHCb Spokesperson Sheldon Stone (talk, Chicago Workshop on LHC) Burkhard Schmidt (talk, HCP 2012) Olivier Callot (talk, HCP 2012)

Outline

> LHCb detector

Highlights of recent physics results

>Upgrade plans

Primary Goals of Flavor Physics in the LHC era

- If New Physics is found at LHC, determining its flavor structure will be amongst the next major goals of the field: New CPV phases, right-handed currents, Lepton Flavor Violation,....
- A broad set of loop-dominated flavor processes are shown to be highly sensitive to the parameters of most NP scenarios.
- Current flavor data already severely constrains many NP models.
- If no New Physics is found at the TeV energy regime:
 Flavor physics would provide a window for physics at higher scales

Some of the key experimental handles:

- FCNC processes
- \succ Precision CKM parameters (aiming for O(1%) level)
- Lepton Flavor Violation

The LHCb Detector

A Single Arm Spectrometer at LHC Acceptance: $2 < \eta < 5$

 $b\overline{b}$ peaked forward or backward with ~25% in detector acceptance



Access to all species of B hadrons





US Participation: Pioneered by Syracuse U. (since:2005); Recently: U. Cincinnati (since 5/2012), U. Maryland (since 9/2012), MIT(since 11/2012)

Trigger

- LO Hardware trigger:
 - Require High Pt $~\mu,~e,~\gamma$ or hadron candidates: HCAL (>3.6 GeV), ECAL (>2.6 GeV), Pt muon (>1.4 GeV) or di-muons
 - Maximum allowed rate is limited to ~1MHz
- High Level (software) Trigger (HLT):
 - HLT1: topological trigger & cuts on impact parameter (50 kHz)
 - HLT2: Select inclusive or exclusive channels using full track reconstruction.
 - Total rate ~5 kHz to permanent storage.
 - 25% of the input events are deferred; stored on disk and processed during inter-fills.

Operation

- In the latest run- has been running with ~4x10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ with 1262 colliding bunches with 50 ns bunch spacing (since end of 2011)
 - Was designed for peak luminosity 2×10^{32} cm⁻² s⁻¹ for ~2700 colliding bunches with 25 ns spacing.
 - -The average number of visible collisions per crossing is ~1.8
- Luminosity levelling:
 - The beam separation is adjusted to maintain the luminosity constant.



| Mu 1.70 | 391.41 | Recorded Inst Lumi Pile Up 2.08 Physics deadtime (9 | (Hz/ub) (4) 1.91 | 383.93 |
|---|-------------|---|---------------------|--------------|
| 00 100 11 00 100 11 | | | .0) 1.01 | 1.1.1 5 |
| - 7 | | | | 2.1 |
| 8 Innn | town when | montum | | 2 |
| 20.00 20.00 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1 | James 11 MM | mmm | v~~v | 2.10 2.10 |

LHCb Integrated Luminosity



After the Long Shutdown 1 (LS1) will restart in 2015 at 13 TeV, with 25 ns bunch spacing (nominal) Expect to reach a total of ~7/fb by 2018

Detector & Reconstruction Performance (1)

 Detector & reconstruction Performance has been excellentat about the design level in essentially all important aspects.





Detector & Reconstruction performance(2)









LHCb

B^o mixing as a probe of New Physics





Described by 2x2 mass matrix

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\begin{pmatrix}B\\\bar{B}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} - \Gamma_{11} & M_{12} - \Gamma_{12} \\ M_{21} - \Gamma_{21} & M_{22} - \Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}B\\\bar{B}\end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad B_L = p \mid B^0 > +q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > B_H = p \mid B^0 > -q \mid \overline{B}^0 > -q \mid$$

Parameters: ϕ_{12} =arg(-M₁₂/Γ₁₂) Δm=m_H-m_L=2|M₁₂| ΔΓ=Γ_H-Γ_Λ=2|Γ₁₂|cos(φ_M) are highly constrained within SM for the B_d and B_s systems.

>New Physics contribution can manifest in sizeable CP violations effects & alter these parameters from SM values- in particular in the B_s system.

Key CPV observables in B⁰_s system

φ_{s:} Relative phase of mixing and decay amplitude in CP eigenstates
 Extract from Time-dependent CPV



$$\phi_s = \phi_m - 2\phi_d$$
$$A_{cp}(t) \simeq \eta_{cp} \sin \phi_s \sin \Delta m t$$

$$\varphi_s^{J/\psi\phi} = -2\arg(\frac{V_{ts}V_{tb}^*}{V_{cs}V_{cb}^*}) \approx 0.04(SM)$$

 $\mathbf{a}_{sl}^{s} = \frac{\Gamma(B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow l^{+}v_{l}X) - \Gamma(\overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow l^{-}v_{l}X)}{\Gamma(B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow l^{+}v_{l}X) - \Gamma(\overline{B}_{s}^{0} \rightarrow l^{-}\overline{v_{l}}X)} = \frac{\Delta\Gamma_{s}}{\Delta M_{s}} \tan\phi_{12} = (2.06 \pm 0.57) \times 10^{-5} (SM)$

Both parameters are small & with well defined SM predictions Thus, highly sensitive probes of NP

ϕ_s measurement

- From Time-dependent CPV in $Bs \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$:
- Mixture of CP odd & CP even states Angular analysis required to extract CPV info.



• From Bs \rightarrow J/ $\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$: The ($\pi^+ \pi^-$) system in f₀(980) region and the nearby is dominated by CP-odd state (97.7%). $A_{cp}(t) \sim 2 \sin \phi_s \sin(\Delta M t)$



ϕ_s results



 ϕ_s =-0.002±0.083±0.027 rad

Future: expected accuracy with 5/fb: $\phi_s^{J/\Psi\phi} \sim \pm 0.025$ (rad) Measurement of Semileptonic Asymmetry (a_{sl}^{s})

> Use exclusive channel: $Bs \rightarrow D_s \mu^- \nu$, $(D_s^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi \pi^{\pm})$



Control samples used to correct for detector induced asymmetries
 Magnet is periodically reversed.

Rapid mixing oscillations reduce production asym (~1%) to negligible level (0.2%)

LHCb measurment of a_{sl}^{s}

> LHCb finds $a_{sl}^{s} = (-0.24 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.33)\%$

In good agreement with SM



LHCb measurment of a_{sl}^{s}

> LHCb finds $a_{sl}^{s} = (-0.24 \pm 0.54 \pm 0.33)\%$

In good agreement with SM

 ➤ Combined D0 measurement with di-muons & D_sl: a^s_{sl}=(-1.70±0.56)%
 ~3σ from SM



Implication for New Physics in Mixing

Analysis by A. Lenz, U. Niereste with J. Chales et al (CKMfitter)



Implication for New Physics in Mixing (Utfit analysis)



LHCb Measurements of FCNC Processes



b→s processes are highly sensitive to parameters of most NP scenarios & are key to obtaining generic constraints on NP through wilson coefficients.

LHCb measurements of some exclusive channels have already significantly exceeded the sensitivities of previous measurements.

 $B_{s/d} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$





$$B \rightarrow K^{(*)}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$$

- Provides several observables- through angular analysis- Br, dBr/dq², A_{FB}(q²), F_L(q²), CP asymmetry- highly sensitive to NP- constraining C'₇,C'₉, C'₁₀
- LHCb has the largest sample of events the combined past expt's





Differetial Rate: $B^{\circ} \rightarrow K^{* \circ} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$



Forward-Backward asymmetry



Forward-Backward asymmetry



$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

 SM branching ratio is (3.2±0.2)×10⁻⁹

[Buras arXiv:1012.1447]

 Sensitive to new scalar sectors, extended Higgs.. in MSSM to high tanβ





$$\mathcal{B}(B_q^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} f_{B_q}^2 \tau_{B_q} m_{B_q}^3 |V_{tb} V_{tq}^*|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_q}^2}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{The only}\\ \text{SM term} \\ \times & \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{4m_{\mu}^2}{m_{B_q}^2} \right) |C_S - C_S'|^2 + \left| (C_P - C_P') + 2\frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{B_q}} (C_{10} - C_{10}') \right|^2 \right\} \end{array}$$

Evidence for $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ (1)

- Events mainly triggered by di-muon LO • trigger
- Use $B \rightarrow h^+h^-$ to tune cuts for a • multivariate analysis (Boosted Decision Tree). Main variables:
 - B impact parameter, B lifetime, $B p_{t}$, B isolation, muon isolation, minimum impact parameter of muons, ...
- Simultaneously measure $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$, and • $B \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-}$ as normalization.



 $\Lambda_{h} \rightarrow [pK^{*}]cc$ $\Lambda_{\rm b} \rightarrow [p\pi]cc$

LHCb

• B_s production is measured by using the LHCb measured ratio f_s/f_d. New value of 0.256±0.020

Evidence for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ (2)

• LHCb 1.0 fb⁻¹ (2011) + 1.1 fb⁻¹ (2012)



Branching ratio for $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

LHCb results:

$$\mathscr{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) = (3.2^{+1.4}_{-1.2}(stat)^{+0.5}_{-0.3}(syst)) x 10^{-9}$$

𝔅(𝔼_d→μ⁺μ⁻) <9.4×10⁻¹⁰ @95% c.l.



Implications of $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ constraints









33#



Other Promising Channels in Flavor Physics

γ is still relatively poorly measured Critical to constraining NP through CKM parameters- measured with tree level processes



With 1/fb and combination of Methods (ADS, GLW, GGZK): LHCb finds:

Comparable to individual results
of Babar and Belle
World average: γ = (66 ±12)°



Future uncertainty with \sim 5/fb: ~4° (with B→DK) & ~11° (with B→DsK)

Probing right-handed currents with $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$



γ polarization (left-handed in SM) serves as a probe of right-handed currents due to NP

Employ time-dependent CPV as a measure of photon helicity (Atoowd, Gronau, & Soni (1997)



$$A_{cp}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\overline{B}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{cp}\gamma) - \Gamma(B^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{cp}\gamma)}{\Gamma(\overline{B}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{cp}\gamma) + \Gamma(B^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{cp}\gamma)}$$
$$= S_{fcp\gamma} \sin \Delta mt - C_{fcp\gamma} \cos \Delta mt$$
$$S_{K^{*}\gamma} \simeq \frac{2}{|C_{7}|^{2} + |C_{7}'|^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(e^{-2i\beta}C_{7}C_{7}'\right)$$
$$\operatorname{In SM:} \mathbf{S}_{K^{*}\gamma} \sim 0.04$$

BaBar & Belle: $S_{K^*\gamma} = -0.16 \pm 0.22$

LHCb can also measure this effect in the B_s^0 sector via: $B_s^0 \rightarrow \phi \gamma$: Signal detected; Expected sensitivity: ~ 0.09 (5/fb) & 0.02 (50/fb) Other channels & approaches are also under study

Direct CP Violation in B decays



LHCb confirmed some elements of the "B→Kpi puzzle": with 320/pb

 $A_{cp}(B^0 \to K^+ \pi^-) = -0.085 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.008$ $A_{cp}(B_s^0 \to K^- \pi^+) = 0.27 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$

With 320/pb at about the level of precision of previous results

World average

$$A_{cp}(B^0(\overline{b}d) \rightarrow K^+\pi^-) = -0.085 \pm 0.010$$

$$A_{CP}(B^+(\overline{b}u) \to K^+\pi^0) = +0.038 \pm 0.018$$

The " $K\pi$ puzzle": changing the spectator quark leads to a drastic change in CPV asymmetry!

Also observed large CPV in 3-body B→hhh decays

Future of LHCb program

LHCb sensitivity to key flavour channels

| Type | Observable | Current | LHCb | Upgrade | Theory |
|----------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | precision | 2018 | $(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$ | uncertainty |
| B_s^0 mixing | $2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J\psi \phi)$ | 0.10 | 0.025 | 0.008 | ~ 0.003 |
| | $2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J\psi f_0)$ | 0.17 | 0.045 | 0.014 | ~ 0.01 |
| | $A_{\rm fs}(B_s^0)$ | $6.4	imes10^{-3}$ | $0.6	imes10^{-3}$ | $0.2 	imes 10^{-3}$ | $0.03 	imes 10^{-3}$ |
| Gluonic | $2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\phi)$ | _ | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| penguin | $2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$ | | 0.13 | 0.02 | < 0.02 |
| | $2\beta^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi K^0_S)$ | | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| R-handed | $2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi \gamma)$ | | 0.09 | 0.02 | < 0.01 |
| currents | $\tau^{\rm eff}(B^0_s \to \phi \gamma) / \tau_{B^0_s}$ | _ | 5% | 1 % | 0.2% |
| EW | $S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$ | 0.08 | 0.025 | 0.008 | 0.02 |
| penguin | $(1 < q^2 < 6 \text{GeV}^2/c^4)$ | | | | |
| | $s_0(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$ | 25% | 6% | 2% | 7% |
| Higgs | $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ | 1.5×10^{-9} | $0.5 	imes 10^{-9}$ | 0.15×10^{-9} | $0.3 	imes 10^{-9}$ |
| penguin | $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)/$ | _ | $\sim 100 \%$ | $\sim 35\%$ | $\sim 5\%$ |
| | $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$ | | | | |
| Unitarity | $\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$ | $\sim 1012^{\circ}$ | 4° | 0.9° | negligible |
| triangle | $\gamma \ (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$ | _ | 11° | 2.0° | negligible |
| angles | $\beta \ (B^0 \to J/\psi \ K_S^0)$ | 0.8° | 0.6° | 0.2° | negligible |
| Charm | A_{Γ} | 2.3×10^{-3} | 0.40×10^{-3} | 0.07×10^{-3} | _ |
| CPV | ΔA_{CP} | 2.1×10^{-3} | $0.65 	imes 10^{-3}$ | $0.12 	imes 10^{-3}$ | _ |

Unique potential B_s / b baryon sector [LHCb-PUB-2012-009] •

Charged particle final states far in excess of other facilities ٠

The LHCb upgrade

- Precision Flavor physics remains a major element of the quest for New Physics in the coming decades.
 - The LHCb sensitivity at 50 fb-1 will provide strong constraints on NP with the potential to reveal evidence for it.
 - The LHCb program with its unique capability in the B⁰_s sector and and extremely high statistical power in key exclusive decays will remain complementary to future e+e- facilities.
- To reach the LHCb goal of 50 fb-1 in a reasonable time scale, the experiment must run at higher luminosity- aiming for 2x10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹. This requires removing the current L0 trigger limit of 1 MHz, imposed by the front-end electronics.
 - Readout the detector at the 40 MHz LHC clock rate & implement a software trigger (HLT) running on reconstructed events.
 - This also provides significant flexibility; a gain of x10 for hadronic channels at 2x10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹



All front-end electronics must be rebuilt to upgrade the output rate; chips imbedded in detectors & use of optical links to transfer the data. $^{42\#}$

Summary of major elements of LHCb Upgrade

- Replace Front-End Electronics & DAQ in most sub-detectors (Except Muon System)
- Replace Vertex Locator (VELO):
 - Improve occupancy & impact Parameter resolution, reduce ghost rate
 - Options: Pixel or Si-Strips
- Trackers:
 - Upstream Trigger Tracker (TT): Key to trigger, and ghost reduction
 - New Geometry, and thinner Si sensors
 - Downstream Tracker: reduce occupancy in inner region
 - Two options:
 - Replace Inner Si Tracker with increased area and lower mass
 - Or Sci-Fiber tracker
- Particle ID:RICH1 & RICH2
 - Replace HPD's with MaPMT & new readout
 - Other alternatives are also being considered.

The schedule of the LHCb upgrade

2013-14 Long Shutd. 1 / LHCb maintenance, first infrastructures for upgrade

- 2015-17 LHCb data taking (13-14 TeV) / 40 MHz protos in test
- 2018-19 Long Shutd. 2 / LHCb upgrade installation [Atlas/CMS upgrades phase 1]
- ≥ <u>2019</u> Upgraded LHCb in data taking (14 TeV)

LHCb Upgrade preparation

- 2012-13 R&D, technological choices, preparation of subsystems TDRs
- 2014 Funding/Procurements
- 2015-19 Construction & installation

"Framework TDR for the Upgrade" submitted to LHCC and F. Agencies in June 2012

Two documents prepared for the European Strategy Group for Particle Physics:

- LHCb collab. The LHCb Upgrade LHCb-PUB-2012-008
- LHCb collab. & 40 theorists Implications of LHCb measurements and future prospects - LHCb-PUB-2012-009

→ Very positive outcome for the LHCb Upgrade from ESPG Krakow meeting
 → The Upgrade has been endorsed (for approval) by the LHCC in September meeting

Summary

- > LHCb has been running successfully at the LHC:
 - > It operates at 4xdesign luminosity and higher interaction/crossing, with excellent detector performance- at about the design level.
- The current physics output has already left a major mark on the search for New Physics through rare flavor processes:
 - > Obtained first evidence for $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ <u>a major milestone in flavor physics</u>
 - > Has significantly constrained the parameter space of many NP scenarios.
- The LHCb- including the upgrade program- will remain a central element of the overall LHC program for NP search. (A message that has emerged from many studies, including last year's intensity frontier workshop).
 - Planning for LHCb upgrade is progressing well- now in R&D and design stage & funding planning.
- The US effort (mainly Syracuse, till recently) has had major impact on the program thus far. The recently strengthened group (4 institutions) is well placed, given their past experience, to continue significant participation in both the current program and its upgrade.