# FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FUNDING OPPORTUNITY ANNOUNCEMENT



# U. S. Department of Energy Office of Science Fusion Energy Sciences

# Collaborative Research on International and Domestic Spherical Tokamaks

Funding Opportunity Number: DE-FOA-0001784 Announcement Type: Amendment 00002 CFDA Number: 81.049

Issue Date:	07/05/2017
Letter of Intent Due Date:	Not Applicable
Pre-Application Due Date:	08/04/2017 at 11:59 PM Eastern Time A Pre-Application is required
Encourage/Discourage Date:	08/11/2017
	09/01/2017 at 11:59 PM Eastern Time rrected Dates on Page 15 and 17 rrected Dates on Page 10 and Page 33

# **Table of Contents**

UPDATES AND REMINDERS	I
SECTION I – FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION	1
SECTION II – AWARD INFORMATION	7
A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT	7
B. ESTIMATED FUNDING	7
C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE	7
D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS	7
E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE	7
F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	7
G. TYPE OF APPLICATION	8
SECTION III – ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION	9
A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS	9
B. COST SHARING	9
C. ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS	9
SECTION IV – APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION	10
A. ADDRESS TO REQUEST APPLICATION PACKAGE	10
B. LETTER OF INTENT AND PRE-APPLICATION	10
C. CONTENT AND APPLICATION FORMS	13
D. SUBMISSIONS FROM SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS	
E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES	
F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW	
G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS	
H. OTHER SUBMISSION AND REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS	
SECTION V - APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION	40
A. CRITERIA	41
B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS	42
C. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES	42
SECTION VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION	43
A. AWARD NOTICES	43
B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS	
C. REPORTING	46

SECTION VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS47
A. QUESTIONS
B. AGENCY CONTACTS
SECTION VIII - OTHER INFORMATION
A. MODIFICATIONS
B. GOVERNMENT RIGHT TO REJECT OR NEGOTIATE48
C. COMMITMENT OF PUBLIC FUNDS
D. PROPRIETARY APPLICATION INFORMATION48
E. EVALUATION AND ADMINISTRATION BY NON-FEDERAL PERSONNEL
F. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPED UNDER THIS PROGRAM49
G. NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST PATENT WAIVER49
H. NOTICE REGARDING ELIGIBLE/INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES
I. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS
J. ENVIRONMENTAL, SAFETY AND HEALTH (ES&H)PERFORMANCE OFWORK 50
SECTION IX - APPENDICES/REFERENCE MATERIAL

## UPDATES AND REMINDERS

#### REGULATIONS

This FOA and any awards made under it are controlled by 2 CFR 200, the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, as modified by 2 CFR 910, the U.S. Department of Energy Financial Assistance Rules, and 10 CFR 605, the Office of Science Financial Assistance Program.

#### **RENEWAL APPLICATIONS**

No applications submitted for a **renewal** (an addition of a project period) of an existing award will be accepted.

#### DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Office of Science has published a new Statement on Digital Data Management, published at <u>http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management/</u>, which governs applications submitted under this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA), and is detailed in Part IV of this FOA.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF FEDERAL SUPPORT

The Office of Science published guidance about how its support should be acknowledged at <u>http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/acknowledgements/</u>.

#### REPORTING

The Office of Science has implemented the federal-wide Research Performance Progress Report (RPPR) through the Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS). The common RPPR format is described at <u>http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rppr/</u>. Progress Reports are generally due 90 days before the end of each budget period. The Principal Investigator (PI) will receive an automated email from PAMS (<PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov>) thirty days prior to the progress report due date. Some information will be prepopulated. Additional details and changes will be contained in the Reporting Requirements Checklist attached to the Assistance Agreement.

#### **AVOIDING ERRORS**

The following advice is compiled from actual experiences of applicants for Office of Science financial assistance awards.

- Please ensure that the research narrative is comprised of one and only one PDF file, including all appendices, when it is attached to the SF-424(R&R) form.
- When using the Office of Science PAMS website at <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov</u>, please avoid using the back-arrow button in your web browser to navigate.
- Please ensure that the application contains no personally identifiable information (PII).

• Please ensure that the budget is calculated using the applicable negotiated indirect cost and fringe benefit rates.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The Office of Science encourages you to register in all systems as soon as possible. You are also encouraged to submit letters of intent, pre-applications, and applications well before the deadline.

#### Section I – FUNDING OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

#### GENERAL INQUIRIES ABOUT THIS FOA SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO:

#### **Technical/Scientific Program Contact:**

Dr. Josh King 301-903-9707 Josh.King@science.doe.gov

#### STATUTORY AUTHORITY

Public Law 95-91, U.S. Department of Energy Organization Act Public Law 109-58, Energy Policy Act of 2005

#### **APPLICABLE REGULATIONS**

Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, codified at 2 CFR 200
U.S. Department of Energy Financial Assistance Rules, codified at 2 CFR 910
U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science Financial Assistance Program Rule, codified at 10

U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science Financial Assistance Program Rule, codified at 10 CFR 605

#### SUMMARY

The Fusion Energy Sciences (FES) Program of the Office of Science (SC), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), hereby announces its interest in receiving grant applications for collaborative research using domestic and international spherical tokamak facilities. The Spherical Tokamak program contributes significantly to the FES program goal of understanding the dynamics of magnetically confined plasmas and developing techniques for controlling them. All individuals or groups planning to submit applications for new funding in Fiscal Year 2018 should submit applications in response to this FOA. Applications for collaborative research focused primarily on implementing a diagnostic instrument and analyzing the resulting data may be submitted in response to this FOA.

The Spherical Tokamak program helps to build the scientific foundations for fusion energy by both contributing to the fundamental understanding of magnetically confined plasmas and assessing the attractiveness of the spherical tokamak for future fusion facilities. Applications for collaborative research must support the Spherical Tokamak Program by addressing key scientific issues related to one or more of the following topics: Macroscopic Stability, Multi-Scale Transport Physics, Plasma Boundary Interfaces, Plasma Waves and Energetic Particles, Plasma Start-up and Ramp-up without a Solenoid, and Advanced Operating Scenarios and Control. The Mega Ampere Spherical Tokomak Upgrade (MAST-U) located in the United Kingdom is the primary international experimental facility being considered for collaboration funding in this FOA. Collaboration on two domestic spherical tokamak facilities will also be considered for funding in this FOA: (1) the Pegasus toroidal experiment located at the University of Wisconsin in Madison, Wisconsin and (2) the Lithium Tokamak eXperiment – Beta (LTX- $\beta$ ) located at the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory in Princeton, New Jersey. For applicants proposing research on the MAST-U or the LTX- $\beta$  to be considered for funding, the proposed research must be discussed with research leaders Andrew Kirk or Richard Majeski, respectively. A Record of Discussion that specifies the benefits of proposed research to the MAST-U or LTX- $\beta$  programs is required, which includes an estimate of the interface costs required to carry out the research. For applicants proposing research on Pegasus, a joint application must be submitted with the research leader Raymond Fonck, which incorporates all costs incurred by the University of Wisconsin. Applications to collaborate on additional international spherical tokamaks (e.g., QUEST in Japan) will also be considered, but do not require the submission of a Record of Discussion.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### **Spherical Tokamak Program Objective**

The major investments made to upgrade the MAST-U and NSTX-U facilities were strongly motivated by an important observation identified in both machines, which showed that energy confinement in spherical tokamaks may scale more favorable than for conventional aspect ratio tokamaks as collisionality is reduced. If the present empirical scalings hold, then STs may provide a much more compact design path to future fusion reactors than conventional tokamaks. At present, the interplay between collisionality, turbulent transport, wall conditioning (e.g., lithium coatings, boronization) and/or density control at low aspect ratio represents the forefront of ST research. The complementary capabilities of the MAST-U and LTX- $\beta$  facilities allow for this interplay to be explored. Late in the three year period of these proposals FY 2018 – FY2020 the MAST-U facility is slated to utilize strong cryopumping capabilities in its world class advanced divertor to control plasma density and hence collisionality. Alternatively, the neutral beam heated and fueled LTX- $\beta$  will control density using lithium wall coatings, which dramatically reduces the flux of cold neutral atoms that are recycled back into the plasma after their initial expulsion.

In addition to plasma performance, the compact geometry of MAST-U and its future enhanced auxiliary heating power will result in exhaust power reaching plasma facing components that is in excess of that expected in ITER. This coupled with MAST-U's unprecedentedly flexible divertor geometry, makes it a world leading facility for the study of power exhaust and plasma material interactions.

For more information about the present capabilities and research priorities of both the MAST-U and LTX- $\beta$  facilities, please refer to the following:

MAST-U Research Plan: http://www.ccfe.ac.uk/assets/documents/other/MAST-U\_RP\_v4.0.pdf

#### LTX-β Program Letter:

The Lithium Tokamak eXperiment - Beta (LTX- $\beta$ ) is an upgrade to LTX, and currently involves researchers from Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, other National Labs, and

Universities. When the upgrade is complete in early FY18, LTX- $\beta$  will be a low aspect ratio tokamak with nominal R<sub>0</sub>=0.4 m, a=0.26 m, and  $\kappa$ =1.5. The upgraded toroidal magnetic field will be <3.4 kG, maximum plasma current ~150 - 175 kA, and the maximum discharge duration will be 50 – 75 msec. Neutral beam injection will be available in early FY18, with a nominal 20 kV 35A neutral beam system. Initial beam pulse length will be limited to 8 msec, but power supplies are available to double the pulse length, and the beam source can operate for a pulse of 30 – 50 msec. LTX- $\beta$  has a conformal 1 cm thick copper shell or liner. The plasma-facing surface of the shell is clad with explosively bonded 1.5 mm thick 304 stainless steel. The shell is designed to be fully coated with lithium, covers 80% of the plasma surface area, and can be electrically heated to 350°C to provide a full liquid lithium plasma facing surface. For FY18 and FY19, LTX- $\beta$  will be operated with the plasma limited on the high field side equatorial plane, although provision for diverted operation is planned for late FY19 or early FY20.

The base diagnostic set which will be available in FY18 includes single-pulse multi-point Thomson scattering with both core and edge viewing, a number of filterscopes, CHERs, a 1 mm interferometer, profile and fluctuation reflectometry, a fast imaging camera, a long wavelength extreme ultraviolet spectrometer, a multichord, high-throughput accuratewavelength lens-based (HAL) visible spectrometer, .a tangential multichord Lyman-a array, and a paired AXUV tangential array for approximate bolometry (a few chords of resistive bolometry will also be available). A "vacuum suitcase" system will also be available for sample exposure and post-exposure analysis including x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), low energy ion scattering (LEISS), and thermal desorption spectroscopy (TDS). Lithium coating thickness will be monitored by a pair of quartz crystal deposition monitors. A modest array of Langmuir probes will be installed for analysis of the SOL, in addition to a single gridded ion energy analyzer. Arrays of flux loops, threeaxis Mirnov coils, and single-axis Mirnov coils are available for equilibrium reconstruction and MHD stability studies. The data acquisition system is based on MDSPlus. Equilibrium reconstructions employ the PSI-TRI code at present, although development of additional equilibrium analysis is in process.

The LTX- $\beta$  research program focuses on the modifications to the core equilibrium and SOL caused by metallic low recycling lithium plasma facing surfaces, with heating and partial core fueling provided by neutral beam injection. Characterizations of core electron and ion transport (especially local transport), and core fluctuations which contribute to anomalous transport, are of interest. The modifications to the SOL caused by very low neutral pressures and low collisionality v\* < 0.1, associated with low recycling and core neutral beam fueling, are of interest. The detailed interactions of an energetic SOL with the plasma-facing lithium surface (solid or liquid) are of interest, including erosion and redeposition. Laboratory experiments under controlled conditions and modeling, including molecular dynamics simulations to inform plasma material interactions under these conditions, are also of interest.

Proposals that advance, directly or indirectly, the understanding of ST energy confinement trends are considered especially valuable to this solicitation. It is important that applicants ensure that their proposals align with the planned phasing of research at either facility.

The small aspect ratio of spherical tokamaks gives rise to limited space in the center column. In a steady state device, it is critical that this center column space be occupied by toroidal field conductor and not a solenoid, which is only used to initiate the discharge. For this reason, the study of the non-inductive injection of magnetic helicity for plasma start-up is a high priority of the spherical tokmamak program. While critical to STs, conventional tokamaks would also greatly benefit from the reduced engineering complexity and expense if a central solenoid were not required. Furthermore, non-solenoidal startup strategies considered so far do not appear to be strongly dependent on variations aspect ratio. The Pegasus toroidal experiment has served as a leader in local helicity injection development demonstrating more than 100 kA of plasma current. In this FOA proposals are welcome that explore alternative helicity injection strategies, as well as the enhancement of the Pegasus facility to further advance it's non-inductive start-up capability. The overarching objective of any non-solenoidal startup strategy, or combination of strategies, should be the eventual transfer of this technology to larger devices.

#### **Pegasus Program Letter:**

This research program is focused on developing and validating a physical understanding and predictive models for non-solenoidal tokamak plasma startup using Local Helicity Injection (LHI). LHI employs strong localized electron currents injected along magnetic field lines in the plasma edge that relax through helicity-conserving magnetic turbulence to form a tokamak-like plasma. Pegasus is a near-unity aspect ratio spherical tokamak that can stably confine a high I<sub>p</sub> plasma at very low  $B_{TF} \leq 0.15$  T. Toroidal currents of I<sub>p</sub> = 0.1–0.25 MA are accessible with I<sub>inject</sub> ~ 6-12 kA. Beyond generating tokamak plasmas without a central solenoid, these plasmas provide access to a unique region of tokamak space characterized by  $\beta_t \sim 100\%$  and a broad region of absolute minimum-B in the plasma core.

Injectors are located on either the low-field plasma side or in the divertor region. This allows evaluation of helicity drive with or without strong poloidal field induction. Both a 0-D power balance model that accounts for inductive voltages from the time-changing plasma shape, and more complete resistive MHD (NIMROD) models are being tested to describe the dynamical evolution of the discharge.

Topics of interest are: optimization of plasma growth and operational scenarios; plasma materials interactions and impurity behavior; electron energy confinement and associated current drive efficiency; long and short wavelength MHD activity related to the current drive mechanisms; the effects of toroidal field on both injector and plasma behavior; ion dynamics in the presence of strong reconnection activity; comparisons to NIMROD MHD models; development and testing of new injector systems to increase helicity input; and scaling to increased I<sub>p</sub> through variations in injector area, location and shape.

Beyond presently funded research efforts, the Pegasus program aspires to install new capabilities to support a broader, comparative examination of non-solenoidal startup techniques, with an ultimate goal of validating techniques to produce a ~1 MA target plasma. Enhancements may include: increasing the toroidal field to  $\geq 0.6T$  to support critical scaling tests at medium scale ST (e.g. MAST-U and NSTX-U) field levels;

installation of a high- $I_p$  coaxial helicity injection (CHI) system in the upper divertor region; and deploying a modest (200-400 kW) electron cyclotron heating capability. These efforts will address scaling of relevant physics to higher  $B_{TF}$ , separate and comparative studies of both helicity injection techniques, and the use of ECH to synergistically improve the target plasma for consequent bootstrap and neutral beam current drive sustainment.

Measurement needs are focused on understanding the formation and growth of the plasma current channel through magnetic reconnection processes, characterizing the equilibrium and stability properties of the resulting plasma, evaluating compatibility with higher performance fusion systems, development of advanced current sources with mitigation of inherent PMI, and defining those plasma characteristics needed to efficiently couple to subsequent plasma current sustainment techniques. Some areas of interest include: diagnostic neutral beam measurements for ion dynamics, ion densities, and internal magnetic and electric fields; impurity measurements via bolometry, visible bremsstrahlung, and VUV and SXR spectroscopy; IR imaging thermography for divertor heat deposition and long-pulse injector behavior; and edge and divertor probes with special interest in identifying current flows in the system.

#### Collaboration

All applicants must collaborate with researchers from other institutions who are part of the domestic or international research teams. The teams currently include researchers from DOE National Laboratories, industry, and universities. Planning for collaborative research on any spherical tokamak research facility must begin in advance of submitting an application. Thus, applications submitted in response to this notice must include a Record of Discussion indicating the benefits of proposed research to the specific research program, and the interface support required by the proposed collaborative work.

The contact persons for the research facilities described in the FOA are listed below:

MAST-U Program Leader: Andrew Kirk <u>andrew.kirk@ukaea.uk</u> LTX-β Program Leader: Richard P. Majeski <u>rmajeski@pppl.gov</u> LTX-β Leader: Robert Kaita <u>kaita@pppl.gov</u> Pegasus Program Leader: Raymond J. Fonck <u>rjfonck@wisc.edu</u>

Successful applicants will present their proposed research ideas at research forums or meetings to compete for experimental time.

In addition, collaborative research projects with other institutions are encouraged under this FOA. Collaborative applications submitted from different institutions should clearly indicate they are part of a proposed collaboration and contain a brief description of the overall research project. In addition, such applications must describe the work and the associated budget for the research effort being performed under the leadership of the Principal Investigator at that participating institution. Synergistic collaborations with researchers in the DOE National Laboratories and Federally-Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) are also encouraged though no funds will be provided to these organizations under this FOA.

Each collaborating institution submitting an application must use the same title in Block 11 of the SF 424 (R&R) form.

Collaborative applications submitted from different institutions must clearly indicate they are part of a collaborative project/group. Every partner institution must submit an application through its own sponsored research office. Each collaborative group can have only one lead institution. Each application within the collaborative group, including the narrative and all required appendices and attachments, must be identical with the following exceptions:

- Each application must contain a correct SF-424 (R&R) cover page for the submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget justification corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.

All entities submitting applications to this funding opportunity announcement must recognize the moral and legal obligations to comply with export controls and policies that relate to the transfer of knowledge that has relevance to the production of special nuclear materials (SNM) and technologies with potential dual use. Please see 10 CFR 810 for further information.

This funding opportunity announcement is to support scientific endeavors that could be described in scholarly publications. Do not submit applications containing restricted data or unclassified nuclear information as defined in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 USC 2011 et seq., 10 CFR 1017, 10 CFR 1045.

# Section II – AWARD INFORMATION

## A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT

DOE anticipates awarding grants under this FOA.

DOE will consider funding multi-institution collaborations under this FOA.

# **B. ESTIMATED FUNDING**

Research Grant Awards (typically single-investigator projects) are expected to be made for a period of three years at a funding level appropriate for the proposed scope, with out-year support contingent on the availability of funds and satisfactory progress. Total funding up to \$3,000,000 annually is expected to be available to support this FOA subject to appropriation of funds by the Congress. DOE is under no obligation to pay for any costs associated with the preparation or submission of an application. DOE reserves the right to fund, in whole or in part, any, all, or none of the applications submitted in response to this FOA.

DOE is under no obligation to pay for any costs associated with preparation or submission of applications. DOE reserves the right to fund, in whole or in part, any, all, or none of the applications submitted in response to this FOA.

#### C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE

The award size may depend on the number of meritorious applications and the availability of appropriated funds.

#### Ceiling

\$3,000,000 per year

Floor

\$50,000 per year

#### **D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS**

The exact number of awards will depend on the number of meritorious applications and the availability of appropriated funds.

#### E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE

The award size will depend on the number of meritorious applications and the availability of appropriated funds.

#### F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

Research Grant Awards are expected to be made for a period of three years. Out-year funding will depend upon suitable progress and the availability of appropriated funds.

# G. TYPE OF APPLICATION

DOE will accept new applications under this FOA.

#### Section III – ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

# A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

All types of applicants are eligible to apply, except Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) Contractors, and nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995.

## **B. COST SHARING**

Cost sharing is not required.

# **C. ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS**

Individuals with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as a Program Director/Principal Investigator are invited to work with their organizations to develop an application for assistance. Individuals from underrepresented groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply for assistance.

# Section IV – APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

# A. ADDRESS TO REQUEST APPLICATION PACKAGE

Application forms and instructions are available at grants.gov. To access these materials, go to <u>https://www.grants.gov</u>, select "Apply for Grants", and then select "Download Application Package." Enter the CFDA number (81.049) and/or the funding opportunity number (DE-FOA-0001784) shown on the cover of this FOA and then follow the prompts to download the application package.

Applications must be submitted through Grants.gov Applications submitted through <u>www.FedConnect.net</u> will not be accepted.

# **B. LETTER OF INTENT AND PRE-APPLICATION**

#### 1. Letter of Intent – Not Applicable

#### 2. Pre-application

PRE-APPLICATION DUE DATE August 04, 2017

ENCOURAGE/DISCOURAGE DATE August 11, 2017

A pre-application is required and must be submitted by August 04, 2017 at 11:59 PM Eastern Time. A single pre-application is required for each collaborative application from a collaborative group (e.g. Univ. X and Univ. Y wishes to submit a collaborative application to conduct research on the MAST-U facility. In this case, only one pre-application should be submitted).

Pre-applications will be reviewed for responsiveness of the proposed work to the research topics identified in this FOA. DOE will send a response by email to each applicant encouraging or discouraging the submission of a full application by August 11, 2017. Applicants who have not received a response regarding the status of their pre-application by this date are responsible for contacting the program to confirm this status.

# Only those applicants that receive notification from DOE encouraging a full application may submit full applications. No other full applications will be considered.

The pre-application attachment should include, at the top of the first page, the following information:

Title of Pre-application Principal Investigator Name, Job Title Institution PI Phone Number, PI Email Address Funding Opportunity Announcement Number: (DE-FOA-0001784) This information should be followed by a clear and concise description of the objectives and technical approach of the proposed research. The pre-application may not exceed two pages, with a minimum text font size of 11 point and margins no smaller than one inch on all sides. Figures and references, if included, must fit within the two-page limit.

The pre-application must also include a list of the names and institutional affiliations of all participating investigators, including collaborators and consultants on the proposed project. For each funded investigator, provide a list of collaborative co-investigators including co-authors of the past 48 months, co-editors of the past 24 months, graduate and postdoctoral advisors/advisees, and close associations.

Those pre-applications that are encouraged will be used to help the Office of Science begin planning for the full application peer review process. The intent of the Office of Science in discouraging submission of certain full applications is to save the time and effort of applicants in preparing and submitting full applications not responsive to this funding opportunity announcement.

The Principal Investigator will be automatically notified when the pre-application is encouraged or discouraged. The DOE Office of Science Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) will send an email to the Principal Investigator from <u>PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov</u>, and the status of the pre-application will be updated at the PAMS website <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>. Notifications are sent as soon as the decisions to encourage or discourage are finalized.

It is important that the pre-application be a single file with extension .pdf, .docx, or .doc. The filename should not exceed 50 characters. The pre-application must be submitted electronically through the DOE Office of Science Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) website <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>. The Principal Investigator and anyone submitting on behalf of the Principal Investigator must register for an account in PAMS before it will be possible to submit a pre-application. All PIs and those submitting pre-applications on behalf of PIs are encouraged to establish PAMS accounts as soon as possible to avoid submission delays.

You may use the Internet Explorer, Firefox, Google Chrome, or Safari browsers to access PAMS.

Registering to PAMS is a two-step process; once you create an individual account, you must associate yourself with ("register to") your institution. Detailed steps are listed below.

#### **Create PAMS Account:**

To register, click the "Create New PAMS Account" link on the website <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>.

- Click the "No, I have never had an account" link and then the "Create Account" button.
- You will be prompted to enter your name and email address, create a username and password, and select a security question and answer. Once you have done this, click the "Save and Continue" button.

- On the next page, enter the required information (at least one phone number and your mailing address) and any optional information you wish to provide (e.g., FAX number, website, mailstop code, additional email addresses or phone numbers, Division/Department). Click the "Create Account" button.
- Read the user agreement and click the "Accept" button to indicate that you understand your responsibilities and agree to comply with the rules of behavior for PAMS.

PAMS will take you to the "Having Trouble Logging In?" page. (If you have been an Office of Science merit reviewer or if you have previously submitted an application, you may already be linked to an institution in PAMS. If this happens, you will be taken to the PAMS home page.

#### **Register to Your Institution:**

- Click the link labeled "Option 2: I know my institution and I am here to register to the institution." (Note: If you previously created a PAMS account but did not register to an institution at that time, you must click the Institutions tab and click the "Register to Institution" link.)
- PAMS will take you to the "Register to Institution" page.
- Type a word or phrase from your institution name in the field labeled, "Institution Name like," choose the radio button next to the item that best describes your role in the system, and click the "Search" button. A "like" search in PAMS returns results that contain the word or phrase you enter; you do not need to enter the exact name of the institution, but you should enter a word or phrase contained within the institution name. (If your institution has a frequently used acronym, such as ANL for Argonne National Laboratory or UCLA for the Regents of the University of California, Los Angeles, you may find it easiest to search for the acronym under "Institution Name like." Many institutions with acronyms are listed in PAMS with their acronyms in parentheses after their names.)
- Find your institution in the list that is returned by the search and click the "Actions" link in the Options column next to the institution name to obtain a dropdown list. Select "Add me to this institution" from the dropdown. PAMS will take you to the "Institutions List" page.
- If you do not see your institution in the initial search results, you can search again by clicking the "Cancel" button, clicking the Option 2 link, and repeating the search.
- If, after searching, you think your institution is not currently in the database, click the "Cannot Find My Institution" button and enter the requested institution information into PAMS. Click the "Create Institution" button. PAMS will add the institution to the system, associate your profile with the new institution, and return you to the "Institutions List" page when you are finished.

#### **Submit Your Pre-Application:**

- Create your pre-application (called a preproposal in PAMS) outside the system and save it as a file with extension .docx, .doc, or .pdf. Make a note of the location of the file on your computer so you can browse for it later from within PAMS.
- Log into PAMS and click the Proposals tab. Click the "View / Respond to Funding Opportunity Announcements" link and find the current announcement in the list. Click the "Actions/Views" link in the Options column next to this announcement to obtain a dropdown menu. Select "Submit Preproposal" from the dropdown.

- On the Submit Preproposal page, select the institution from which you are submitting this preproposal from the Institution dropdown. If you are associated with only one institution in the system, there will only be one institution in the dropdown.
- Note that you must select one and only one Principal Investigator (PI) per preproposal; to do so, click the "Select PI" button on the far right side of the screen. Find the appropriate PI from the list of all registered users from your institution returned by PAMS. (Hint: You may have to sort, filter, or search through the list if it has multiple pages.) Click the "Actions" link in the Options column next to the appropriate PI to obtain a dropdown menu. From the dropdown, choose "Select PI."
- If the PI for whom you are submitting does not appear on the list, it means he or she has not yet registered in PAMS. For your convenience, you may have PAMS send an email invitation to the PI to register in PAMS. To do so, click the "Invite PI" link at the top left of the "Select PI" screen. You can enter an optional personal message to the PI in the "Comments" box, and it will be included in the email sent by PAMS to the PI. You must wait until the PI registers before you can submit the preproposal. Save the preproposal for later work by clicking the "Save" button at the bottom of the screen. It will be stored in "My Preproposals" for later editing.
- Enter a title for your preproposal.
- Select the appropriate technical contact from the Program Manager dropdown.
- To upload the preproposal file into PAMS, click the "Attach File" button at the far right side of the screen. Click the "Browse" (or "Choose File" depending on your browser) button to search for your file. You may enter an optional description of the file you are attaching. Click the "Upload" button to upload the file.
- At the bottom of the screen, click the "Submit to DOE" button to save and submit the preproposal to DOE.
- Upon submission, the PI will receive an email from the PAMS system <<u>PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov</u>> acknowledging receipt of the preproposal.

You are encouraged to register for an account in PAMS at least a week in advance of the preproposal submission deadline so that there will be no delays with your submission.

For help with PAMS, click the "External User Guide" link on the PAMS website, <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9 AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, email: <u>sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov</u>. All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference (DE-FOA-0001784)

Pre-applications submitted outside PAMS will not be considered. Pre-applications may not be submitted through grants.gov or www.FedConnect.net.

# C. CONTENT AND APPLICATION FORMS

APPLICATION PREPARATION

You must download the application package, application forms and instructions, from Grants.gov at <u>https://www.grants.gov/.</u> (Additional instructions are provided in <u>Section IV, Part</u> <u>C</u> of this FOA.)

You are required to use the compatible version of Adobe Reader software to complete a <u>Grants.gov</u> Adobe application package. To ensure you have the <u>Grants.gov</u> compatible version of Adobe Reader, visit the download software page at <u>http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/adobe-software-compatibility.html.</u>

You must complete the mandatory forms and any applicable optional forms (e.g., Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)) in accordance with the instructions on the forms and the additional instructions below.

Files that are attached to the forms must be in Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) unless otherwise specified in this announcement. Attached PDF files must be plain files consisting of text, numbers, and images without editable fields, signatures, passwords, redactions, or other advanced features available in some PDF-compatible software. Do not use PDF portfolios or binders.

Please note: you may only use the following UTF-8 characters when naming your application attachments: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore (\_), hyphen (-), space, period. You must limit the file name to 50 or fewer characters. Attachments that do not follow this rule may cause the entire application to be rejected or cause issues during processing.

#### LETTERS

To be considered for funding, applicants must have discussed their proposed research with the Research Program leaders at the MAST-U and LTX- $\beta$  facility of interest and must include (in Appendix 7) a completed and signed Record of Discussion (RoD) form, which is located on the proceeding pages.

The RoD form should indicate the benefits of the proposed research to the planned spherical tokamak research program and any interface support required by the proposed collaborative work (if applicable). Other than this requirement, letters of support are discouraged.

Collaborative proposals for research on the Pegasus toroidal experiment must be multiinstitutional submissions, which include the Program Leader Raymond J. Fonck of the University of Wisconsin – Madison as a co-PI.

# **Record of Discussion for MAST-U Research Collaboration Proposals**

Key results of discussions between prospective MAST-U collaborators and a MAST-U Research Contact in support of **U.S. University and Industry Physics and Diagnostic Collaboration** proposals submitted to DOE.

A completed and signed record of discussion (RoD) <u>must be included with collaboration</u> <u>proposals</u> to DOE, which are due Friday, September 1, 2017 by 11:59 PM Eastern Time.

Discussions should be initiated early. Please send completed and signed RoD forms - preferably with scanned or digital signature included in this MS Word document - to Andrew Kirk (Andrew.Kirk@ukaea.uk) well ahead of the proposal deadline. If you are unable/unwilling to complete the electronic signature field(s), leave it/them blank and your electronic signature(s) will be gathered via e-mail after all review comments are provided.

Title of Research Activities:		<u>Collaboration began</u> (mm/yy) or New:
Collaborator Institution:	Discussion Initiated of Completed	<u>n:</u>
Collaboration Principal Researcher: Name: Email: Tel:	MAST-U Researc Name: Email: Tel:	
<u>Signature:</u> Date:	<u>Signature:</u> Date:	
Research Goals:	<u>Datt.</u>	
<b>Collaborator Research Tasks:</b>		
MAST-U Research Support Tasks: 1) 2)		
Estimated Researcher Effort Required (Mar	<u>n-Months):</u>	

MAST-U Engineering Support Tasks:	
1) 2)	
Engineering Effort Required (Man-Months): Estimated Hardware Cost Required (\$k):	
Collaboration Researcher Questions and Issues:	
1) 2)	
2)	
etc.	
Responses by MAST-U Research Contact and Task Manager:	
1) 2)	
2)	
etc.	
Additional Collaboration Researcher's Comments (if any):	
1) 2)	
2)	
etc.	
Additional MAST-U Research Contact and Task Manager Comments (if any):	
1) 2)	
2)	
etc.	
Review and Comment:	
Head of Takamak Science and MAST II Operations, Concurrence	
Head of Tokamak Science and MAST-U Operations, Concurrence Dr. Andrew Kirk	
Electronic signature	
Date: Month XX, YYYY	

# **Record of Discussion for LTX-β Research Collaboration Proposals**

Key results of discussions between prospective LTX- $\beta$  collaborators and the LTX- $\beta$  Research Contacts in support of U.S. University and Industry Physics and Diagnostic Collaboration proposals submitted to DOE.

A completed and signed record of discussion (RoD) <u>must be included with collaboration</u> <u>proposals</u> to DOE, which are due Friday, September 1, 2017 by 11:59 PM Eastern Time.

Discussions should be initiated early. Please send completed and signed RoD forms - preferably with scanned or digital signatures included in this MS Word document - to Dick Majeski (rmajeski@pppl.gov) and Bob Kaita (kaita@pppl.gov) well ahead of the proposal deadline. If you are unable/unwilling to complete the electronic signature field(s), leave it/them blank and your electronic signature(s) will be gathered via e-mail after all review comments are provided.

<b><u>Title of Research Activities:</u></b>		Collaboration began (mm/yy) or New:
Collaborator Institution:	Discussion Initiated on Completed	
Collaboration Principal Researcher: Name: Email: Tel:	LTX-β Research C Name: Email: Tel:	<u>Contact:</u>
Signature: Date:	Signature: Date:	
Research Goals:		
Collaborator Research Tasks:		
LTX-β Research Support Tasks: 1) 2) Estimated Researcher Effort Required (Mar	Months);	
Estimated Researcher Enort Reguired (Mai	<u>1-111011113/.</u>	

LTX-β Engineering Support Tasks: 1) 2)	
Engineering Effort Required (Man-Months): Estimated Hardware Cost Required (\$k): Collaboration Researcher Questions and Issues: 1) 2)	
etc.	
<b>Responses by LTX-β Research Contact and Task</b>	Manager:
1) 2)	
etc.	
Additional Collaboration Researcher's Comments 1) 2)	<u>s (if any):</u>
etc.	
Additional LTX-β Research Contact and Task Ma 1) 2)	anager Comments (if any):
etc.	
<b><u>Review and Comment:</u></b>	Review and Comment:
<u>Head of Tokamak Science</u> Dr. Dick Majeski	<u>Head of Tokamak Science</u> Dr. Bob Kaita
Electronic signature	Electronic signature
Date: Month XX, YYYY	Date: Month XX, YYYY

#### **RESUBMISSION OF APPLICATIONS**

Applications submitted under this FOA may be withdrawn from consideration by using the Office of Science's PAMS website at <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov</u>. Applications may be withdrawn at any time between when the applicant submits the application and when DOE makes the application available to merit reviewers. Such withdrawals take effect immediately.

However, after an application is withdrawn it may be resubmitted, if this FOA is still open for the submission of applications. Such resubmissions will only count as one submission if this FOA restricts the number of applications from an applicant.

Note that there may be a delay between the application's submission in Grants.gov and when it is available to be withdrawn in PAMS. The Office of Science will usually consider the last submission, according to its Grants.gov timestamp, to be the intended version. Please consult with your program manager to resolve any confusion about which version of an application should be considered.

#### IMPROPER CONTENTS OF APPLICATIONS

Applications submitted under this FOA will be stored in controlled-access systems, but they may be made publicly available if an award is made. As such, it is critical that applicants follow these guidelines:

- Do not include information subject to any legal restriction on its open distribution, whether classified, export control, or unclassified controlled nuclear information.
- Do not include personally identifiable information, including social security numbers, birthdates, citizenship, marital status, or home addresses. Pay particular attention to the content of biographical sketches and curriculum vitae.
- Do not include letters of support from Federal officials.
- Do not include letters of support on Federal letterhead. Letters that are not letters of support (such as letters confirming access to sites, facilities, equipment, or data; or letters from cognizant contracting officers) may be on Federal letterhead.
- Clearly mark all proprietary or trade-secret information.

The Office of Science customarily provides awardees an opportunity to review applications before releasing them under the Freedom of Information Act.

#### CHANGE OF AWARDEE INSTITUTION

If an awardee chooses to relinquish an award made under this FOA to request an award for a new institution, the new institution must submit an application under the then-available Office of Science "annual" or "open" FOA.

#### 1. SF-424 (R&R)

Complete this form first to populate data in other forms. Complete all the required fields in accordance with the pop-up instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances referenced in Field 17 is available on the DOE Financial Assistance Forms Page at

http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financialassistance/financial-assistance-forms under Certifications and Assurances.

DUNS AND EIN NUMBERS (FIELDS 5 AND 6)

The DUNS and EIN number fields on the SF-424 (R&R) form are used in PAMS to confirm the identity of the individual or organization submitting an application.

- Enter each number as a nine-digit number.
- Do not use hyphens or dashes.
- The Office of Science does not use the twelve-digit EIN format required by some other agencies.
- The Office of Science does not use the DUNS+4 format.

TYPE OF APPLICATION (FIELD 8)

A **new** application is one in which DOE support for the proposed research is being requested for the first time. A **renewal** application requests additional funding for a period of time following a current award. If the application requests a significant change in the scope of work, please consult with the Program contact identified in this FOA to determine if the application should be considered new or a renewal.

The Office of Science does not make use of the Resubmission, Continuation, or Revision options.

Applications for supplemental support of an existing award should be marked as "New."

Please answer "yes" to the question "Is this application being submitted to other agencies?" if substantially similar, identical, or closely related research objectives are being submitted to another Federal agency. Indicate the agency or agencies to which the similar objectives have been submitted.

#### PUBLIC POLICY REQUIREMENTS

The applicant assures DOE of its compliance with applicable public policy requirements, including the following:

Animal Welfare Act	7 USC 2131 et seq.,
Buy American Act	41 USC 10 et seq.
Cargo Preference Act	46 USC 55305, 46 CFR 381.7
Civil Rights Protections	10 CFR 1040
Debarment and Suspension	2 CFR 180, 2 CFR 901
Drug-Free Workplace Act	41 USC 701, 10 CFR 607
Environmental Protections	42 USC 7401, 33 USC 1251, 42 USC 4321
False Claims Act	31 USC 3729, 18 USC 287, 18 USC 1001, 10 CFR
	1013
Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act	P.L. 109-282, 2 CFR 170
Fly America Act	49 USC 40118

Hatch Act	5 USC 1501 et seq.
Human Research Subjects Protections	10 CFR 745
Lobbying Disclosure Act	2 USC 1601 et seq.
Lobbying Prohibitions	31 USC 1352, 10 CFR 601
Metric System use	EO 12770
Non-delinquency on Federal Debt	28 USC 3201
Prohibition on benefitting Members of Congress	41 USC 6306
Seat Belt Use	EO 13043
Terrorist Financing	EO 13224, 66 FR 49079
Text Messaging While Driving	EO 13513, 74 FR 51225
Trafficking in Persons	22 USC 7104, 2 CFR 175

#### 2. Research and Related Other Project Information

#### Note concerning question 4.a. and 4.b.

If any environmental impact, positive or negative, is anticipated, indicate "yes" in response to question 4.a., "potential impact – positive or negative - on the environment." Disclosure of the impact should be provided in response to question 4.b. First indicate whether the impact is positive or negative and then identify the area of concern (e.g., air, water, exposure to radiation, etc.). Should the applicant have any uncertainty, they should check "yes".

DOE understands the phrase in field 4.a., "potential impact ... *negative*" to apply if the work described in the application could potentially have any of the impacts listed in (1) through (5) of 10 CFR 1021, Appendix B, Conditions that Are Integral Elements of the Classes of Action in Appendix B. (http://www.ecfr.gov)

Additionally, for actions which could have any other adverse impacts to the environment or have any possibility for adverse impacts to human health (e.g., use of human subjects, Biosafety Level 3-4 laboratory construction/operation, manufacture or use of certain nanoscale materials which are known to impact human health, or any activities involving transuranic or high level radioactive waste, or use of or exposure to any radioactive materials beyond de minimis levels), applicants should indicate a "negative" impact on the environment.

Lastly, 1) if there would be extraordinary circumstances (i.e., scientific or public controversy) related to the significance of environmental effects (10 CFR 1021.410 (b)(2)), 2) if the work is connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (10 CFR 1021.410 (b)(3), or 3) if the work is related to other nearby actions with the potential for cumulatively significant impacts (10 CFR 1021.410 (b)(3)), applicants should indicate a "negative" impact on the environment.

Complete questions 1 through 6 and attach files. The files must comply with the following instructions:

#### PROJECT SUMMARY/ABSTRACT (FIELD 7 ON THE FORM)

The project summary/abstract is a summary of the proposed activity suitable for distribution to the public and sufficient to permit potential reviewers to identify conflicts of interest. It must be a self-contained document. Provide the name of the applicant, the project title, the project director/principal investigator(s) (PD/PI) and the PD/PI's institutional affiliation, any coinvestigators and their institutional affiliations, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, and the potential impact of the project (i.e., benefits, outcomes. A sample is provided below:

#### A Really Great Idea

A. Smith, Lead Institution (Principal Investigator)A. Brown, Institution 2 (Co-Investigator)A. Jones, Institution 3 (Co-Investigator)

Text of abstract

The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5" by 11" paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left and right) with font not smaller than 11 point. To attach a Project Summary/Abstract, click "Add Attachment."

- Do not include any proprietary or sensitive business information.
- DOE may use the abstract may to prepare public reports about supported research.

#### DOE COVER PAGE (PART OF PROJECT NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO FIELD 8 ON THE FORM)

The application narrative should begin with a cover page that will not count toward the project narrative page limitation. The cover page must include the following items:

- The project title
- Applicant/Institution:
- Street Address/City/State/Zip:
- Postal Address:
- Lead PI name, telephone number, email:
- Administrative Point of Contact name, telephone number, email:
- Funding Opportunity FOA Number: DE-FOA-0001784
- DOE/Office of Science Program Office: Fusion Energy Sciences
- DOE/Office of Science Program Office Technical Contact: Dr. Josh King

#### COVER PAGE SUPPLEMENT FOR COLLABORATIONS

(PART OF PROJECT NARRATIVE ATTACHED TO FIELD 8 ON THE FORM)

Collaborative applications submitted from different institutions must clearly indicate they are part of a collaborative project/group. Every partner institution must submit an application

through its own sponsored research office. Each collaborative group can have only one lead institution. Each application within the collaborative group, including the narrative and all required appendices and attachments, must be identical with the following exceptions:

- Each application must contain a correct SF-424 (R&R) cover page for the submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.
- Each application must contain a unique budget justification corresponding to the expenditures for that application's submitting institution only.

Each application belonging to a collaborative group should have the same title in Block 11 of the SF 424 (R&R) form.

The Office of Science will use the multiple applications associated with a collaborative group to create one consolidated document for merit review that consists of the common, identical application materials combined with a set of detailed budgets from the partner institutions. It is very important that every application in the collaborative group be identical (including the title) with the exception of the budget and budget justification pages.

If the project is a collaboration, provide the following information on a separate page as a supplement to the cover page.

- List all collaborating institutions by name with each institution's principal investigator on the same line.
- Indicate the lead PI who will be the point of contact and coordinator for the combined research activity.
- Provide a statement explaining the leadership structure of the collaboration.
- Include a description of each collaborating institution's facilities, equipment, and resources that will be made available to the collaborative group.
- If applicable, explain how students and junior researchers will be trained and mentored by the collaborators.
- Include a table modeled on the following chart providing summary budget information from all collaborating institutions. Provide the total costs of the budget request in each year for each institution and totals for all rows and columns.

Collaborative Application Information						
	Names	Institution	Year 1 Budget	Year 2 Budget	Year 3 Budget	Total Budget
Lead PI						
Co-PI						
Co-PI						
Co-PI						

Example budget table (\$ in thousands)

\* Note that collaborating applications must be submitted separately.

#### PROJECT NARRATIVE (FIELD 8 ON THE FORM)

The project narrative **must not exceed 25 pages** of technical information, including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations, when printed using standard 8.5" by 11" paper with 1 inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right). The font must not be smaller than 11 point. Merit reviewers will only consider the number of pages specified in the first sentence of this paragraph. This page limit does not apply to the Cover Page, Budget Page(s), Budget Justification, biographical material, publications and references, and appendices, each of which may have its own page limit.

Do not include any Internet addresses (URLs) that provide supplementary or additional information that constitutes a part of the application. Merit reviewers are not required to access Internet sites; however, Internet publications in a list of references will be treated identically to print publications. See Part VIII.D for instructions on how to mark proprietary application information. To attach a Project Narrative, click "Add Attachment."

**Background/Introduction**: Explanation of the importance and relevance of the proposed work as well as a review of the relevant literature.

**Proposed Research and Methods**: Identify the hypotheses to be tested (if any) and details of the methods to be used including the integration of experiments with theoretical and computational research efforts.

Timetable of Activities: Timeline for all major activities including milestones and deliverables.

**Project Objectives:** This section should provide a clear, concise statement of the specific objectives/aims of the proposed project.

The Project Narrative comprises the research plan for the project. It should contain enough background material in the Introduction, including review of the relevant literature, to demonstrate sufficient knowledge of the state of the science. The major part of the narrative should be devoted to a description and justification of the proposed project, including details of the method to be used. It should also include a timeline for the major activities of the proposed project, and should indicate which project personnel will be responsible for which activities. There should be no ambiguity about which personnel will perform particular parts of the project, and the time at which these activities will take place.

**For Collaborative Proposals Only**: Each collaborating institution must submit an identical common narrative. Collaborative proposals will necessarily be longer than single-institution proposals. The common narrative may exceed the page limit described for the research narrative by 50%; i.e., if the page limit is 12 pages, a collaboration is subject to a limit of 18 pages. The common narrative must identify which tasks and activities will be performed by which of the collaborating institutions in every budget period of the proposed project. The budget and the budget justification—which are unique to each collaborating institution—may refer to parts of the common narrative to further identify each collaborating institution's activities in the joint project. There should be no ambiguity about each institution's role and participation in the collaborative group.

The Office of Science will use the multiple applications associated with a collaborative group to create one consolidated document for merit review that consists of the common, identical application materials combined with a set of detailed budgets from the partner institutions. It is very important that every application in the collaborative group be identical (including the title) with the exception of the budget and budget justification pages.

#### APPENDIX 1: BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Provide a biographical sketch for the project director/principal investigator (PD/PI) and each senior/key person listed in Section A on the R&R Budget form.

- Provide the biographical sketch information as an appendix to your project narrative.
- Do not attach a separate file.
- The biographical sketch appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.
- The biographical information (curriculum vitae) for each person must not exceed 2 pages when printed on 8.5" by 11" paper with 1 inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 11 point and must include:

**Education and Training**: Undergraduate, graduate and postdoctoral training, provide institution, major/area, degree and year.

**Research and Professional Experience**: Beginning with the current position list, in chronological order, professional/academic positions with a brief description.

**Publications**: Provide a list of up to 10 publications most closely related to the proposed project. For each publication, identify the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article title, book or journal title, volume number, page numbers, year of publication, and website address if available electronically. Patents, copyrights and software systems developed may be provided in addition to or substituted for publications.

**Synergistic Activities**: List no more than 5 professional and scholarly activities related to the effort proposed.

**Identification of Potential Conflicts of Interest or Bias in Selection of Reviewers**: Provide the following information in this section:

• **Collaborators and Co-editors**: List in alphabetical order all persons, including their current organizational affiliation, who are, or who have been, collaborators or co-authors with you on a research project, book or book article, report, abstract, or paper during the 48 months preceding the submission of this application. For publications or collaborations with more than 10 authors or participants, only list those individuals in the core group with whom the Principal Investigator interacted on a regular basis while the research was being done. Also,

list any individuals who are currently, or have been, co-editors with you on a special issue of a journal, compendium, or conference proceedings during the 24 months preceding the submission of this application. If there are no collaborators or co-editors to report, state "None."

• **Graduate and Postdoctoral Advisors and Advisees**: List the names and current organizational affiliations of your graduate advisor(s) and principal postdoctoral sponsor(s). Also, list the names and current organizational affiliations of your graduate students and postdoctoral associates.

**Personally Identifiable Information**: Do not include sensitive personally identifiable information such as a Social Security Number, date of birth, or city of birth. Do not include information that a merit reviewer should not make use of.

#### APPENDIX 2: CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT

Provide a list of all current and pending support (both Federal and non-Federal) for the Project Director/Principal Investigator(s) (PD/PI) and senior/key persons, including subawardees, for ongoing projects and pending applications. For each organization providing support, show the total award amount for the entire award period (including indirect costs) and the number of person-months per year to be devoted to the project by the senior/key person. Provide the Current and Pending Support as an appendix to your project narrative. Concurrent submission of an application to other organizations for simultaneous consideration will not prejudice its review.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 3: BIBLIOGRAPHY & REFERENCES CITED

Provide a bibliography of any references cited in the Project Narrative. Each reference must include the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article and journal title, book title, volume number, page numbers, and year of publication. For research areas where there are routinely more than ten coauthors of archival publications, you may use an abbreviated style such as the Physical Review Letters (PRL) convention for citations (listing only the first author). For example, your paper may be listed as, "A Really Important New Result," A. Aardvark et. al. (MONGO Collaboration), PRL 999. Include only bibliographic citations. Applicants should be especially careful to follow scholarly practices in providing citations for source materials relied upon when preparing any section of the application. Provide the Bibliography and References Cited information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 4: FACILITIES & OTHER RESOURCES

This information is used to assess the capability of the organizational resources, including subawardee resources, available to perform the effort proposed. Identify the facilities to be used

(Laboratory, Animal, Computer, Office, Clinical and Other). If appropriate, indicate their capacities, pertinent capabilities, relative proximity, and extent of availability to the project. Describe only those resources that are directly applicable to the proposed work. Describe other resources available to the project (e.g., machine shop, electronic shop) and the extent to which they would be available to the project. For proposed investigations requiring access to experimental user facilities maintained by institutions other than the applicant, please provide a document from the facility manager confirming that the researchers will have access to the facility. Please provide the Facility and Other Resource information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### **APPENDIX 5: EQUIPMENT**

List major items of equipment already available for this project and, if appropriate identify location and pertinent capabilities. Provide the Equipment information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 6: DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

Provide a Data Management Plan (DMP) that addresses the following requirements:

- 1. DMPs should describe whether and how data generated in the course of the proposed research will be shared and preserved. If the plan is not to share and/or preserve certain data, then the plan must explain the basis of the decision (for example, cost/benefit considerations, other parameters of feasibility, scientific appropriateness, or limitations discussed in #4). At a minimum, DMPs must describe how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of results, or how results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved.
- 2. DMPs should provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed research open, machine-readable, and digitally accessible to the public at the time of publication. This includes data that are displayed in charts, figures, images, etc. In addition, the underlying digital research data used to generate the displayed data should be made as accessible as possible to the public in accordance with the principles stated in the Office of Science Statement on Digital Data Management (<u>http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management/</u>). This requirement could be met by including the data as supplementary information to the published article, or through other means. The published article should indicate how these data can be accessed.
- 3. DMPs should consult and reference available information about data management resources to be used in the course of the proposed research. In particular, DMPs that explicitly or implicitly commit data management resources at a facility beyond what is conventionally made available to approved users should be accompanied by written approval from that facility. In determining the resources available for data management at Office of Science

User Facilities, researchers should consult the published description of data management resources and practices at that facility and reference it in the DMP. Information about other Office of Science facilities can be found in the additional guidance from the sponsoring program.

4. DMPs must protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness; and otherwise be consistent with all applicable laws, and regulations. There is no requirement to share proprietary data.

DMPs will be reviewed as part of the overall Office of Science research proposal merit review process. Applicants are encouraged to consult the Office of Science website for further information and suggestions for how to structure a DMP: <u>http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management/</u>

- This appendix should not exceed 1 page including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations, when printed using standard 8.5" by 11" paper with 1 inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right)
- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

#### APPENDIX 7: OTHER ATTACHMENT

If you need to elaborate on your responses to questions 1-6 on the "Other Project Information" document, please provide the Other Attachment information as an appendix to your project narrative. Information not easily accessible to a reviewer may be included in this appendix, but do not use this appendix to circumvent the page limitations of the application. Reviewers are not required to consider information in this appendix.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.
- Do not attach any of the requested appendices described above as files for fields 9, 10, 11, and 12.
- Follow the above instructions to include the information as appendices to the project narrative file.
- These appendices will not count toward the project narrative's page limitation.
- Do not attach any files to fields 9, 10, 11, or 12.

#### 3. Research And Related Budget

Complete the Research and Related Budget form in accordance with the instructions on the form (Activate Help Mode to see instructions) and the following instructions. You must complete a separate budget for each year of support requested. The form will generate a cumulative budget

for the total project period. You must complete all the mandatory information on the form before the NEXT PERIOD button is activated. You may request funds under any of the categories listed as long as the item and amount are necessary to perform the proposed work, meet all the criteria for allowability under the applicable Federal cost principles, and are not prohibited by the funding restrictions in this FOA (See PART IV, G).

The following advice will improve the accuracy of your budget request:

- Funds requested for personnel (senior, key, and other) must be justified as the product of their effort on the project and their institutional base salary.
- Funds requested for fringe benefits must be calculated as the product of the requested salary and, if present, the negotiated fringe benefit rate contained in an institution's negotiated indirect cost rate agreement.
- Funds requested for indirect costs must be calculated using the correct indirect cost base and the negotiated indirect cost rate.
- You are encouraged to include the rate agreement used in preparing a budget as a part of the budget justification.

Section A	For each Senior/Key Person, enter the requested information. List
Senior/Key Person	personnel, base salary, the number of months that person will be
	allocated to the project, requested salary, fringe benefits, and the total
	funds requested for each person. The requested salary must be the
	product of the base salary and the effort.
	Include a written narrative in the budget justification that justifies the
	need for requested personnel.
Section B	List personnel, the number of months that person will be allocated to
Other Personnel	the project, requested salary fringe benefits, and the total funds
	requested for each person.
	Include a written narrative in the budget justification that fully justifies
	the need for requested personnel.
Section C	For the purpose of this budget, equipment is designated as an item of
Equipment	property that has an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more and an expected
	service life of more than one year. (Note that this designation applies
	for proposal budgeting only and differs from the DOE definition of
	capital equipment.) List <b>each</b> item of equipment separately and justify
	each in the budget justification section. Do not aggregate items of
	equipment. Allowable items ordinarily will be limited to research
	equipment and apparatus not already available for the conduct of the
	work. General-purpose office equipment is not eligible for support
	unless primarily or exclusively used in the actual conduct of scientific
	research.
Section D	For purposes of this section only, travel to Canada or to Mexico is
Travel	considered domestic travel. In the budget justification, list each trip's
	destination, dates, estimated costs including transportation and

**Budget Fields** 

Section E	<ul> <li>subsistence, number of staff traveling, the purpose of the travel, and how it relates to the project. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis). To qualify for support, attendance at meetings or conferences must enhance the investigator's capability to perform the research, plan extensions of it, or disseminate its results. Domestic travel is to be justified separately from foreign travel.</li> <li>If applicable, submit training support costs. Educational projects that</li> </ul>
Participant/Trainee Support Costs	intend to support trainees (precollege, college, graduate and post graduate) must list each trainee cost that includes stipend levels and amounts, cost of tuition for each trainee, cost of any travel (provide the same information as needed under the regular travel category), and costs for any related training expenses. Participant costs are those costs associated with conferences, workshops, symposia or institutes and breakout items should indicate the number of participants, cost for each participant, purpose of the conference, dates and places of meetings and any related administrative expenses. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).
Section F Other Direct Costs	<ul> <li>Materials and Supplies: Enter total funds requested for materials and supplies in the appropriate fields. In the budget justification, indicate general categories such as glassware, and chemicals, including an amount for each category (items not identified under "Equipment"). Categories less than \$1,000 are not required to be itemized. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>Publication Costs: Enter the total publication funds requested. The proposal budget may request funds for the costs of documenting, preparing, publishing or otherwise making available to others the findings and products of the work conducted under the award. In the budget justification, include supporting information. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>Consultant Services: Enter total funds requested for all consultant services. In the budget justification, identify each consultant, the services he/she will perform, total number of days, travel costs, and total estimated costs. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>ADP/Computer Services: Enter total funds requested for ADP/Computer Services: The cost of computer services, including computer-based retrieval of scientific, technical and education information may be requested. In the budget</li> </ul>

<b></b>	
	<ul> <li>proposing organization if applicable. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>Subawards/Consortium/Contractual Costs: Enter total costs for all subawards/consortium organizations and other contractual costs proposed for the project. In the budget justification, justify the details.</li> <li>Equipment or Facility Rental/User Fees: Enter total funds requested for Equipment or Facility Rental/User Fees. In the budget justification, identify each rental/user fee and justify. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).</li> <li>Alterations and Renovations: Enter total funds requested for Alterations and Renovations. In the budget justification, itemize by category and justify the costs of alterations and renovations, including repairs, painting, removal or installation of partitions, shielding, or air conditioning. Where applicable, provide the square footage and costs.</li> <li>Other: Add text to describe any other Direct Costs not requested above. Enter costs associated with "Other" item(s).</li> </ul>
Section G	Use the budget justification to further itemize and justify. This represents Total Direct Costs (Sections A through F)
Direct Costs	
Section H	Enter the Indirect Cost information for each field. Only four general
Other Indirect Costs	categories of indirect costs are allowed/requested on this form, so
	please consolidate if needed. Include the cognizant Federal agency and
	contact information if using a negotiated rate agreement.
Section I	This is the total of Sections G and H
Total Direct and Indirect Costs	
muneet Costs	

#### BUDGET JUSTIFICATION (FIELD K ON THE FORM)

Provide the required supporting information for the following costs (See R&R Budget instructions): equipment; domestic and foreign travel; participant/trainees; materials and supplies; publication; consultant services; ADP/computer services; subaward/consortium/contractual; equipment or facility rental/user fees; alterations and renovations; and indirect cost type. Provide any other information you wish to submit to justify your budget request. **Attach a single budget justification file for the entire project period in field K.** The file automatically carries over to each budget year.

You may wish to include the indirect cost rate agreement as a part of the budget justification.

#### 4. R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form

#### Budgets for Subawardees, other than DOE FFRDC Contractors: You must provide a

separate R&R budget for each subawardee. Download the R&R Budget Attachment from the R&R SUBAWARD BUDGET ATTACHMENT(S) FORM and e-mail it to each subawardee that is required to submit a separate budget. After the subawardee has e-mailed its completed budget back to you, attach it to one of the blocks provided on the form. Use up to 10 letters of the subawardee's name (plus.pdf) as the file name (e.g., ucla.pdf or energyres.pdf). Filenames should not exceed 50 characters.

If the project involves more subawardees than there are places in the SUBAWARD BUDGET ATTACHMENT(S) FORM, the additional subaward budgets may be saved as PDF files and appended to the Budget Justification attached to Field K.

Ensure that any files received from subawardees are the PDF files extracted from the SUBAWARD BUDGET ATTACHMENT(S) FORM. Errors will be created if a subawardee sends a prime applicant a budget form that was not extracted from the application package.

# **5. Project/Performance Site Location(s)**

Indicate the primary site where the work will be performed. If a portion of the project will be performed at any other site(s), identify the site location(s) in the blocks provided.

Note that the Project/Performance Site Congressional District is entered in the format of the 2 digit state code followed by a dash and a 3 digit Congressional district code, for example VA-001. Hover over this field for additional instructions.

Use the Next Site button to expand the form to add additional Project/Performance Site Locations.

#### 6. Summary of Required Forms/Files

Your application must include the following items:

Name of Document	Format	Attach to
SF 424 (R&R)	Form	N/A
<b>RESEARCH AND RELATED Other</b>	Form	N/A
Project Information	-	
Project Summary/Abstract	PDF	Field 7
Project Narrative, including required appendices	PDF	Field 8
<b>RESEARCH &amp; RELATED BUDGET</b>	Form	N/A
Budget Justification	PDF	Field K
PROJECT/PERFORMANCE SITE LOCATION(S)	Form	N/A
SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, if applicable	Form	N/A

### D. SUBMISSIONS FROM SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS

If selected for award, DOE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, including, but not limited to:

- Indirect cost information
- Other budget information
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5)
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable
- Commitment Letter from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Sharing, if applicable
- Environmental Information

#### **E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES**

#### **1. Letter of Intent Due Date**

Not applicable

#### 2. Pre-application Due Date

Before August 04, 2017 at 11:59 PM Eastern Time.

You are encouraged to submit your pre-application well before the deadline.

#### **3.** Application Due Date

Before September 01, 2017 at 11:59 PM Eastern Time.

You are encouraged to transmit your application well before the deadline.

#### 4. Late Submissions

Applications received after the deadline will not be reviewed or considered for award.

You are responsible for beginning the submission process in sufficient time to accommodate reasonably foreseeable incidents, contingencies, and disruptions.

#### F. INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW

This program is not subject to Executive Order 12372 Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

#### G. FUNDING RESTRICTIONS

Funding for all awards and future budget periods are contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year

budget authority.

**Cost Principles**: Costs must be allowable, allocable and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles referenced in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation).

**Pre-award Costs**: Recipients may charge to an award resulting from this FOA pre-award costs that were incurred within the ninety (90) calendar day period immediately preceding the effective date of the award, if the costs are allowable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles referenced in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation). Recipients must obtain the prior approval of the contracting officer for any pre-award costs that are for periods greater than this 90 day calendar period.

Pre-award costs are incurred at the applicant's risk. DOE is under no obligation to reimburse such costs if for any reason the applicant does not receive an award or if the award is made for a lesser amount than the applicant expected.

# H. OTHER SUBMISSION AND REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS

# 1. Systems to Register In

There are several one-time actions you must complete in order to submit an application in response to this FOA. Applicants not currently registered with SAM and grants.gov should allow **at least 44 days** to complete these requirements. You should start the process as soon as possible.

Applicants must obtain a DUNS number at http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform.

Applicants must register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at <u>http://www.sam.gov/</u>. If you had an active registration in the Central Contractor Registry (CCR), you should have an active registration in SAM. More information about SAM registration for applicants is found at <u>https://www.sam.gov/sam/transcript/Quick\_Guide\_for\_Grants\_Registrations.pdf</u>. SAM maintains a complete user guide at <u>https://www.sam.gov/sam/SAM\_Guide/SAM\_User\_Guide.htm</u>.

Applicants must provide a Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) to complete their registration in SAM.gov. An applicant's TIN is an Employer Identification Number (EIN) assigned by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). In limited circumstances, a Social Security Number (SSN) assigned by the Social Security Administration (SSA) may be used as a TIN. You may obtain an EIN from the IRS at <u>http://www.irs.gov/Businesses/Small-Businesses-%26-Self-</u> Employed/Apply-for-an-Employer-Identification-Number-(EIN)-Online.

DOE discourages the use of a SSN as a TIN. You are encouraged to obtain a TIN from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) using the website listed above.

Applicants must register with FedConnect at <u>www.fedconnect.net</u>. The full, binding version of assistance agreements will be posted to FedConnect.

Recipients must register with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act Subaward Reporting System at <u>https://www.fsrs.gov</u>. This registration must be completed before an award may be made: you are advised to register while preparing your application.

#### 2. Registering in Grants.gov

Applicants must register with grants.gov.

For organizations, please follow the procedures detailed below, making use of the checklist provided below:

<u>http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html</u> For individuals, please follow the procedures detailed below: <u>http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/individual-registration.html</u>

Organizations and individuals must have an E-Business (E-Biz) Point of Contact (POC). You may find the checklist at <u>http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration/step-4-aor-authorization.html</u> useful.

Grants.gov maintains a User Guide at

http://www.grants.gov/help/html/help/table\_of\_contents.htm and a list of Frequently Asked Questions at http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-faqs.html. Questions relating to the registration process, **system requirements, or how an application form works** must be directed to Grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or <u>support@grants.gov</u>.

FIRST-TIME REGISTRATION PROCESS IN GRANTS.GOV

You must complete the one-time registration process (all steps) before you can submit your first application through <u>www.grants.gov</u>. (See

http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/organization-registration.html). We recommend that you start this process at least six weeks before the application due date. It may take 44 days or more to complete the entire process. Use the Grants.gov Getting Started Checklist at <a href="http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/learn-grants/grants-101/getting-started-checklist.html">http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/learn-grants/grants-101/getting-started-checklist.html</a> to guide you through the process. IMPORTANT: During the SAM registration process, you will be asked to designate an E-Business Point of Contact (EBIZ POC). The EBIZ POC must obtain a special password called "Marketing Partner Identification Number" (MPIN). When you have completed the process, you should call the Grants.gov Helpdesk at 1-800-518-4726 to verify that you have completed the final step (i.e., Grants.gov registration).3. Application Receipt Notices

After an application is submitted, the Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) will receive a series of four e-mails. It is extremely important that the AOR watch for and save each of the emails. It may take up to two (2) business days from application submission to receipt of email Number 2. The titles of the four e-mails are:

Number 1 - Grants.gov Submission Receipt Number

Number 2 - Grants.gov Submission Validation Receipt for Application Number

Number 3 - Grants.gov Grantor Agency Retrieval Receipt for Application Number

Number 4 - Grants.gov Agency Tracking Number Assignment for Application Number

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** When you have completed the grants.gov registration process, you should call the Grants.gov Helpdesk at 1-800-518-4726 to verify that you have completed the final step (i.e., grants.gov registration).

#### 3. Where to Submit an Application

Applications must be submitted through grants.gov to be considered for award.

Applicants must download the application package, application forms and instructions, from grants.gov at <u>http://www.grants.gov/</u> (Additional instructions are provided in Section IV A of this FOA.)

Submit electronic applications through the "Apply for Grants" function at <u>www.grants.gov</u>. If you have problems completing the registration process or submitting your application, call grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or send an email to <u>support@grants.gov</u>.

Please ensure that you have read the applicable instructions, guides, help notices, frequently asked questions, and other forms of technical support on grants.gov.

#### 4. DOE Office of Science Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS)

After you submit your application through grants.gov, the application will automatically transfer into the Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) for processing by the DOE Office of Science. Many functions for grants and cooperative agreements can be done in PAMS, which is available at <a href="https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov">https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov</a>.

You will want to "register to" your application: a process of linking yourself to the application after it has been submitted through grants.gov and processed by DOE.

You must register in PAMS to submit a pre-application or a letter of intent.

You may use the Internet Explorer, Firefox, Google Chrome, or Safari browsers to access PAMS.

Notifications sent from the PAMS system will come from the PAMS email address <<u>PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov</u>>. Please make sure your email server/software allows delivery of emails from the PAMS email address to yours.

Registering to PAMS is a two-step process; once you create an individual account, you must associate yourself with ("register to") your institution. Detailed steps are listed below.

#### 1. CREATE PAMS ACCOUNT:

To register, click the "Create New PAMS Account" link on the website <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>.

- Click the "No, I have never had an account" link and then the "Create Account" button.
- You will be prompted to enter your name and email address, create a username and password, and select a security question and answer. Once you have done this, click the "Save and Continue" button.
- On the next page, enter the required information (at least one phone number and your mailing address) and any optional information you wish to provide (e.g., FAX number, website, mailstop code, additional email addresses or phone numbers, Division/Department). Click the "Create Account" button.
- Read the user agreement and click the "Accept" button to indicate that you understand your responsibilities and agree to comply with the rules of behavior for PAMS.
- PAMS will take you to the "Having Trouble Logging In?" page. (If you have been an Office of Science merit reviewer or if you have previously submitted an application, you may already be linked to an institution in PAMS. If this happens, you will be taken to the PAMS home page.)
- 2. REGISTER TO YOUR INSTITUTION:
- Click the link labeled "Option 2: I know my institution and I am here to register to the institution." (Note: If you previously created a PAMS account but did not register to an institution at that time, you must click the Institutions tab and click the "Register to Institution" link.)
- PAMS will take you to the "Register to Institution" page.
- Type a word or phrase from your institution name in the field labeled, "Institution Name like," choose the radio button next to the item that best describes your role in the system, and click the "Search" button. A "like" search in PAMS returns results that contain the word or phrase you enter; you do not need to enter the exact name of the institution, but you should enter a word or phrase contained within the institution name. (If your institution has a frequently used acronym, such as ANL for Argonne National Laboratory or UCLA for the Regents of the University of California, Los Angeles, you may find it easiest to search for the acronym under "Institution Name like." Many institutions with acronyms are listed in PAMS with their acronyms in parentheses after their names.)
- Find your institution in the list that is returned by the search and click the "Actions" link in the Options column next to the institution name to obtain a dropdown list. Select "Add me to this institution" from the dropdown. PAMS will take you to the "Institutions List" page.
- If you do not see your institution in the initial search results, you can search again by clicking the "Cancel" button, clicking the Option 2 link, and repeating the search.
- If, after searching, you think your institution is not currently in the database, click the "Cannot Find My Institution" button and enter the requested institution information into PAMS. Click the "Create Institution" button. PAMS will add the institution to the system, associate your profile with the new institution, and return you to the "Institutions List" page when you are finished.

For help with PAMS, click the "External User Guide" link on the PAMS website, <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, email: <u>sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov</u>. All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference **DE-FOA-0001784** 

#### 5. Viewing Submitted Applications

Each grants.gov application submitted to the DOE Office of Science (SC) automatically transfers into PAMS and is subsequently assigned to a program manager. At the time of program manager assignment, the three people listed on the SF-424 (R&R) cover page will receive an email with the subject line, "Receipt of Proposal 0000xxxxxx by the DOE Office of Science." These three people are the Principal Investigator (Block 14), Authorized Representative (Block 19), and Point of Contact (Block 5). In PAMS notation, applications are known as proposals, the Principal Investigator is known as the PI, the Authorized Representative is known as the Sponsored Research Officer/Business Officer/Administrative Officer (SRO/BO/AO), and the Point of Contact is known as the POC.

There will be a period of time between the application's receipt at grants.gov and its assignment to a DOE Office of Science program manager. Program managers are typically assigned two weeks after applications are due at grants.gov: please refrain from attempting to view the proposal in PAMS until you receive an email providing the assignment of a program manager.

Once the email is sent, the PI, SRO/BO/PO, and POC will each be able to view the submitted proposal in PAMS. Viewing the proposal is optional.

You may use the Internet Explorer, Firefox, Google Chrome, or Safari browsers to access PAMS.

Following are two sets of instructions for viewing the submitted proposal, one for individuals who already have PAMS accounts and one for those who do not.

If you already have a PAMS account, follow these instructions:

- 1. Log in to PAMS at <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>.
- 2. Click the "Proposals" tab and click "Access Previously Submitted Grants.gov Proposal."
- 3. Enter the following information:
  - Proposal ID: Enter the ten-digit PAMS proposal ID, including the leading zeros (e.g., 00002xxxx). Do not use the grants.gov proposal number. Use the PAMS number previously sent to you in the email with subject line, "Receipt of Proposal ...".
  - Email (as entered in grants.gov application): Enter your email address as it appears on the SF424(R&R) Cover Page.
  - Choose Role: Select the radio button in front of the role corresponding to the SF-424 (R&R) cover page. If your name appears in block 19 of the SF-424 (R&R) cover page as the authorizing representative, select "SRO/BO/AO (Sponsored Research Officer/Business Officer/Administrative Officer)." If your name appears in block 14 of

the SF424 R&R cover page as the PI, select "Principal Investigator (PI)." If your name appears in block 5 of the SF424 R&R as the point of contact, select "Other (POC)."

4. Click the "Save and Continue" button. You will be taken to your "My Proposals" page. The grants.gov proposal will now appear in your list of proposals. Click the "Actions/Views" link in the options column next to this proposal to obtain a dropdown list. Select "Proposal" from the dropdown to see the proposal. Note that the steps above will work only for proposals submitted to the DOE Office of Science since May 2012.

If you do not already have a PAMS account, follow these instructions:

- 1. To register, click the "Create New PAMS Account" link on the website <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>.
- 2. Click the "No, I have never had an account" link and then the "Create Account" button.
- 3. You will be prompted to enter your name and email address, create a username and password, and select a security question and answer. Once you have done this, click the "Save and Continue" button.
- 4. On the next page, enter the required information (at least one phone number and your mailing address) and any optional information you wish to provide (e.g., FAX number, website, mailstop code, additional email addresses or phone numbers, Division/Department). Click the "Create Account" button.
- 5. Read the user agreement and click the "Accept" button to indicate that you understand your responsibilities and agree to comply with the rules of behavior for PAMS.
- 6. You will be taken to the Register to Institution page. Select the link labeled, "Option 1: My institution has submitted a proposal in grants.gov. I am here to register as an SRO, PI, or POC (Sponsored Research Officer, Principal Investigator, or Point of Contact)."
- 7. Enter the following information:
  - Proposal ID: Enter the ten-digit PAMS proposal ID, including the leading zeros (e.g., 00002xxxxx). Do not use the grants.gov proposal number. Use the PAMS number previously sent to you in the email with subject line, "Receipt of Proposal ...".
  - Email (as entered in grants.gov proposal): Enter your email address as it appears on the SF424(R&R) Cover Page.
  - Choose Role: Select the radio button in front of the role corresponding to the SF-424 (R&R) cover page. If your name appears in block 19 of the SF-424 (R&R) cover page as the authorizing representative, select "SRO/BO/AO (Sponsored Research Officer/Business Officer/Administrative Officer)." If your name appears in block 14 of the SF424 R&R cover page as the PI, select "Principal Investigator (PI)." If your name appears in block 5 of the SF424 R&R as the point of contact, select "Other (POC)."
- 8. Click the "Save and Continue" button. You will be taken to your "My Proposals" page. The grants.gov proposal will now appear in your list of proposals. Click the "Actions/Views" link in the options column next to this proposal to obtain a dropdown list. Select "Proposal" from the dropdown to see the proposal.

If you were listed as the PI on a prior submission but you have not previously created an account, you may already be listed in PAMS. If this is the case, you will be taken to the PAMS home page after agreeing to the Rules of Behavior. If that happens, follow the instructions listed above under "If you already have a PAMS account..." to access your grants.gov proposal.

The steps above will work only for proposals submitted to the DOE Office of Science since May 2012.

For help with PAMS, click the "External User Guide" link on the PAMS website, <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9 AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, Email: <u>sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov</u>. All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference DE-FOA-0001784

# Section V - APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

# A. CRITERIA

#### 1. Initial Review Criteria

Prior to a comprehensive merit evaluation, DOE will perform an initial review in accordance with 10 CFR 605.10(b) to determine that (1) the applicant is eligible for the award; (2) the information required by the FOA has been submitted; (3) all mandatory requirements are satisfied; (4) the proposed project is responsive to the objectives of the funding opportunity announcement, and (5) the proposed project is not duplicative of programmatic work. Applications that fail to pass the initial review will not be forwarded for merit review and will be eliminated from further consideration.

#### 2. Merit Review Criteria

Applications will be subjected to scientific merit review (peer review) and will be evaluated against the following criteria, listed in descending order of importance as found in 10 CFR 605.10 (d), the Office of Science Financial Assistance Program Rule.

- Scientific and/or Technical Merit of the Project;
- Appropriateness of the Proposed Method or Approach;
- Competency of Applicant's Personnel and Adequacy of Proposed Resources; and
- Reasonableness and Appropriateness of the Proposed Budget.

The evaluation process will include program policy factors such as the relevance of the proposed research to the terms of the FOA and the agency's programmatic needs. Note that external peer reviewers are selected with regard to both their scientific expertise and the absence of conflict-of-interest issues. Both Federal and non-Federal reviewers may be used, and submission of an application constitutes agreement that this is acceptable to the investigator(s) and the submitting institution.

The questions below are provided to the merit reviewers to elaborate the criteria established by regulation:

SCIENTIFIC AND/OR TECHNICAL MERIT OF THE PROPOSED RESEARCH

- What is novel about the proposed research?
- Ignoring the likelihood of achieving the proposed research goals, how might the results of the proposed work impact fusion energy science research?
- Is the Data Management Plan adequate?

#### APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PROPOSED METHOD OR APPROACH

- Describe the logical sequence of the proposed approach and discuss the likelihood of achieving scientifically valid conclusions by these means.
- Does the applicant recognize potential problems and consider alternative strategies?

COMPETENCY OF APPLICANT'S PERSONNEL AND ADEQUACY OF PROPOSED RESOURCES

- How well qualified is the research team to carry out the proposed research?
- Are the research facilities adequate for performing the research?

REASONABLENESS AND APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PROPOSED BUDGET

• Are the proposed budget and staffing levels adequate to carry out the proposed research?

# **B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS**

#### 1. Merit Review

Applications that pass the initial review will be subjected to a formal merit review and will be evaluated based on the criteria codified at 10 CFR 605.10(d) in accordance with the guidance provided in the "Office of Science Merit Review System for Financial Assistance," which is available at: <u>http://science.energy.gov/grants/policy-and-guidance/merit-review-system/</u>.

#### 2. Selection

The Selection Officials will consider the following items, listed in no order of significance:

- Scientific and technical merit of the proposed activity as determined by merit review
- Availability of funds
- Relevance of the proposed activity to Office of Science priorities
- Ensuring an appropriate balance of activities within Office of Science programs
- Previous performance

#### 3. Review of Risk

Pursuant to 2 CFR 200.205, DOE will conduct an additional review of the risk posed by applications submitted under this FOA. Such review of risk will include:

- Technical merit of the application,
- Reports and findings from audits performed under 2 CFR 200 or OMB Circular A-133, and
- Systems maintained under 2 CFR 180, including the SAM "Exclusions" and "Do Not Pay" systems.

DOE may make use of other publicly available information and the history of an applicant's performance under DOE or other Federal agency awards.

Applicants with no prior performance of DOE awards may be asked to provide information about their financial stability and or their ability to comply with the management standards of 2

#### CFR 200.

# REPORTING OF MATTERS RELATED TO RECIPIENT INTEGRITY AND PERFORMANCE (DECEMBER 2015)

DOE, prior to making a Federal award with a total amount of Federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313); The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM; DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in §200.205 Federal awarding agency review of risk posed by applicants.

#### 4. Discussions and Award

The Government may enter into discussions with a selected applicant for any reason deemed necessary, including but not limited to the following: (1) the budget is not appropriate or reasonable for the requirement; (2) only a portion of the application is selected for award; (3) the Government needs additional information to determine that the recipient is capable of complying with the requirements in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation); and/or (4) special terms and conditions are required. Failure to resolve satisfactorily the issues identified by the Government will preclude award to the applicant.

#### C. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES

It is anticipated that the award selection will be completed by January 16, 2018. It is expected that awards will be made in Fiscal Year 2018.

DOE is interested in seeing projects supported under this FOA begin work by March 1, 2018.

# Section VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

## A. AWARD NOTICES

#### **1. Notice of Selection**

**Selected Applicants Notification**: DOE will notify applicants selected for award. This notice of selection is not an authorization to begin performance. (See Part IV.G with respect to the allowability of pre-award costs.)

**Non-selected Notification**: Organizations whose applications have not been selected will be advised as promptly as possible. This notice will explain why the application was not selected.

#### 2. Notice of Award

An Assistance Agreement issued by the contracting officer is the authorizing award document. It normally includes, either as an attachment or by reference, the following items: (1) Special Terms and Conditions; (2) Applicable program regulations, if any; (3) Application as approved by DOE; (4) 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation); (5) National Policy Assurances To Be Incorporated As Award Terms; (6) Budget Summary; and (7) Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, which identifies the reporting requirements.

For grants and cooperative agreements made to universities, non-profits and other entities subject to Title 2 CFR, awards made under this funding opportunity should include the government-wide Research Terms and Conditions located at <u>http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rtc/index.jsp</u>.

# **B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND NATIONAL POLICY REQUIREMENTS**

#### **1. Administrative Requirements**

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR 200 as modified by 2 CFR 910 (DOE Financial Assistance Regulation).

For grants and cooperative agreements made to universities, non-profits and other entities subject to Title 2 CFR, awards made under this funding opportunity should include the government-wide Research Terms and Conditions located at http://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/rtc/index.jsp

NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENTS REPRESENTATIONS (JUNE 2015)

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the Applicant represents that:

(1) It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contactors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a Federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

(2) It **does not and will not** use any Federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:

a. "These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."

b. The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312, Form 4414, or any other form issued by a Federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

c. Notwithstanding provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

#### **REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS**

Additional administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR 25 (See: <u>http://www.ecfr.gov</u>). Prime awardees must keep their data at the System for Award Management (SAM) current at <u>http://www.sam.gov</u>. SAM is the government-wide system that replaced the Central Contractor Registry (CCR). If you had an active registration in the CCR, you have an active registration in SAM. Subawardees at all tiers must obtain DUNS numbers and provide the DUNS to the prime awardee before the subaward can be issued.

#### SUBAWARD AND EXECUTIVE REPORTING

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR 170. (See: <u>http://www.ecfr.gov</u>). Prime awardees must register with the new FSRS database and report the required data on their first tier subawardees. Prime awardees must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in the System for Award Management (SAM).

PROHIBITION ON LOBBYING ACTIVITY

By accepting funds under this award, you agree that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 USC 1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

# 2. Terms and Conditions

The DOE Special Terms and Conditions for Use in Most Grants and Cooperative Agreements are located at <u>http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms</u> under Award Terms.

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to various types of recipients are located at: http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards

#### **3. National Policy Assurances**

The National Policy Assurances To Be Incorporated As Award Terms are located at <u>http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms</u> under Award Terms.

#### 4. Statement of Substantial Involvement

Not Applicable

#### 5. Additional Conditions

#### CONFERENCE SPENDING (FEBRUARY 2015)

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States Government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

# CORPORATE FELONY CONVICTION AND FEDERAL TAX LIABILITY REPRESENTATIONS (MARCH 2014)

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the Applicant represents that:

- It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months,
- It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

• A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both for-profit and non-profit organizations.

#### PUBLICATIONS

The recipient is expected to publish or otherwise make publicly available the results of the work conducted under any award resulting from this Funding Opportunity Announcement. Publications and other methods of public communication describing any work based on or developed under an award resulting from this Funding Opportunity Announcement must contain an acknowledgment of DOE Office of Science support. The format for such acknowledgments is provided at <a href="http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/acknowledgements/">http://science.energy.gov/funding-opportunities/acknowledgements/</a>. The author's copy of any peer-reviewed manuscript accepted for funding must be announced to DOE's Office of Scientific and Technical Information and made publicly available in accordance with the instructions contained in the Reporting Requirements Checklist incorporated in all Assistance Agreements.

# **C. REPORTING**

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, DOE F 4600.2, attached to the award agreement. The checklist is available at <a href="http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms">http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms</a> under Award Forms.

#### Section VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS

#### A. QUESTIONS

Questions relating to the grants.gov registration process, system requirements, how an application form works, or the submittal process must be directed to grants.gov at 1-800-518-4726 or <u>support@grants.gov</u>. DOE cannot answer these questions.

Please only contact the grants.gov help desk for questions related to grants.gov.

For help with PAMS, click the "External User Guide" link on the PAMS website, <u>https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/</u>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, Email: <u>sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov</u>. All submission and inquiries about this Funding Opportunity Announcement should reference **DE-FOA-0001784** Please contact the PAMS help desk for technological issues with the PAMS system.

Questions regarding the specific program areas and technical requirements may be directed to the technical contacts listed for each program within the FOA or below.

Please contact the program staff with all questions not directly related to the grants.gov or PAMS systems.

Grants.gov	800-518-4726 (toll-free)
Customer Support	support@grants.gov
PAMS	855-818-1846 (toll-free)
Customer Support	301-903-9610
	sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov
Program Manager	Fusion Energy Sciences
Scientific Contact	Dr. Josh King
	301-903-9707
	josh.king@science.doe.gov

# **B. AGENCY CONTACTS**

# Section VIII - OTHER INFORMATION

## A. MODIFICATIONS

Notices of any modifications to this FOA will be posted on grants.gov and the FedConnect portal. You can receive an email when a modification or an FOA message is posted by registering with FedConnect as an interested party for this FOA. It is recommended that you register as soon after release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any modifications or other FOAs. More information is available at <a href="http://www.fedconnect.net">http://www.fedconnect.net</a>.

# **B. GOVERNMENT RIGHT TO REJECT OR NEGOTIATE**

DOE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

# C. COMMITMENT OF PUBLIC FUNDS

(a) A DOE financial assistance award is valid only if it is in writing and is signed, either in writing or electronically, by a DOE Contracting Officer.

(b) Recipients are free to accept or reject the award. A request to draw down DOE funds constitutes the Recipient's acceptance of the terms and conditions of this Award.

# **D. PROPRIETARY APPLICATION INFORMATION**

Patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information, disclosure of which may harm the applicant, should be included in an application only when such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project. The use and disclosure of such data may be restricted, provided the applicant includes the following legend on the first page of the project narrative and specifies the pages of the application which are to be restricted:

"The data contained in pages \_\_\_\_\_\_ of this application have been submitted in confidence and contain trade secrets or proprietary information, and such data shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes, provided that if this applicant receives an award as a result of or in connection with the submission of this application, DOE shall have the right to use or disclose the data herein to the extent provided in the award. This restriction does not limit the government's right to use or disclose data obtained without restriction from any source, including the applicant."

To protect such data, each line or paragraph on the pages containing such data must be specifically identified and marked with a legend similar to the following:

"The following contains proprietary information that (name of applicant) requests not be released to persons outside the Government, except for purposes of review and evaluation."

## E. EVALUATION AND ADMINISTRATION BY NON-FEDERAL PERSONNEL

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non-Federal personnel as reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest agreement prior to reviewing an application. Non-Federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign a non-disclosure agreement.

# F. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPED UNDER THIS PROGRAM

**Patent Rights:** The government will have certain statutory rights in an invention that is conceived or first actually reduced to practice under a DOE award. 42 USC 5908 provides that title to such inventions vests in the United States, except where 35 USC 202 provides otherwise for nonprofit organizations or small business firms. However, the Secretary of Energy may waive all or any part of the rights of the United States subject to certain conditions. (See "Notice of Right to Request Patent Waiver" in paragraph G below.)

**Rights in Technical Data**: Normally, the government has unlimited rights in technical data created under a DOE agreement. Delivery or third party licensing of proprietary software or data developed solely at private expense will not normally be required except as specifically negotiated in a particular agreement to satisfy DOE's own needs or to insure the commercialization of technology developed under a DOE agreement.

# G. NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST PATENT WAIVER

Applicants may request a waiver of all or any part of the rights of the United States in inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of an agreement as a result of this FOA, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if such advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver of the rights of the United States in identified inventions, i.e., individual inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice in performance of the award. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784. For more information, see <a href="http://energy.gov/gc/services/technology-transfer-and-procurement/office-assistant-general-counsel-technology-transf-1">http://energy.gov/gc/services/technology-transf-1</a>

Domestic small businesses and domestic nonprofit organizations will receive the patent rights clause at 37 CFR 401.14, i.e., the implementation of the Bayh-Dole Act. This clause permits domestic small business and domestic nonprofit organizations to retain title to subject inventions. Therefore, small businesses and nonprofit organizations do not need to request a waiver.

#### H. NOTICE REGARDING ELIGIBLE/INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES

Eligible activities under this program include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those

which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

# I. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

Funds are not presently available for this award. The Government's obligation under this award is contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds from which payment for award purposes can be made. No legal liability on the part of the Government for any payment may arise until funds are made available to the contracting officer for this award and until the awardee receives notice of such availability, to be confirmed in writing by the contracting officer.

# J. ENVIRONMENTAL, SAFETY AND HEALTH (ES&H) PERFORMANCE OF WORK AT DOE FACILITIES

With respect to the performance of any portion of the work under this award which is performed at a DOE-owned or controlled site, the recipient agrees to comply with all state and Federal ES&H regulations, and with all other ES&H requirements of the operator of such site. The recipient shall apply this provision to all subawardees at any tier.

# K. FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL REQUIREMENTS

With respect to the performance of any portion of the work under this award, the recipient agrees to comply with all applicable local, state, and Federal ES&H regulations. The recipient shall apply this provision to all sub awardees at any tier.

# L. NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) COMPLIANCE

If question 4.a. on the "Research and Related Other Project Information" document indicates "potential impact on the environment", or if DOE's own review indicates it, DOE may ask the applicant to provide additional information on those impacts in order to prepare an environmental critique/synopsis per 10 CFR 1021.216. Note that this pre-award environmental critique/synopsis process would be separate from the preparation of a NEPA document such as an environmental impact statement (EIS) or an environmental assessment (EA). If DOE determines the latter documentation is necessary, this process would need to be completed, funded by and with the participation of the awardee, prior to them taking any action on the proposed project that could have adverse environmental effects or that could limit the choice of reasonable alternatives. The inability to satisfy the NEPA requirements after an award would result in cancellation of the award. Note that in most cases, even where potential impact on the environment exists, preparation of such NEPA documents is rarely necessary, but DOE has the expectation that the Applicant will disclose the potential, which would serve to initiate dialog with DOE if necessary. Should the applicant have any uncertainty, they should check "yes."

# Section IX - APPENDICES/REFERENCE MATERIAL

# **Glossary of Useful Grants and Cooperative Agreement terms**

acquisition cost	The cost of an asset, including the cost to put it in place. When used with equipment (capital expenditure), the term means the net invoice price of property or supplies
	including cost of modifications, attachments, accessories, or auxiliary apparatus
	necessary to make the property usable for the purpose for which it was acquired.
	Other charges, such as the cost of installation, transportation, taxes, duty, or
	protective in-transit insurance, are included or excluded from the unit acquisition cost
	in accordance with the recipient's regular accounting practices. It does not include
	costs for rental of property or alteration and rental of real property.
administrative	The general business management practices that are common to the administration of
requirements	all grants, such as financial accountability, reporting, equipment management, and
	retention of records.
allocation	The process of assigning costs to one or more cost objectives, in reasonable and
	realistic proportion to the benefit provided or other equitable relationship.
allocability	The principle which requires that an expense or service charged must directly benefit
	and be necessary for the performance of the project; when multiple projects are
allowable cost	benefited reasonable proportions must be able to be assigned. A part incurred by a regiminant that is: (1) reasonable for the performance of the
anowable cost	A cost incurred by a recipient that is: (1) reasonable for the performance of the award; (2) allocable; (3) in conformance with any limitations or exclusions set forth
	in the Federal cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost or in
	the award documents as to the type or amount of cost; (4) consistent with regulations,
	policies, and procedures of the recipient that are applied uniformly to both federally
	supported and other activities of the organization; (5) accorded consistent treatment
	as a direct or indirect cost; (6) determined in accordance with generally accepted
	accounting principles; and (7) not included as a cost in any other federally supported
	award (unless specifically authorized by statute).
application	A request for financial support of a project or activity submitted to DOE on specified
	forms and in accordance with DOE instructions. Also known as a proposal
Appropriation Act	The statute that provides the authority for Federal agencies to incur obligations to and
	make payments out of the U.S. treasury for specified purposes.
approved budget	The financial expenditure plan for the grant-supported project or activity, including
	revisions approved by DOE and permissible revisions made by the grantee. The
	approved budget consists of Federal (grant) funds and, if required by the terms and conditions of the award, non-Federal participation in the form of matching or cost
	sharing. The approved budget specified in the award documents may be shown in
	detailed budget categories or as total costs without a categorical breakout.
	Expenditures charged to an approved budget that consists of both Federal and non-
	Federal shares are deemed to be borne by the grantee in the same proportion as the
	percentage of Federal/non-Federal participation in the overall budget.
assurance	A certification by an applicant, normally included with the application or State plan,
	indicating that the entity is in compliance with, or that it will abide by, a particular
	requirement if awarded a Federal grant.
authorized	The individual, named by the applicant organization, who is authorized to act for the
organizational	applicant and to assume the obligations imposed by the Federal laws, regulations,
representative	requirements, and conditions that apply to grant applications or grant awards.
award	The provision of funds by DOE, based on an approved application and budget or progress report, to an organizational entity or an individual to carry out a project or
	activity.
award documents	The entirety of the documents describing the legal relationship between DOE and an
	awardee or recipient. The award documents include an Assistance Agreement and
	other documents which may be incorporated by reference or as attachments to the

	Assistance Agreement. The award documents are the official, legally binding
	document, signed (or the electronic equivalent of signature) by a contracting officer that:
	<ul> <li>notifies the recipient of the award of a grant;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>contains or references all the terms and conditions of the grant and Federal funding limits and obligations; and,</li> </ul>
	• provides the documentary basis for recording the obligation of Federal funds in the DOE accounting system.
Bayh-Dole Act	Law which encourages universities and researchers to develop their inventions into marketable products; formal citation is Section 6 of the Patent and Trademark Amendment of 1980, Pub. L 96-517
budget	An estimate of expenditures to be incurred in the performance of a proposed statement of work, or the financial plan or cost assessment for the grant proposal. The
budget period	budget represents costs associated with project implementation. The intervals of time (usually 12 months each) into which a project period is divided for budgetary and funding purposes.
business officer	The financial official of the grantee who has primary fiscal responsibility for the grant. Also known as authorized organizational representative.
carryover	Unobligated Federal funds remaining at the end of any budget period that, with the approval of the contracting officer or under an automatic authority, may be carried forward to another budget period to cover allowable costs of that budget period (whether as an offset or additional authorization). Obligated, but unliquidated, funds are not considered carryover.
change in scope	An activity whereby the objectives or specific aims identified in the approved grant application are significantly changed by the grantee after award. Contracting officer prior approval is required for a change in scope to be allowable under an award.
closeout	The process by which a Federal awarding agency determines that all applicable administrative actions and all required work under an award have been completed by the grantee and the Federal awarding agency.
competitive segment	The initial project period recommended for support or each extension of a project period resulting from a renewal award.
conference (domestic or	A symposium, seminar, workshop, or any other organized and formal meeting,
international)	whether conducted face-to-face or via the Internet, where individuals assemble (or meet virtually) to exchange information and views or explore or clarify a defined subject, problem, or area of knowledge, whether or not a published report results from such meeting.
consortium or	A formalized agreement whereby a research project is carried out by the grantee and
subaward agreement	one or more other organizations that are separate legal entities. Under the agreement, the grantee must perform a substantive role in the conduct of the planned research and not merely serve as a conduit of funds to another party or parties. These agreements typically involve a specific level of effort from the consortium
	organization's PD/PI and a categorical breakdown of costs, such as personnel, supplies, and other allowable expenses, including F&A costs. The relationship between the recipient and the collaborating organizations is considered a subaward relationship.
consultant	An individual who provides professional advice or services for a fee, but normally not as an employee of the engaging party. In unusual situations, an individual may be both a consultant and an employee of the same party, receiving compensation for some services as a consultant and for other work as a salaried employee. To prevent apparent or actual conflicts of interest, grantees and consultants must establish written guidelines indicating the conditions of payment of consulting fees. Consultants also
continuation application/award	include firms that provide professional advice or services. A financial assistance request (in the form of an application or progress report) or resulting award for a subsequent budget period within a previously approved project period for which a recipient does not have to compete with other applicants.

contract	An award instrument used to acquire from a non-federal party, by purchase, lease, or barter, property or services for the direct benefit or use of the Federal government. The same term may be used to describe a vendor relationship between a recipient and another party under a grant (to acquire routine goods and services); however, the recipient may use subaward to describe the contract under a grant relationship.
Contract (or Grants Management) Officer	A DOE official responsible for the business management aspects of grants and cooperative agreements, including review, negotiation, award, and administration, and for the interpretation of grants administration policies and provisions. COs and GMOs are delegated the authority to obligate DOE to the expenditure of funds and permit changes to approved projects on behalf of DOE.
Contract (or Grants Management) Specialist	A DOE staff member who works with a contract or grants management officer and is assigned the day-to-day management of a portfolio of grants and/or cooperative agreements. These activities include, but are not limited to, evaluating grant applications for administrative content and compliance with statutes, regulations, and guidelines; negotiating grants; providing consultation and technical assistance to grantees; and administering grants after award.
cooperative agreement	A type of financial assistance used when there will be substantial Federal scientific or programmatic involvement. Substantial involvement means that, after award, scientific or program staff will assist, guide, coordinate, or participate in project activities.
cost principles	The government-wide principles, issued by OMB (or, in the case of commercial organizations, the Federal Acquisition Regulation [48 CFR 21], or, in the case of hospitals, 45 CFR 74, Appendix E, "Principles For Determining Costs Applicable to Research and Development Under Grants and Contracts with Hospitals"), on allowability and unallowability of costs under federally sponsored agreements. As of December 26, 2014, the cost principles will be consolidated in 2 CFR 200.
cost sharing	The portion of the costs of a project or program not borne by the sponsor; these could be grantee contributions or third-party in-kind contributions; costs used to satisfy cost sharing requirements are subject to the same policies governing allowability as other costs of the project. Research grants are generally not subject to cost sharing requirements. Also known as matching.
deadline	The published date and/or time that a grant application is to be either postmarked/mailed or electronically submitted to the funding agency.
debarment and suspension	The actions taken by a debarring official in accordance with OMB guidance at 2 CFR 180, "Non-procurement Debarment and Suspension," to exclude a person or organization from participating in grants and other non-procurement awards government-wide. If debarred or suspended, the person or organization may not
	receive financial assistance (under a grant, cooperative agreement, or subaward, or contract under a grant) for a specified period of time. Debarments and suspensions carried out pursuant to 2 CFR 376 are distinct from post-award suspension action by an awarding agency.
direct costs	Costs that can be identified specifically with a particular sponsored project, an instructional activity, or any other institutional activity, or that can be directly assigned to such activities relatively easily with a high degree of accuracy.
disallowance	A charge to a grant that the Federal awarding agency determines to be unallowable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles or other terms and conditions contained in the award.
domestic organization	A public (including a State or other governmental agency) or private non-profit or for-profit organization that is located in the United States or its territories, is subject to U.S. laws, and assumes legal and financial accountability for awarded funds and for the performance of the grant-supported activities.
DUNS number	A nine-digit number established and assigned by Dun and Bradstreet to uniquely identify a business entity.
effort	The amount of time, usually expressed as a percentage of the total, which a faculty member or other employee spends on a sponsored project. No one is allowed to spend

	more than 100% total commitment on all academic activities, including grant- sponsored research, university-sponsored research, teaching, administration, advising and other contracted duties. Effort is indicated on the budget in units of person-
equipment	months. An article of tangible nonexpendable personal property that has a useful life of more than 1 year and an acquisition cost per unit that equals or exceeds \$5,000 or the capitalization threshold established by the organization, whichever is less.
expanded authorities	Authorization to grantees under certain research grant mechanisms which waives the requirement for prior agency approval for specified actions related to awards. Example: 90-day preaward spending authority, no cost extensions for up to one additional year, and automatic carryover of unobligated funds from one budget period to the next. The expanded authorities are now contained in the standard terms
expiration date	and conditions for most research grants. Generally, the date signifying the end of the current project period, after which the grantee is not authorized to obligate grant funds.
facilities and administrative costs	Costs that are incurred by a grantee for common or joint objectives and that, therefore, cannot be identified specifically with a particular project or program. These costs also are known as indirect costs.
Federal Financial Report	Submitted on Standard Form (SF) 425, to indicate the status of awarded funds for the period covered. Frequency of reporting is specified in the Reporting Checklist provided as part of the award documents. Replaces the SF-269 Financial Status Report (FSR)
financial assistance	Transfer by DOE of money or property to an eligible entity to support or stimulate a public purpose authorized by statute.
Financial Status Report foreign travel	See Federal Financial Report. Foreign travel includes travel outside of the United States and its territories and possessions (Guam, American Samoa, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and the Canal Zone) and Canada. A trip is considered foreign travel for all legs of the itinerary if the traveler does not return to his or her post prior to departure for a foreign destination.
	Costs for foreign travel may be restricted by the language of a Funding Opportunity Announcement.
funding opportunity announcement	A publicly available document by which a Federal Agency makes known its intentions to award discretionary grants or cooperative agreements, usually as a result of competition for funds. Funding opportunity announcements may be known as program announcements, requests for applications, notices of funding availability, solicitations, or other names depending on the Agency and type of program. Funding opportunity announcements can be found at <u>Grants.gov/FIND</u> . An FOA may also be known as a solicitation.
grant	A financial assistance mechanism providing money, property, or both to an eligible entity to carry out an approved project or activity. A grant is used whenever DOE anticipates no substantial programmatic involvement with the recipient during performance of the financially assisted activities.
grant-supported project or activity	Those activities specified or described in a grant application or in a subsequent submission that are approved by DOE for funding, regardless of whether Federal funding constitutes all or only a portion of the financial support necessary to carry them out.
grantee	The organization or individual awarded a grant or cooperative agreement by DOE that is responsible and accountable for the use of the funds provided and for the performance of the grant-supported project or activity. The grantee is the entire legal entity even if a particular component is designated in award documents. The grantee is legally responsible and accountable to DOE for the performance and financial aspects of the grant-supported project or activity. Also known as awardee or recipient.
Grants.gov	Grants.gov ( <u>http://www.grants.gov/</u> ) has been designated by the Office of Management and Budget as the single access point for all grant programs offered by

	opportunities.
	See facilities and administrative costs definition.
	The annual compensation paid by an organization for an employee's appointment,
	whether that individual's time is spent on research, teaching, patient care, or other
	activities. Base salary excludes any income that an individual may be permitted to
	earn outside of duties for the applicant/grantee organization. Base salary may not be
	increased as a result of replacing organizational salary funds with grant funds.
	The value of third-party in-kind contributions and the portion of the costs of a
8	federally assisted project or program not borne by the Federal government. Matching
	or cost sharing may be required by statute or program regulation. Costs used to
	satisfy matching or cost-sharing requirements are subject to the same policies
	governing allowability as other costs under the approved budget.
	The process that involves the consistent application of standards and procedures that
· •	produce fair, equitable, and objective examinations of applications based on an
	evaluation of scientific or technical merit or other relevant aspects of the application.
	The review is performed by experts (reviewers) in the field of endeavor for which
	support is requested. Merit review is intended to provide guidance and to the DOE
	individuals responsible for making award decisions.
	A process whereby the programmatic and business management performance aspects
	of a grant are assessed by reviewing information gathered from various required
	reports, audits, site visits, and other sources.
	An extension of time to a project period and/or budget period to complete the work of
	the grant under that period, without additional Federal funds or competition.
	When cost sharing or matching is required as a condition of an award, the portion of
	allowable project/program costs not borne by the Federal government.
	The amounts for which the recipient has made binding commitments for orders
	placed for property and services, contracts and subawards, and similar transactions
	during a funding period that will require payment during the same or a future period.
	Government-wide guidance issued to Heads of Federal agencies by the Director of
	OMB. OMB Circulars directly pertinent to grants include the following:
	• cost principles (OMB Circular A-21, OMB Circular A-87, and OMB Circular A-
	122);
	• uniform administrative requirements (OMB Circular A-102 and OMB Circular
	A-110);
	• audit requirements for non-profit organizations (OMB Circular A-133).
	Some (but not all) of these OMB Circulars have been reissued in Title 2 of the Code
	of Federal Regulations.
	DOE administrative regulations are located in Title 10 of the Code of Federal
	Regulations.
Other Significant	Individuals who have committed to contribute to the scientific development or
Contributors	execution of the project, but are not committing any specified measurable effort (i.e.,
	person months) to the project. These individuals are typically presented at "effort of
	zero person months" or "as needed." Individuals with measurable effort may not be
	listed as Other Significant Contributors (OSCs). Consultants should be included if
	they meet this definition.
participant	Program participants are the recipients of service or training provided at a workshop,
	conference, seminar, symposium or other short-term instructional or information-
	sharing activity funded by an external grant or award, or the training beneficiaries of
	sharing activity funded by an external grant or award, or the training beneficiaries of the project or program funded by an external grant or award. A participant is not
	sharing activity funded by an external grant or award, or the training beneficiaries of the project or program funded by an external grant or award. A participant is not involved in providing any deliverable to the grantee or a third party or would not be
	sharing activity funded by an external grant or award, or the training beneficiaries of the project or program funded by an external grant or award. A participant is not involved in providing any deliverable to the grantee or a third party or would not be terminated or replaced for failure to perform.
participant costs	sharing activity funded by an external grant or award, or the training beneficiaries of the project or program funded by an external grant or award. A participant is not involved in providing any deliverable to the grantee or a third party or would not be

	conference, seminar, symposium, or other short-term training or information-sharing
person months	activity. The metric for expressing the effort (amount of time) PD/PI(s), faculty and other senior/key personnel devote to a specific project. The effort is based on the type of appointment of the individual with the organization; e.g., calendar year, academic year, and/or summer term; and the organization's definition of such. For instance, some institutions define the academic year as a 9-month appointment while others define it as a 10-month appointment.
pre-application or pre- proposal	A brief outline or narrative of proposed work and sometimes budget, for informal review by a sponsor to determine whether a full application should be submitted. Three predominant reasons for requiring submission of a preliminary pre-application are:
	<ul> <li>Reduce the applicant's unnecessary effort in proposal preparation when the chance of success is very small. This is particularly true of exploratory initiatives where the community senses that a major new direction is being identified, or competitions that will result in a small number of actual awards.</li> <li>Increase the overall quality of the full submission.</li> <li>Distill the number of applications that will be submitted to the agency and the number of anticipated reviewers needed to review.</li> </ul>
pre-award costs	Any cost incurred prior to the beginning date of the project period or the initial budget period of a competitive segment (under a multi-year award), in anticipation of the award and at the applicant's own risk, for otherwise allowable costs.
prior approval	Written approval from the designated contracting officer required for specified post- award changes in the approved project or budget. Such approval must be obtained before undertaking the proposed activity or spending DOE funds
Program Director/ Principal Investigator	The individual(s) designated by the applicant organization to have the appropriate level of authority and responsibility to direct the project or program to be supported by the award. The applicant organization may designate multiple individuals as program directors/principal investigators (PD/PIs) who share the authority and responsibility for leading and directing the project, intellectually and logistically. When multiple PD/PIs are named, each is responsible and accountable to the applicant organization, or as appropriate, to a collaborating organization for the proper conduct of the project or program including the submission of all required reports. The presence of more than one PD/PI on an application or award diminishes neither the responsibility nor the accountability of any individual PD/PI.
program income	Program income is gross income earned by a research grant recipient from the activities, part or all of which are borne as a direct cost by the grant. Examples are fees for services performed under the grant, rental or usage fees charged for use of equipment purchased with grant funds, third party patient reimbursements for hospital or medical services paid from the grant, funds generated by the sale of commodities, such as cell lines or research animals developed from or paid for from the grant, and patent or copyright royalties.
Program Manager	The DOE official responsible for the programmatic, scientific, and/or technical aspects of a grant. The same role is filled by Program Directors, Program Officers, or Project Directors at other Federal agencies.
progress report	Periodic, frequently annual, report submitted by the grantee and used by DOE to assess progress and to determine whether to provide funding for the budget period subsequent to that covered by the report.
project/performance site	Location(s) of where the work described in the research plan will be conducted.
project period	The total time for which Federal support of a project has been programmatically approved as shown in the award documents; however, it does not constitute a commitment by the Federal government to fund the entire period. The total project period comprises the initial competitive segment, any subsequent competitive segments resulting from a renewal award(s), and extensions.

proposal re-budgeting	See application. Reallocation of funds available for spending between budget categories to allow best
	use of funds to accomplish the project goals.
recipient renewal application	The organizational entity or individual receiving a grant or cooperative agreement. An application requesting additional funding for a period subsequent to that provided by a current award. Renewal applications compete for funds with all other peer reviewed applications and must be developed as fully as though the applicant is applying for the first time.
research	A systematic, intensive study intended to increase knowledge or understanding of the subject studied, a systematic study specifically directed toward applying new knowledge to meet a recognized need, or a systematic application of knowledge to the production of useful materials, devices, and systems or methods, including design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet specific requirements. Also termed "research and development."
research misconduct	Fabrication, falsification, plagiarism, or other practices that seriously deviate from those that are commonly accepted within the scientific community in proposing, performing, or reporting research, or in reporting research results; does not include honest error or honest differences in interpretations or judgments of data.
SAM.gov	The System for Award Management (SAM) is the Government-wide system that consolidated the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), the Excluded Parties List System (EPLS), the Online Representations and Certifications Application (ORCA), and the Federal Agency Registration (FedReg).
scope of work	The aims, objectives, and purposes of a grant; as well as the methodology, approach, analyses or other activities; and the tools, technologies, and timeframes needed to meet the grant's objectives. This includes the research or training plan included with the original grant application, along with any approved modifications.
Senior/Key Personnel	The PD/PI and other individuals who contribute to the scientific development or execution of a project in a substantive, measurable way, whether or not they receive salaries or compensation under the grant. Typically these individuals have doctoral or other professional degrees, although individuals at the masters or baccalaureate level may be considered senior/key personnel if their involvement meets this definition. Consultants and those with a postdoctoral role also may be considered senior/key personnel if they meet this definition. "Zero percent" effort or "as needed" is not an acceptable level of involvement for Senior/Key Personnel.
significant rebudgeting	A threshold that is reached when expenditures in a single direct cost budget category deviate (increase or decrease) from the categorical commitment level established for the budget period by more than 25 percent of the total costs awarded. Significant rebudgeting is one indicator of change in scope.
small business concern	A business that is independently owned and operated and not dominant in its field of operation; has its principal place of business in the United States and is organized for profit; is at least 51 percent owned, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of its voting stock is owned by U.S. citizens or lawfully admitted permanent resident aliens; has, including its affiliates, not more than 500 employees; and meets other regulatory requirements established by the SBA at 13 CFR 121.
solicitation subaward	See Funding Opportunity Announcement A legal instrument by which a recipient provides funds (or property in lieu of funds) to an eligible subrecipient (or a lower-tier transaction) to perform a substantive portion of the grant-supported program or project. The term includes such financial assistance when provided by any legal agreement (even if the agreement is called a contract) but does not include any form of assistance which is excluded from the definition of a grant, including the recipient's procurement of property or services
subrecipient	needed to carry out the project or program. The term includes consortium agreements. A party that receives a subaward from a recipient or another subrecipient under a Federal financial assistance award and is accountable to the recipient or subrecipient for the use of the Federal funds provided by the subaward.

supplement terms and conditions of award	A request for an increase in support during a current budget period for expansion of the project's scope or to meet increased costs unforeseen at the time of the new or renewal application. A supplement may increase support for future years in addition to the current year. Supplements require applications and are subject to administrative and merit review. All legal requirements imposed on a grant by DOE, whether based on statute, regulation, policy, or other document referenced in the grant award, or specified by the grant award document itself. The award documents may include both standard and special conditions that are considered necessary to attain the grant's objectives, facilitate post-award administration of the grant, conserve grant funds, or otherwise protect the Federal government's interests.
unallowable costs	Specific categories of costs that cannot be charged, directly or indirectly, to federally sponsored agreements in accordance with federal regulations or the terms and conditions of the award.
unliquidated obligation	For reports prepared on a cash basis, the amount of obligations incurred by the recipient that has not been paid; or For reports prepared on an accrued expenditure basis, the amount of obligations incurred by the recipient for which an outlay has not been recorded.
unobligated balance	The portion of the funds authorized by the Federal agency for expenditure by the recipient that has not been obligated by the recipient.
Validate	In the context of the data management plan requirements, <i>validate</i> means to support, corroborate, verify, or otherwise determine the legitimacy of the research findings. Validation of research findings could be accomplished by reproducing the original experiment or analyses, comparing and contrasting the results against those of a news experiment or analyses, or by some other means.