Mimetic Finite Difference Methods for Partial Differential Equations

Mikhail Shashkov

T-7, Los Alamos National Laboratory, shashkov@lanl.gov webpage: cnls.lanl.gov/~ shashkov

This work was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy at Los Alamos National Laboratory, under contract DE-AC52-06NA26396.

The author acknowledge the partial support of the DOE OASCR and ASC Program at LANL.

Thanks to: D. Bailey, M. Berndt, P. Bochev, F. Brezzi, D. Burton, J. Campbell, E. Caramana, N.

Carlson, J. Fung, V. Ganzha, M. Hall, M. Hyman, Yu. Kuznetsov, K. Lipnikov, R. Liska, R.

Loubere, L. Margolin, J. Morel, D. Moulton, B. Rider, V. Simoncini, P. Smolarkiewicz, S.

Steinberg, B. Wendroff, P. Whalen, M. Wheeler, I. Yotov, C. Zenger

"Math advances are essential for the exponential performance increases that will drive scientific discovery through computations" — David Brown (Presentation for ASCR Advisory Committee, Washington D.C. February 27, 2007)









1

Outline

- Mimetic Finite Difference Methods
- History of the Project Highlights
 - Discrete Calculus
 - Diffusion Equation, Maxwell's Equations
 - Lagrangian, Free-Lagrangian and Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Hydrodynamics
- Current Research Highlights
 - Mimetic Discretizations on Generalized (curved faces) Polyhedral Meshes
 - Discrete Maximum Principle
 - Closure Models for Multimaterial ALE Methods
- Outreach
- How do we train the future workforce?
- Conclusion









2

What are mimetic methods ?

Methods that mimic important properties of underlying geometrical, mathematical and physical models.

- Geometry (material interfaces)
- Conservation Laws (modeling flows with strong shocks)
- Symmetry Preservation (inertial confinement fusion program)
- Positivity and Monotonicity Preservation (density, pressure, concentration)
- Asymptotic Preserving (radiation hydrodynamics), Long-Time Integration
- Duality Properties of Differential Operators (Solvers)









- Discrete Vector and Tensor Analysis Discrete Calculus
 - Discrete scalar, vector and tensor functions on wide class of grids
 - Discrete analogs of differential operators like div, grad, and curl
 - Discrete analogs of the theorems of the vector analysis: Gauss', Stokes', orthogonal decomposition (Hodge).
 - Most of PDE's are formulated in terms of divergence, gradient and curl.
 - Given discrete analogs of these operators one can discretize wide class of PDE's (many continuous results hold in discrete case.)









4

- Properties of the Mimetic Discretizations for Diffusion Equations
 - Complex Three-Dimensional Geometry
 - Arbitrary Coordinate Systems (Cylindrical, Spherical)
 - Strongly Discontinuous Tensor Conductivity
 - Non-Smooth Structured and Unstructured (General Polyhedra), and AMR Meshes
 - Symmetric Positive-Definite Linear Systems Effective Solvers
 - Second-order Convergence (New Theory), Accurate Fluxes
 - Applications





- Mimetic Discretizations for Maxwell's Equations
 - Complex Three-Dimensional Geometry
 - Strongly Discontinuous Tensor Permitivity and Permeability.
 - Non-Smooth Structured and Unstructured Grids
 - Free of Spurious Solutions, Divergence-Free Conditions are Satisfied Exactly
 - Stable, Second-Order Convergence, Accurate Electric and Magnetic Fields









Optimization of the gravity-pour casting processes





The computational domain and grid (200K tets); the blue region is the graphite cylinder, and the red region is free space - left.

The average of the Joule heat in the graphite cylinder over a cycle of the external field; this is the effective heat source that is used to model the heat conduction - right.









7

- Lagrangian, Free-Lagrangian and Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Hydrodynamics
 - Conservative finite-difference methods in 3D and on unstructured grids
 - New advanced artificial viscosity for multi-dimensional shock-wave computations
 - Elimination of unphysical grid motions (hourglass, artificial vorticity) due to Artificial Null Spaces of the discrete operators

 $\mathbf{DIV} \mathbf{A} = 0 \not\leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{CURL} \mathbf{B}, \quad \mathbf{GRAD} \, p = 0 \not\leftrightarrow p = const$

- Symmetry (geometrical) preserving methods









Lagrangian Hydrodynamics — Spatial Symmetries and Curvilinear Meshes — (r, z) Geometry















Lagrangian Hydrodynamics — Artificial Viscosity

Artificial Viscosity is Required for Simulations of Shocks Mesh for Noh Problem at t = 0.6











Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) Methods ALE Methods – grid movement is arbitrary and can be used to improve robustness and accuracy

Three Main Stages: Lagrangian, Rezone, Remap



Interaction of Shock with heavy obstacle - ALE INC.(ubator)



Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Methods Examples of ALE INC. Calculations



Free-Lagrangian Methods

- Media is represented by set of points, with fixed in time mass.
- Points (Particles) are moving with material
- Connectivity between these points is not fixed, but varies with time Voronoi tessellation
- Stencil used in discretization is defined by connectivity.





NATIONAL LABORATORY







Current Research Highlights

- Mimetic Finite Difference Method Diffusion Equation -Generalized (Curved Faces) Polyhedral Meshes
- Discrete Maximum Principle
- Multimaterial Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Methods









Mimetic Finite Difference Method - Diffusion Equation - Generalized Polyhedral Meshes

 $\mathcal{DIV}oldsymbol{u}^h = oldsymbol{Q}^h, \qquad oldsymbol{u}^h = -\mathcal{GRAD}oldsymbol{p}^h.$



• The MFD method is locally conservative, 2nd-order accurate for p^h and at least 1st-order accurate for u^h on generalized (curvilinear faces) polyhedral meshes (including AMR meshes). Its practical implementation is surprisingly simple.









Key elements of new methodology

• The patch test for element E with faces f_i :

$$[(K\nabla p^1)^h, G^h]_E \equiv \int_E p^1 (\mathrm{DIV}\,G^h)_E \mathrm{d}V - \int_{\partial E} p^1 G^h \cdot \vec{n} \,\mathrm{d}S$$

where p^1 is a linear function and

$$[F^h, G^h]_E = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\#faces} \mathbb{M}_{E,ij} F^h_{f_i} G^h_{f_j}$$

• The matrix \mathbb{M}_E is easily computed from geometric parameters of E and is not unique.









16

MFD method: generalized polyhedral meshes





- The mixed FE method does not converge on randomly perturbed meshes.
- The new MFD method has the optimal convergence rate.









MFD method: theoretical results

Our theoretical results include:

1. For generalized polyhedral meshes we proved the optimal error estimates in mesh dependent L_2 -norms:

$$\||\boldsymbol{p}^{exact} - \boldsymbol{p}^{h}\|| \leq C h^{2}, \qquad \||\boldsymbol{u}^{exact} - \boldsymbol{u}^{h}\|| \leq C h.$$

- 2. We developed a posteriori error estimates for generalized polyhedral meshes.
- 3. We found and described a rich family of the MFD methods (e.g., a 6-parameter family for hexahedral meshes).
- 4. For simplicial meshes, we proved convergence of an explicit flux version of the MFD method. It results in a cell-centered discretization.









Monotone finite volume method

$$\sum_{e \in \partial T} \boldsymbol{u}_e^h \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_e = \int_T Q \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad \quad \boldsymbol{u}_e^h = \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e \boldsymbol{u} \, \mathrm{d}s.$$



Nonlinear two-point flux formula:

$$m{u}_{e}^{h} \cdot m{n}_{e} = A(p_{v_{1}}^{h}, p_{v_{2}}^{h}) \, m{p}_{x_{1}}^{h} - B(p_{v_{1}}^{h}, p_{v_{2}}^{h}) \, m{p}_{x_{2}}^{h}$$

To compute $p_{v_1}^h$ and $p_{v_2}^h$, we use either

- linear interpolation or
- inverse weighting interpolation,

$$p_{v_1}^h = \sum_{T \ni \boldsymbol{v}_1} p_{x_T}^h w_T, \quad w_T = \frac{|\boldsymbol{x}_T - \boldsymbol{v}_1|^{-1}}{\sum_{T' \ni \boldsymbol{v}_1} |\boldsymbol{x}_{T'} - \boldsymbol{v}_1|^{-1}}.$$



Monotone FV method: comparison



Location of negative values of p^h

• The diffusion tensor is anisotropic (ratio of eigenvalues is 200:1) and varies smoothly in space. The maximum principle implies that the continuum solution is positive.









Monotone FV method: results

1. We proved monotonicity of the nonlinear FV method for stationary diffusion problems.

2. We improved stability of the method for problems with sharp gradients.



3. We developed a new *monotone* non-linear FV method for shape-regular polygonal meshes and isotropic diffusion tensors.



Discrete Maximum Principle Constrained Quadratic Optimization Approach

 $\operatorname{\mathbf{div}} A\operatorname{\mathbf{grad}} u=0 \ \text{ in } \Omega\,, \ u=\gamma, \ \text{ in } \partial\Omega \to \max_{\partial\Omega}\gamma \leq u \leq \min_{\partial\Omega}\gamma$

Dirichlet functional

$$D(u) = \int_{\Omega} (A \cdot \operatorname{grad} u, \operatorname{grad} u) dV, \quad \min_{u} D(u)$$

Triangular mesh, nodal discretization (piece-wise linear finite elements) Discrete gradient

$$GRAD_{T}^{x}(U) = \frac{(U_{1}+U_{2})(y_{2}-y_{1}) + (U_{2}+U_{3})(y_{3}-y_{2}) + (U_{3}+U_{1})(y_{1}-y_{3})}{2V_{T}}$$

$$GRAD_{T}^{y}(U) = -\frac{(U_{1}+U_{2})(x_{2}-x_{1}) + (U_{2}+U_{3})(x_{3}-x_{2}) + (U_{3}+U_{1})(x_{1}-x_{3})}{2V_{T}}$$

Constrained Quadratic Optimization

$$\min_{U_p} D[u], \max_{\partial \Omega} \gamma \le U_p \le \min_{\partial \Omega} \gamma$$









Maximum Principle - Optimization





Comparison of different methods



0.2

0~0

0.6

unbounded



bounded

Convergence study

ASC



conser. unbounded



⊃





Closure Models for Multimaterial Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Methods (ALE)

- Lagrangian stage Solving Lagrangian equations
- Rezone stage Changing the mesh
- Remap stage Conservative interpolation from Lagrangian to rezoned mesh
- Material interfaces may not coincide with mesh faces
- Mixed cells cells which contain more than one material









Multimaterial Lagrangian Hydro - Closure Models

- Single velocity for all materials one velocity per node
- Each material has its own mass (density) and may have its own internal energy and pressure
- Each cell (including mixed cells) has to produce force to its vertices one pressure to be used in momentum equation
- Closure model how to produce this pressure and advance in time internal energy and density for each material











Mixed Zone Models - Classes of Models

Two Classes of Models

- Pressure Equilibrium Pressure Relaxation (Explicitly enforced)
- Modeling Sub-Cell Dynamics

Mixed Zone Models - Design Principles

- If all materials in mixed cell initially have the same pressure it is supposed to stay this way preservation of contact
- Pressure Equilibrium Pressure Relaxation (after some transition time pressures in mixed cells have to equilibrate)
- Conservation of Total Energy









Mixed Zone Pressure Equilibrium (Relaxation) Models Pressure relaxation model :

 $p_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + R_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = p^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad R_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ relaxation term, i-material index, n-time index

Tipton's model (R. Tipton (LLNL) - unpublished notes, 1989) Assumption — Isentropic

$$\frac{dS_i/dt = 0}{p_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = p_i^n + (\delta t/2) \, d\mathcal{P}_i/dt} = (\partial \mathcal{P}_i/\partial \rho_i)_{S_i} \, d\rho_i/dt = -\rho_i \, c_i^2 \, (dV_i/dt)/V_i$$
$$p_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = p_i^n + (\delta t/2) \, d\mathcal{P}_i/dt \quad \to p_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = p_i^n - \rho_i^n \, (c_i^n)^2 \, \delta V_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}/V_i^n$$

Relaxation Term Resembles Viscosity

 $R_i = -l_i \,
ho_i \, c_i \, \left({{{
m divu}}}
ight)_i \, , \quad \left({{{
m divu}}}
ight)_i = \left({1/{V_i}}
ight) \left({d{V_i}/{dt}}
ight)$

 $R_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = -\rho_i^n c_i^n (L^n/\delta t) (1/V_i^n) \, \delta V_i^{n+1} \,, L^n - \text{characteristic length}$ Closure Model

$$p_i^n - \rho_i^n (c_i^n)^2 \left[1 + L^n / (c_i^n \,\delta t)\right] \frac{\delta V_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{V_i^n} = p^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \sum_i \frac{\delta V_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\delta V_i^{n+\frac{1}{2}}} = \delta V^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$









27

Sub-cell Dynamics Approach to Closure Models



- Each material can have it is own pressure
- There is no independent velocity of the interface how to estimate it? u_I interface velocity acoustic Riemann solver

$$u_I = [(\rho_1 c_1) u_1 + (\rho_2 c_2) u_2 + (p_1 - p_2)]/(\rho_1 c_1 + \rho_2 c_2)$$

Different choices for u_1 , u_2 are possible

- How to compute one pressure to be used in momentum equation?
- How to conserve total energy?

Each material has its own "p dV" equation

$$m_i d\varepsilon_i / dt = -p_i dV_i / dt$$

Conservation of total energy argument is used to derive one pressure in mixed cell:





Sub-cell Dynamics Approach to Closure Models

Questions:

- How to define dV_i/dV ?
- What to do if dV = 0?
- What to do if some of dV_i/dV have different signs?
 In this case averaged pressure can be negative even if all p_i are positive not an average.

Design Principles

Find
$$\beta_i \sim dV_i/dV$$
, such that $1 \geq \beta_i \geq 0$ and $\sum \beta_i = 1$

Having β_i , we define $dV_i = \beta_i dV$, and therefore

$$\sum \frac{dV_i}{dt} = \frac{dV}{dt} \cdot \sum \beta_i = \frac{dV}{dt}, \quad p = \sum \beta_i p_i$$



Example of Rayleigh-Taylor Calculation LANL ASC Code-FLAG



Eulerian=Lagrange+Remap; Interface Reconstruction — Mixed cells









Example of Rayleigh-Taylor Calculation LANL ASC Code-FLAG



Vorticity and Density









Outreach

Progress

Research

aves

<u><u>e</u>netic</u>

ctroma

PIER 32



M. Shashkov

Book on Method Support-Operators











IMA Workshop: Compatible Spatial Discretizations for PDEs Supported by DOE and NSF D. Arnold, P. Bochev, R. Lehoucq, R. Nicolaides, M. Shashkov Organizers and Co-editors of special IMA Volume



Outreach

- Publications: 7 (2002), 5 (2003), 14 (2004), 9 (2005), 10 (2006)
- Workshop on Mimetic Discretizations of Continuum Mechanics, 2003, San Diego State University
- IMA "Hot Topics" Workshop Compatible Spatial Discretizations for PDEs May 11-15, 2004, Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota
- Second Venezuelian Workshop on Mimetic Discretizations, 2004
- LACSI (Los Alamos Computer Science Institute) Symposium 2004 Mimetic Methods for PDEs and Applications, Santa Fe, NM
- A CMA (Centre of Mathematics and Applications) Workshop on Compatible Discretizations for PDEs — University of Oslo









How do we train the future workforce?

- Create successful research teams Numerical Analysis Team (T-7, LANL)
- Collaborative work between academia and Labs UT Austin, UC Davis, Pavia, UNM, SDSU, Prague Tech. Univ., Munich Tech. Univ., U. Pittsburg, SNL,LLNL, AWE, CEA, U. Bordeaux, U. Toulouse, Texas A & M, U. Houston, Institute of Numerical Mathematics, Moscow.
- Promoting Lab internship for undergrads and grads: The Los Alamos Mathematical Modeling and Analysis Student Program (Mostly funded by

ASC) * To offer strong scientific guidance and close mentor-student relationships while providing the students with training and experience in interdisciplinary research in the mathematical sciences. * To bridge the gap between fundamental research and applied technology and create a program for introducing young scientists, in the formative stages of their careers, to important problems derived from research in interdisciplinary applied mathematics. * To provide a strong link for effective collaboration of Los Alamos scientists with academic centers of excellence in the mathematical sciences.

• UTEP Winter (January 2008) School on Computational Science for graduate and Ph.D. students from US and abroad (P. Solin — main organizer). In particular P. Bochev and I will give lectures on compatible and mimetic discretizations.









Conclusion

- We have created solid mathematical foundation of the Mimetic Finite Difference Methods
- Mimetic Finite Difference Methods as Powerful as Finite Volume Methods and Finite Element Methods
- Applications of the Mimetic Finite Difference Methods
 - Fluid and solid mechanics
 - Shock physics
 - Electromagnetism
 - Radiation Transport
 - General Relativity
- Information ?

- Flow in Porous Media
- Laser Plasma Simulations
- Computational Geometry
- Image Analysis
- Astrophysics

shashkov@lanl.gov; webpage: cnls.lanl.gov/ \sim shashkov







